Lattice walks confined to the positive octant

Mireille Bousquet-Mélou

Alin Bostan, INRIA Saclay

Manuel Kauers, RISC Linz

Steve Melczer, Simon Fraser University, Vancouver







Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{Z}^3$ be a finite set of steps in the 3D space.

Example: $S = \{00\overline{1}, 0\overline{1}0, \overline{1}00, 111\}$

Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{Z}^3$ be a finite set of steps in the 3D space.

Example: $S = \{00\overline{1}, 0\overline{1}0, \overline{1}00, 111\}$

Consider walks that start from (0,0,0), take their steps in S, and are confined to the positive octant $\{(i,j,k) : i \ge 0, j \ge 0, k \ge 0\}$.



Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{Z}^3$ be a finite set of steps in the 3D space.

```
Example: S = \{00\overline{1}, 0\overline{1}0, \overline{1}00, 111\}
```

Consider walks that start from (0,0,0), take their steps in S, and are confined to the positive octant $\{(i,j,k) : i \ge 0, j \ge 0, k \ge 0\}$.

Questions

- Determine o(n), the number of such walks that have length n
- or o(i, j, k; n), the number of such walks that have length n and end at position (i, j, k)
- or the associated 4-variable generating function:

$$O(x, y, z; t) = \sum_{i, j, k, n \ge 0} o(i, j, k; n) x^i y^j z^k t^n$$

- or the nature of this generating function.

A hierarchy of formal power series

• The formal power series A(t) is rational if it can be written

 $A(t) = \frac{P(t)}{Q(t)}$

where P(t) and Q(t) are polynomials in t.

• The formal power series A(t) is algebraic (over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$) if it satisfies a (non-trivial) polynomial equation:

P(t, A(t)) = 0.

• The formal power series A(t) is D-finite if it satisfies a (non-trivial) linear differential equation:

 $P_k(t)A^{(k)}(t) + \dots + P_0(t)A(t) = 0.$

+ extension to several variables + closure properties

Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{Z}^3$ be a finite set of steps in the 3D space.

```
Example: S = \{00\overline{1}, 0\overline{1}0, \overline{1}00, 111\}
```

Consider walks that start from (0,0,0), take their steps in S, and are confined to the positive octant $\{(i,j,k) : i \ge 0, j \ge 0, k \ge 0\}$.

Questions

- Determine o(n), the number of such walks that have length n
- or o(i, j, k; n), the number of such walks that have length n and end at position (i, j, k)
- or the associated 4-variable generating function:

$$O(x, y, z; t) = \sum_{i, j, k, n \ge 0} o(i, j, k; n) x^i y^j z^k t^n$$

- or the nature of this generating function.

Lattice paths confined to convex cones

• Dimension 1: walks confined to a half-line

The generating function H(x;t) is always algebraic [Gessel 80], [Labelle-Yeh 90], [mbm-Petkovšek 00], [Duchon 00], [Banderier-Flajolet 02]

• Dimension 2: walks confined to the positive quadrant The generating function Q(x, y; t) is sometimes algebraic, sometimes D-finite, sometimes non-D-finite. Complete classification for walks with "small" steps: $S \subset \{\overline{1}, 0, 1\}^2$

Bernardi, Bostan, MBM, Cori, Denisov, Dulucq, Fayolle, Gessel, Gouyou-Beauchamps, Guy, Janse van Rensburg, Johnson, Kauers, Koutschan, Krattenthaler, Kurkova, Kreweras, Melczer, Mishna, Niederhausen, Petkovšek, Prellberg, Raschel, Rechnitzer, Sagan, Salvy, Viennot, Wachtel, Wilf, Yeats, Zeilberger...





Why just *positive* cones?

In 2D, a convex rational cone is either:

• a half-plane



⇒ equivalent to a (weighted) model of walks on a half-line ⇒ algebraic • or a linear deformation of the quadrant



3D walks in an octant — Small steps

Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \{\overline{1}, 0, 1\}^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$ be a set of small steps in the 3D space.

Questions

- Determine o(n), or o(i, j, k; n) (number of walks)

– or the associated generating function:

$$O(x, y, z; t) = \sum_{i,j,k,n \ge 0} o(i, j, k; n) x^i y^j z^k t^n.$$

There are "only" 2²⁶ such problems!

Systematic approach

The number of interesting distinct models

Remove

- models in which all steps are non-negative (rational GF)
- models in which one positivity condition implies the other two (\sim walks in a half-space \Rightarrow algebraic GF)
- models in which one step is never used

and declare equivalent models that only differ by a permutation of the coordinates.

The number of interesting distinct models

Remove

- models in which all steps are non-negative (rational GF)
- models in which one positivity condition implies the other two $(\sim \text{ walks in a half-space} \Rightarrow \text{algebraic GF})$
- models in which one step is never used

and declare equivalent models that only differ by a permutation of the coordinates.

Proposition: one is left with $11074225 \simeq 2^{23.4...}$ distinct models.

The number of interesting distinct models

Remove

- models in which all steps are non-negative (rational GF)
- models in which one positivity condition implies the other two (\sim walks in a half-space \Rightarrow algebraic GF)
- models in which one step is never used

and declare equivalent models that only differ by a permutation of the coordinates.

Proposition: one is left with $11074225 \simeq 2^{23.4...}$ distinct models.

With at most 6 steps:

$73 u^3 + 979 u^4 + 6425 u^5 + 28071 u^6 + O(u^7)$

We focus on the 35 548 models with at most 6 steps.

I. Some useful tools I.1. A step-by-step construction, and the associated functional equation

A functional equation: example in 2D

Example: $S = \{N, W, SE\}$

Generating function:

$$Q(x,y;t) = \sum_{i,j,n \ge 0} q(i,j;n) x^i y^j t^n$$

Functional equation:

$$Q(x,y;t) \equiv Q(x,y) = 1 + tyQ(x,y) + t\frac{Q(x,y) - Q(0,y)}{x} + tx\frac{Q(x,y) - Q(x,0)}{y}$$

A linear discrete partial differential equation



A functional equation: example in 2D

Example: $S = \{N, W, SE\}$

Generating function:

$$Q(x,y;t) = \sum_{i,j,n \ge 0} q(i,j;n) x^i y^j t^n$$

Functional equation:

$$Q(x, y; t) \equiv Q(x, y) = 1 + tyQ(x, y) + t \frac{Q(x, y) - Q(0, y)}{x} + tx \frac{Q(x, y) - Q(x, 0)}{y}$$
or, with $\bar{x} = 1/x$ and $\bar{y} = 1/y$,
$$\left(1 - t(y + \bar{x} + x\bar{y})\right)Q(x, y) = 1 - t\bar{x}Q(0, y) - tx\bar{y}Q(x, 0),$$
or

$$\left((1-t(y+\bar{x}+x\bar{y}))xyQ(x,y)=xy-tyQ(0,y)-txQ(x,0)\right)$$

We call $K(x,y) = (1 - t(y + \overline{x} + x\overline{y}))$ the kernel of the equation.



A functional equation: example in 3D

• Take $S = \{\overline{1}\overline{1}\overline{1}, \overline{1}\overline{1}1, \overline{1}10, 100\}$. The functional equation reads

 $O(x, y, z) = 1 + t(\bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z} + \bar{x}\bar{y}z + \bar{x}y + x)O(x, y, z)$ $- t\bar{x}(y + \bar{y}z + \bar{y}\bar{z})O(0, y, z) - t\bar{x}\bar{y}(z + \bar{z})O(x, 0, z) - t\bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z}O(x, y, 0)$ $+ t\bar{x}\bar{y}(z + \bar{z})O(0, 0, z) + t\bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z}O(0, y, 0) + t\bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z}O(x, 0, 0)$ $- t\bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z}O(0, 0, 0).$

A functional equation: example in 3D

• Take $S = \{\overline{1}\overline{1}\overline{1}, \overline{1}\overline{1}1, \overline{1}10, 100\}$. The functional equation reads

$$O(x, y, z) = 1 + t(\bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z} + \bar{x}\bar{y}z + \bar{x}y + x)O(x, y, z) - t\bar{x}(y + \bar{y}z + \bar{y}\bar{z})O(0, y, z) - t\bar{x}\bar{y}(z + \bar{z})O(x, 0, z) - t\bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z}O(x, y, 0) + t\bar{x}\bar{y}(z + \bar{z})O(0, 0, z) + t\bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z}O(0, y, 0) + t\bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z}O(x, 0, 0) - t\bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z}O(0, 0, 0).$$

• Equivalently,

$$K(x, y, z)xyzO(x, y, z) = xyz - tyz(y + \bar{y}z + \bar{y}\bar{z})O(0, y, z) - tz(z + \bar{z})O(x, 0, z) - tO(x, y, 0) + tz(z + \bar{z})O(0, 0, z) + tO(0, y, 0) + tO(x, 0, 0) - tO(0, 0, 0)$$

where the kernel is

$$K(x, y, z) = 1 - t(\bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z} + \bar{x}\bar{y}z + \bar{x}y + x).$$

A functional equation: example in 3D

• Take $S = \{\overline{1}\overline{1}\overline{1}, \overline{1}\overline{1}1, \overline{1}10, 100\}$. The functional equation reads

$$O(x, y, z) = 1 + t(\bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z} + \bar{x}\bar{y}z + \bar{x}y + x)O(x, y, z) - t\bar{x}(y + \bar{y}z + \bar{y}\bar{z})O(0, y, z) - t\bar{x}\bar{y}(z + \bar{z})O(x, 0, z) - t\bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z}O(x, y, 0) + t\bar{x}\bar{y}(z + \bar{z})O(0, 0, z) + t\bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z}O(0, y, 0) + t\bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z}O(x, 0, 0) - t\bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z}O(0, 0, 0).$$

• Equivalently,

$$K(x, y, z)xyzO(x, y, z) = xyz - tyz(y + \bar{y}z + \bar{y}\bar{z})O(0, y, z) - tz(z + \bar{z})O(x, 0, z) - tO(x, y, 0) + tz(z + \bar{z})O(0, 0, z) + tO(0, y, 0) + tO(x, 0, 0) - tO(0, 0, 0)$$

where the kernel is

$$K(x, y, z) = 1 - t(\bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z} + \bar{x}\bar{y}z + \bar{x}y + x).$$

 \Rightarrow Determine O(x, y, z; t) up to a large order (in t) and try to guess if it is algebraic or D-finite (order $\simeq 50$ and degree $\simeq 3000$ is not unusual).

I. Some useful tools

I.2. The group of the walk

[Fayolle et al. 99]



What is this group? A 2D example

When $S = \{N, W, SE\}$, the kernel reads

K(x,y) = 1 - tS(x,y) with $S(x,y) = \overline{x} + y + x\overline{y}$.

Observation: S(x,y) (and thus K(x,y)) is left unchanged by the rational transformations

 $\Phi: (x,y) \mapsto (\bar{x}y,y)$ and $\Psi: (x,y) \mapsto (x,x\bar{y})$.

They are involutions, and generate a finite group G:



The group is not always finite

• If $S = \{S, W, SW, NE\}$:

 $\Phi: (x,y) \mapsto (\bar{x}\bar{y}(1+\bar{y}),y) \text{ and } \Psi: (x,y) \mapsto (x,\bar{x}\bar{y}(1+\bar{x}))$

seem to generate an infinite group

What is the group? A 3D example

• Take $S = \{\overline{1}\overline{1}\overline{1}, \overline{1}\overline{1}1, \overline{1}10, 100\}$. The kernel is

$$K(x, y, z) = 1 - t(\bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z} + \bar{x}\bar{y}z + \bar{x}y + x).$$

• The group is generated by

 $[x, y, z] \stackrel{\Phi}{\mapsto} [\bar{x}(y + \bar{y}z + \bar{y}\bar{z}), y, z], \quad [x, y, z] \stackrel{\Psi}{\mapsto} [x, \bar{y}(z + \bar{z}), z], \quad [x, y, z] \stackrel{\Lambda}{\mapsto} [x, y, \bar{z}].$



Relevance of the group size: Classification of quadrant walks with small steps



I. Some useful tools I.3. Models with a finite group: The orbit equation The orbit equation: when $S = \{N, W, SE\}$

• The equation reads

K(x,y) xyQ(x,y) = xy - txQ(x,0) - tyQ(0,y) with $K(x,y) = 1 - t(y + \bar{x} + x\bar{y}).$

• The orbit of (x, y) under G is

$$(x,y) \stackrel{\Phi}{\longleftrightarrow} (\bar{x}y,y) \stackrel{\Psi}{\longleftrightarrow} (\bar{x}y,\bar{x}) \stackrel{\Phi}{\longleftrightarrow} (\bar{y},\bar{x}) \stackrel{\Psi}{\longleftrightarrow} (\bar{y},x\bar{y}) \stackrel{\Phi}{\longleftrightarrow} (x,x\bar{y}) \stackrel{\Psi}{\longleftrightarrow} (x,y).$$

• All transformations of G leave K(x, y) invariant. Hence

$$\begin{array}{rcl} K(x,y) \ xyQ(x,y) &=& xy \ - \ txQ(x,0) \ - \ tyQ(0,y) \\ K(x,y) \ \bar{x}y^2Q(\bar{x}y,y) &=& \bar{x}y^2 \ - \ t\bar{x}yQ(\bar{x}y,0) \ - \ tyQ(0,y) \end{array}$$

The orbit equation: when $S = \{N, W, SE\}$

• The equation reads

K(x,y) xyQ(x,y) = xy - txQ(x,0) - tyQ(0,y) with $K(x,y) = 1 - t(y + \bar{x} + x\bar{y}).$

• The orbit of (x, y) under G is

$$(x,y) \stackrel{\Phi}{\longleftrightarrow} (\bar{x}y,y) \stackrel{\Psi}{\longleftrightarrow} (\bar{x}y,\bar{x}) \stackrel{\Phi}{\longleftrightarrow} (\bar{y},\bar{x}) \stackrel{\Psi}{\longleftrightarrow} (\bar{y},x\bar{y}) \stackrel{\Phi}{\longleftrightarrow} (x,x\bar{y}) \stackrel{\Psi}{\longleftrightarrow} (x,y).$$

• All transformations of G leave K(x, y) invariant. Hence

$$\begin{array}{rcl} K(x,y) \ xyQ(x,y) &=& xy \ - \ txQ(x,0) \ - \ tyQ(0,y) \\ K(x,y) \ \bar{x}y^2Q(\bar{x}y,y) &=& \bar{x}y^2 \ - \ t\bar{x}yQ(\bar{x}y,0) \ - \ tyQ(0,y) \\ K(x,y) \ \bar{x}^2yQ(\bar{x}y,\bar{x}) &=& \bar{x}^2y \ - \ t\bar{x}yQ(\bar{x}y,0) \ - \ t\bar{x}Q(0,\bar{x}) \\ & \cdots &=& \cdots \\ K(x,y) \ x^2\bar{y}Q(x,x\bar{y}) &=& x^2\bar{y} \ - \ txQ(x,0) \ - \ tx\bar{y}Q(0,x\bar{y}). \end{array}$$

The orbit equation: when $S = \{N, W, SE\}$

• All transformations of G leave K(x, y) invariant. Hence

$$\begin{array}{rcl} K(x,y) \ xyQ(x,y) &=& xy \ - \ txQ(x,0) \ - \ tyQ(0,y) \\ K(x,y) \ \bar{x}y^2Q(\bar{x}y,y) &=& \bar{x}y^2 \ - \ t\bar{x}yQ(\bar{x}y,0) \ - \ tyQ(0,y) \\ K(x,y) \ \bar{x}^2yQ(\bar{x}y,\bar{x}) &=& \bar{x}^2y \ - \ t\bar{x}yQ(\bar{x}y,0) \ - \ t\bar{x}Q(0,\bar{x}) \\ & \cdots &=& \cdots \\ K(x,y) \ x^2\bar{y}Q(x,x\bar{y}) \ = \ x^2\bar{y} \ - \ txQ(x,0) \ - \ tx\bar{y}Q(0,x\bar{y}) \end{array}$$

 $\Rightarrow \text{ Form the alternating sum of the equation over all elements of the orbit:}$ $K(x,y)\Big(xyQ(x,y) - \bar{x}y^2Q(\bar{x}y,y) + \bar{x}^2yQ(\bar{x}y,\bar{x}) \\ - \bar{x}\bar{y}Q(\bar{y},\bar{x}) + x\bar{y}^2Q(\bar{y},x\bar{y}) - x^2\bar{y}Q(x,x\bar{y})\Big) = xy - \bar{x}y^2 + \bar{x}^2y - \bar{x}\bar{y} + x\bar{y}^2 - x^2\bar{y}.$

The orbit equation in general

For all models with a finite group,

$$\sum_{g \in G} \operatorname{sign}(g)g(xyQ(x,y;t)) = \frac{1}{K(x,y;t)} \sum_{g \in G} \operatorname{sign}(g)g(xy),$$

where

$$g(A(x,y)) := A(g(x,y))$$

• The right-hand side is a rational series, called the orbit sum.

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} xyQ(x,y) - \bar{x}y^2Q(\bar{x}y,y) + \bar{x}^2yQ(\bar{x}y,\bar{x}) \\ &- \bar{x}\bar{y}Q(\bar{y},\bar{x}) + x\bar{y}^2Q(\bar{y},x\bar{y}) - x^2\bar{y}Q(x,x\bar{y}) = \\ &\frac{xy - \bar{x}y^2 + \bar{x}^2y - \bar{x}\bar{y} + x\bar{y}^2 - x^2\bar{y}}{1 - t(y + \bar{x} + x\bar{y})}. \end{aligned}$$

I. Some useful tools

I.4. Models with a finite group: Extracting the main generating function in the orbit equation

A 2D example: $S = \{N, W, SE\}$

$$\begin{aligned} xyQ(x,y) - \bar{x}y^2Q(\bar{x}y,y) + \bar{x}^2yQ(\bar{x}y,\bar{x}) \\ &- \bar{x}\bar{y}Q(\bar{y},\bar{x}) + x\bar{y}^2Q(\bar{y},x\bar{y}) - x^2\bar{y}Q(x,x\bar{y}) = \\ &\frac{xy - \bar{x}y^2 + \bar{x}^2y - \bar{x}\bar{y} + x\bar{y}^2 - x^2\bar{y}}{1 - t(y + \bar{x} + x\bar{y})} \end{aligned}$$

- Both sides are power series in t, with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}[x, \bar{x}, y, \bar{y}]$.
- Extract the part with positive powers of x and y:

$$xyQ(x,y) = [x^{>0}y^{>0}] \frac{xy - \bar{x}y^2 + \bar{x}^2y - \bar{x}\bar{y} + x\bar{y}^2 - x^2\bar{y}}{1 - t(y + \bar{x} + x\bar{y})}$$

is a D-finite series.

[Lipshitz 88]

Extraction for quadrant models

• For 23 models, we found a finite group and wrote the orbit equation:

$$\sum_{g \in G} \operatorname{sign}(g)g(xyQ(x,y;t)) = \frac{1}{K(x,y;t)} \sum_{g \in G} \operatorname{sign}(g)g(xy),$$

• For the 19 models where the orbit sum is non-zero,

$$xyQ(x,y;t) = [x^{>0}y^{>0}] \frac{1}{K(x,y;t)} \sum_{g \in G} \operatorname{sign}(g)g(xy)$$

is a D-finite series.

Classification of quadrant walks with small steps



[mbm-Mishna 10]

Classification of quadrant walks with small steps



[mbm-Mishna 10], [Bostan-Kauers 10], [Bostan-Kurkova-Raschel 13(a)]

When the orbit sum is zero

In 4 cases, the orbit sum vanishes:

$$\sum_{g \in G} \operatorname{sign}(g)g(xyQ(x,y;t)) = \frac{1}{K(x,y;t)} \sum_{g \in G} \operatorname{sign}(g)g(xy) = 0.$$

This happens:

- for the Kreweras trilogy, $S = \{W, S, NE\}$, $\{E, N, SW\}$, $\{W, S, E, N, NE, SW\}$,
- for Gessel's walks, $S = \{E, W, NE, SW\}$.



In all 4 cases, Q(x, y; t) is algebraic.

[mbm-Mishna 10], [Bostan-Kauers 10], [Bostan-Kurkova-Raschel 13(a)]

Classification of quadrant walks



- D-finiteness and algebraicity: [mbm-Mishna 10], [Bostan-Kauers 10], [Bostan-Kurkova-Raschel 13(a)]
- Non-D-finiteness:
 - 5 singular cases: Q(1, 1; t) is not D-finite [Mishna-Rechnitzer 07], [Melczer-Mishna 13]



- 51 non-singular cases: Q(0,0;t) is not D-finite [Bostan-Raschel-Salvy 14], and Q(x,0;t) is not D-finite in x [Kurkova-Raschel 12]

II. Application to octant models

The dimension of a model

Definition. A model has dimension (at most) d if d of the positivity conditions imply the remaining 3 - d ones.

We have already discarded models of dimension 0 or 1.

Proposition. Among the 35 548 models with at most 6 steps, 14 744 have dimension two and 20 804 have dimension three. (integer linear programming)

Our toolbox

- Write a functional equation for $O(x, y, z; t) = \sum_{i,j,k,n} o(i, j, k; n) x^i y^j z^k t^n$
- Determine if the group of the walk is finite
- If it is, form the orbit equation
- and try to extract the generating function O(x, y, z; t)

Our toolbox

- Write a functional equation for $O(x, y, z; t) = \sum_{i,j,k,n} o(i, j, k; n) x^i y^j z^k t^n$
- Determine if the group of the walk is finite
- If it is, form the orbit equation
- and try to extract the generating function O(x, y, z; t)

What happens?

III. Three-dimensional octant models (with at most 6 steps)

Classification of 3D octant models (with at most 6 steps)



[Bostan-MBM-Kauers-Melczer 14]

When the orbit sum is zero (62 models)

 For 19 models, including 3D Kreweras, we did not guess any DE Example:

 $S = \{111, \overline{1}00, 0\overline{1}0, 00\overline{1}\}$

• the remaining 43 models are of Hadamard type and D-finite

Hadamard models: example

Take $S(x, y, z) = x + (1 + x + \overline{x})(yz + \overline{y} + \overline{z})$. The group has order 12, and the orbit sum is zero.

To construct an octant walk of length n with steps in S:

- take a 1D walk h = h₁...h_n with steps in {1,0,1,1} on the x-axis; say it has ℓ black steps;
- take a quadrant walk $q = q_1 \dots q_\ell$ with steps in $\{11, \overline{1}0, 0\overline{1}\}$ in the yz-plane;
- in h, replace h_i by (h_i, 0, 0) if h_i is red, by (h_i, q_j) if h_i is the jth black step of h.

The D-finiteness of O(x, y, z; t) then follows from the D-finiteness of the GFs H(x; t) and Q(y, z; t) of the two projected walks.

The argument applies with S(x, y, z) = U(x) + V(x)T(y, z) or S(x, y, z) = U(x, y) + V(x, y)T(z).

Classification of 3D octant models



[Bostan-MBM-Kauers-Melczer 14]

IV. Two-dimensional octant models (with at most 6 steps)

- One positivity condition (say, in z) holds automatically if the other two hold.
- Study the projection of the walk on the xy-plane: multiset of steps

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \{ij : \exists k \text{ such that } ijk \in \mathcal{S}\} \setminus \{00\}$$

Main series:

$$Q(x,y;t) := O(x,y,1;t)$$

Classification of 2D quadrant models with (possibly) repeated steps



[Bostan-MBM-Kauers-Melczer 14]

Two remarkable quadrant models with repeated steps

Proposition

• For the quadrant model $S = \{\overline{11}, \overline{10}, 1\overline{1}, 10, 10, 11\}$ (with an East repeated step), the generating function Q(x, y; t) is algebraic. Moreover some coefficients are nice:

$$Q(0,y;t) = \sum_{n \ge j \ge 0} \frac{6(2j+1)!(6n+1)!(2n+j+1)!}{j!^2(3n)!(4n+2j+3)!(n-j)!(n+1)} y^j t^{2n}.$$

(Group of order 6, zero orbit sum)

• For the reverse model $\overline{S} = \{11, 10, \overline{1}1, \overline{1}0, \overline{1}0, \overline{1}\overline{1}\}$ (with a West repeated step), the series Q(x, y; t) is D-finite.

In both cases, we only have computer algebra proofs (in the spirit of [Bostan & Kauers 10])



Questions

- Explore the 19 three-dimensional models with zero orbit sum that are not Hadamard: are they D-finite?
- Find bare hands proofs for



Questions

- Explore the 19 three-dimensional models with zero orbit sum that are not Hadamard: are they D-finite?
- Find bare hands proofs for



• Find any proof for the (conjecturally algebraic) models [Kauers, Yatchak 14(a)]



Questions

- Explore the 19 three-dimensional models with zero orbit sum that are not Hadamard: are they D-finite?
- Find bare hands proofs for







D-finite



• Find any proof for the (conjecturally algebraic) models [Kauers, Yatchak 14(a)]



• larger steps, arbitrary dimensions...