## JIM PROPP, LUI-MÊME











Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

# A generalised identity for SAW on the honeycomb lattice

Tony Guttmann. Joint work with Nick Beaton, Mireille Bousquet-Mélou, Jan de Gier, Hugo Duminil-Copin, Andrew Elvey Price, Iwan Jensen and Alex Lee

ARC Centre of Excellence for Mathematics and Statistics of Complex Systems Department of Mathematics and Statistics The University of Melbourne, Australia

Bordeaux, Viennotfest, June 2012

#### DISCRETE HOLOMORPHICITY



- Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a planar graph embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , e.g. a square lattice.
- $F(z_{ij})$  a c-v function defined at midpoints  $z_{ij}$  of edge (ij).
- Then F is discretely holomorphic on  $\mathcal{G}$  if

$$\sum_{ij\in\mathcal{F}}F(z_{ij})(z_j-z_i)=0.$$

 $\mathcal{F}$  is a face of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

• A discrete version of a contour integral.

#### DISCRETE HOLOMORPHICITY



- Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a planar graph embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , e.g. a square lattice.
- $F(z_{ij})$  a c-v function defined at midpoints  $z_{ij}$  of edge (ij).
- Then F is discretely holomorphic on  $\mathcal{G}$  if

$$\sum_{ij)\in\mathcal{F}} F(z_{ij})(z_j - z_i) = 0.$$

 $\mathcal{F}$  is a face of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

• A discrete version of a contour integral.

#### DISCRETE HOLOMORPHICITY



- Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a planar graph embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , e.g. a square lattice.
- $F(z_{ij})$  a c-v function defined at midpoints  $z_{ij}$  of edge (ij).
- Then F is discretely holomorphic on  $\mathcal{G}$  if

$$\sum_{ij\in\mathcal{F}}F(z_{ij})(z_j-z_i)=0.$$

 $\mathcal{F}$  is a face of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

• A discrete version of a contour integral.

A generalised identity for SAW on the honeycomb lattice

## DISCRETE HOLOMORPHICITY



- Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a planar graph embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , e.g. a square lattice.
- $F(z_{ij})$  a c-v function defined at midpoints  $z_{ij}$  of edge (ij).
- Then F is discretely holomorphic on  $\mathcal{G}$  if

$$\sum_{ij\in\mathcal{F}}F(z_{ij})(z_j-z_i)=0.$$

 $\mathcal{F}$  is a face of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

• A discrete version of a contour integral.

#### DISCRETE HOLOMORPHICITY

$$F(z_{12}) + iF(z_{23}) + i^2F(z_{34}) + i^3F(z_{41}) = 0.$$

- We have one eqn. per face of *G*. This is usually less than the number of unknowns, one for each edge.
- These equns. don't determine  $F(z_{ij})$ . without more info.
- Approaching the continuum, we approximate the integral  $\int_C F(z) dz$  arb. closely by the sum over faces tiling *C* (interior).
- This vanishes for all reasonable contours C and from Morera's theorem if F(z) is continuous then it is analytic.

#### DISCRETE HOLOMORPHICITY

$$F(z_{12}) + iF(z_{23}) + i^2F(z_{34}) + i^3F(z_{41}) = 0.$$

- We have one eqn. per face of  $\mathcal{G}$ . This is usually less than the number of unknowns, one for each edge.
- These equns. don't determine  $F(z_{ij})$ . without more info.
- Approaching the continuum, we approximate the integral  $\int_C F(z) dz$  arb. closely by the sum over faces tiling *C* (interior).
- This vanishes for all reasonable contours C and from Morera's theorem if F(z) is continuous then it is analytic.

#### DISCRETE HOLOMORPHICITY

$$F(z_{12}) + iF(z_{23}) + i^2F(z_{34}) + i^3F(z_{41}) = 0.$$

- We have one eqn. per face of  $\mathcal{G}$ . This is usually less than the number of unknowns, one for each edge.
- These equns. don't determine  $F(z_{ij})$ . without more info.
- Approaching the continuum, we approximate the integral  $\int_C F(z) dz$  arb. closely by the sum over faces tiling *C* (interior).
- This vanishes for all reasonable contours C and from Morera's theorem if F(z) is continuous then it is analytic.

#### DISCRETE HOLOMORPHICITY

$$F(z_{12}) + iF(z_{23}) + i^2F(z_{34}) + i^3F(z_{41}) = 0.$$

- We have one eqn. per face of  $\mathcal{G}$ . This is usually less than the number of unknowns, one for each edge.
- These equns. don't determine  $F(z_{ij})$ . without more info.
- Approaching the continuum, we approximate the integral  $\int_C F(z)dz$  arb. closely by the sum over faces tiling *C* (interior).
- This vanishes for all reasonable contours C and from Morera's theorem if F(z) is continuous then it is analytic.

#### DISCRETE HOLOMORPHICITY

$$F(z_{12}) + iF(z_{23}) + i^2F(z_{34}) + i^3F(z_{41}) = 0.$$

- We have one eqn. per face of  $\mathcal{G}$ . This is usually less than the number of unknowns, one for each edge.
- These equns. don't determine  $F(z_{ij})$ . without more info.
- Approaching the continuum, we approximate the integral  $\int_C F(z)dz$  arb. closely by the sum over faces tiling *C* (interior).
- This vanishes for all reasonable contours C and from Morera's theorem if F(z) is continuous then it is analytic.

- One looks for an observable that satisfies discrete holomorphicity.
- Such observables connect to Conformal Field Theories as the discrete precursors of so-called parafermions.
- Discrete holomorphicity appears to hold only when Boltzmann weights of the model lie on the *integrable* critical manifold.
- That is, they satisfy the Yang-Bxter equation.

- One looks for an observable that satisfies discrete holomorphicity.
- Such observables connect to Conformal Field Theories as the discrete precursors of so-called parafermions.
- Discrete holomorphicity appears to hold only when Boltzmann weights of the model lie on the *integrable* critical manifold.
- That is, they satisfy the Yang-Bxter equation.



- One looks for an observable that satisfies discrete holomorphicity.
- Such observables connect to Conformal Field Theories as the discrete precursors of so-called parafermions.
- Discrete holomorphicity appears to hold only when Boltzmann weights of the model lie on the *integrable* critical manifold.
- That is, they satisfy the Yang-Bxter equation.



- One looks for an observable that satisfies discrete holomorphicity.
- Such observables connect to Conformal Field Theories as the discrete precursors of so-called parafermions.
- Discrete holomorphicity appears to hold only when Boltzmann weights of the model lie on the *integrable* critical manifold.
- That is, they satisfy the Yang-Bxter equation.

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity 00 000	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
REFEREN	CES			

- The critical fugacity for surface adsorption of SAW on the honeycomb lattice is 1 + √2. arXiv 1109.0358 Commun. Math. Phys, (shortly to be replaced with a version with a correct proof!).
- A numerical adaptation of SAW identities from the honeycomb to other two-dimensional lattices. arXiv 1110.1141, J. Phys. A
- Two-dimensional SAW and polymer adsorption: Critical fugacity estimates. arXiv 1110.6695, J Phys A
- Off-critical partition functions and the winding angle distribution of the O(*n*) model. arXiv 1203.2959, J Phys A





 $\bar{\varepsilon}$ 

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

Let

$$F(p) \equiv F(t, \alpha; p) = \sum_{\omega: a \rightsquigarrow p \text{ in } D} t^{|\omega|} e^{i\alpha W(\omega)},$$

If p, q and r are the 3 mid-edges around a vertex v of the honeycomb lattice, taken in counterclockwise order, then, for  $t = t_c$  and  $\alpha = -5/8$ ,

$$F(p) + jF(q) + j^2F(r) = 0$$

where  $j = e^{2i\pi/3}$ , or, more symmetrically,

$$(p-v)F(p) + (q-v)F(q) + (r-v)F(r) = 0$$



Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		



Sum 
$$(p - v)F(p) + (q - v)F(q) + (r - v)F(r) = 0$$
,  
a local identity, over all vertices in the domain.

- The inner mid-edges don't contribute.
- The domain has a N-S symmetry
- The winding number of walks hitting the boundary is known

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_{T,L}(x_c) + B_{T,L}(x_c) + \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)E_{T,L}(x_c) = 1.$$

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		



- The inner mid-edges don't contribute.
- The domain has a N-S symmetry
- The winding number of walks hitting the boundary is known

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_{T,L}(x_c) + B_{T,L}(x_c) + \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)E_{T,L}(x_c) = 1.$$

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		



- The inner mid-edges don't contribute.
- The domain has a N-S symmetry
- The winding number of walks hitting the boundary is known  $\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_{T,L}(x_c) + B_{T,L}(x_c) + \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)E_{T,L}(x_c) = 1.$

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		



- The inner mid-edges don't contribute.
- The domain has a N-S symmetry
- The winding number of walks hitting the boundary is known

 $\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_{T,L}(x_c) + B_{T,L}(x_c) + \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)E_{T,L}(x_c) = 1.$ 

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		



- The inner mid-edges don't contribute.
- The domain has a N-S symmetry
- The winding number of walks hitting the boundary is known

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_{T,L}(x_c) + B_{T,L}(x_c) + \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)E_{T,L}(x_c) = 1.$$

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

- By first letting L → ∞, then T → ∞, D-C/S showed that the ogf of half-plane SAW diverges at x<sub>c</sub>, establishing that μ ≥ √2 + √2.
- Then by considering bridges they showed that the ogf for half-plane SAW is finite for  $x < x_c$ , and so  $\mu \le \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}$ .

• Hence  $\mu = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}$ .

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

- By first letting L → ∞, then T → ∞, D-C/S showed that the ogf of half-plane SAW diverges at x<sub>c</sub>, establishing that μ ≥ √2 + √2.
- Then by considering bridges they showed that the ogf for half-plane SAW is finite for  $x < x_c$ , and so  $\mu \le \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}$ .

• Hence  $\mu = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{2}$ .

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

- By first letting L → ∞, then T → ∞, D-C/S showed that the ogf of half-plane SAW diverges at x<sub>c</sub>, establishing that μ ≥ √2 + √2.
- Then by considering bridges they showed that the ogf for half-plane SAW is finite for  $x < x_c$ , and so  $\mu \le \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}$ .

• Hence 
$$\mu = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}$$
.

#### REMARKABLE NATURE OF THE RESULT

- Letting  $L \to \infty$ , it follows that  $E_T(x_c) = 0$ .
- Then in a strip of width *T* we have

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_T(x_c)+B_T(x_c)=1.$$

• Consider a strip of width 0, i.e. a spine. Then

$$A_T(x) = \frac{2x^3}{1-x^2}, \ B_T(x) = \frac{2x^2}{1-x^2}.$$

• Then solve

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_T(x) + B_T(x) = 1.$$

• The solution is 
$$x_c = 1/\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2}}$$
.

#### REMARKABLE NATURE OF THE RESULT

- Letting  $L \to \infty$ , it follows that  $E_T(x_c) = 0$ .
- Then in a strip of width *T* we have

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_T(x_c)+B_T(x_c)=1.$$

• Consider a strip of width 0, i.e. a spine. Then

$$A_T(x) = \frac{2x^3}{1-x^2}, \ B_T(x) = \frac{2x^2}{1-x^2}.$$

• Then solve

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_T(x) + B_T(x) = 1.$$

• The solution is 
$$x_c = 1/\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2}}$$
.

#### REMARKABLE NATURE OF THE RESULT

- Letting  $L \to \infty$ , it follows that  $E_T(x_c) = 0$ .
- Then in a strip of width *T* we have

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_T(x_c)+B_T(x_c)=1.$$

• Consider a strip of width 0, i.e. a spine. Then

$$A_T(x) = \frac{2x^3}{1-x^2}, \ B_T(x) = \frac{2x^2}{1-x^2}.$$

• Then solve

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_T(x) + B_T(x) = 1.$$

• The solution is 
$$x_c = 1/\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2}}$$
.

#### **REMARKABLE NATURE OF THE RESULT**

- Letting  $L \to \infty$ , it follows that  $E_T(x_c) = 0$ .
- Then in a strip of width *T* we have

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_T(x_c)+B_T(x_c)=1.$$

• Consider a strip of width 0, i.e. a spine. Then

$$A_T(x) = \frac{2x^3}{1-x^2}, \ B_T(x) = \frac{2x^2}{1-x^2}.$$

• Then solve

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_T(x) + B_T(x) = 1.$$

• The solution is  $x_c = 1/\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2}}$ .

#### SURFACE INTERACTIONS

Take the same domain  $S_{T,L}$ , but add weights to the vertices on the  $\beta$  boundary:



Then we find  $(y* = 1 + \sqrt{2} \text{ (Batchelor/Yung '95 } y_c = y*)$ 

$$1 = \cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right) A_{T,L}(x_{c}, y) + \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) E_{T,L}(x_{c}, y) + \frac{y^{*} - y}{y(y^{*} - 1)} B_{T,L}(x_{c}, y)$$

where y index counts visits to the  $\beta$  boundary.

A generalised identity for SAW on the honeycomb lattice
Discrete holomorphicity References Discrete Holomorphicity Self-avoiding walks on other lattices Extend identity away from  $z = z_c$ .  $\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ 

Safe to take  $L \to \infty$ : (so we have a strip)

$$1 = \cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right) A_T(x_c, y) + \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) E_T(x_c, y) + \frac{y^* - y}{y(y^* - 1)} B_T(x_c, y)$$

Straightforward to show

- $E_T(x_c, y) = 0$  for  $0 \le y < y^*$
- $y_c \ge y^*$
- $\lim_T A_T(x_c, y) = A(x_c, y) = A(x_c)$  is constant for  $0 \le y < y^*$

So write

$$\cos(3\pi/8)A(x_{\rm c},y) = 1 - \delta$$

Then the identity reduces to

$$B(x_{c}, y) = \lim_{T} B_{T}(x_{c}, y) = \frac{\delta y(y^{*} - 1)}{y^{*} - y}$$

and in particular

$$B(x_{\rm c},1)=\delta$$

A generalised identity for SAW on the honeycomb lattice

Discrete holomorphicity References Discrete Holomorphicity Self-avoiding walks on other lattices Extend identity away from  $z = z_c$ .

# PROOF OF THE CRITICAL FUGACITY

Proposition

If  $\delta = 0$  then  $y_c = y^*$ .

Proof uses a decomposition of  $A_T$  walks into  $B_T$  walks:



Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 0 <b>0</b> 0		

# The proof of $\delta = 0$ is complicated! (Very probabilistic.)

- Use renewal theory to show  $\delta^{-1}$  is the expected height of an irreducible bridge
- Show  $\mathbb{E}[height] < \infty \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[width] < \infty$
- Show  $\mathbb{E}[width] < \infty$  leads to a contradiction

Due to Hugo Duminil-Copin.

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 0 <b>0</b> 0		

The proof of  $\delta = 0$  is complicated! (Very probabilistic.)

- Use renewal theory to show  $\delta^{-1}$  is the expected height of an irreducible bridge
- Show  $\mathbb{E}[height] < \infty \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[width] < \infty$
- Show  $\mathbb{E}[width] < \infty$  leads to a contradiction

Due to Hugo Duminil-Copin.



**Figure:** Finite patch  $S_{3,1}$  of the honeycomb lattice with a boundary. The SAW starts at *a*, ends at a mid-edge *z*, acquiring a weights *x* for each step, and *y* for each contact (filled circle) with the right hand side boundary.

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

### • There is no corresponding equation for SAW on other lattices.

- For the square lattice, Cardy and Ikhlef found a similar (parafermionic) observable, but the model describes osculating SAW with asymmetric weights.
- Arguing that the scaling limit of all two-dimensional SAW models should be identical, "something similar" should be true for SAW on other lattices.
- That is to say, an identity similar to the above should hold in the limit  $T \to \infty$ .

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity 00 000	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .

- There is no corresponding equation for SAW on other lattices.
- For the square lattice, Cardy and Ikhlef found a similar (parafermionic) observable, but the model describes osculating SAW with asymmetric weights.
- Arguing that the scaling limit of all two-dimensional SAW models should be identical, "something similar" should be true for SAW on other lattices.
- That is to say, an identity similar to the above should hold in the limit  $T \to \infty$ .

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity 00 000	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .

- There is no corresponding equation for SAW on other lattices.
- For the square lattice, Cardy and Ikhlef found a similar (parafermionic) observable, but the model describes osculating SAW with asymmetric weights.
- Arguing that the scaling limit of all two-dimensional SAW models should be identical, "something similar" should be true for SAW on other lattices.
- That is to say, an identity similar to the above should hold in the limit  $T \to \infty$ .

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity 00 000	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .

- There is no corresponding equation for SAW on other lattices.
- For the square lattice, Cardy and Ikhlef found a similar (parafermionic) observable, but the model describes osculating SAW with asymmetric weights.
- Arguing that the scaling limit of all two-dimensional SAW models should be identical, "something similar" should be true for SAW on other lattices.
- That is to say, an identity similar to the above should hold in the limit T → ∞.

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		



**Figure:** Bad picture with nice inset of  $c_{\alpha}A_T(x) + B(x)$  for honeycomb lattice walks in a strip of width  $1, \dots, 10$ .



Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

# $1 = c_A(T)A_T(x_c) + c_B(T)B_T(x_c),$

Successive widths (T, T + 1) give  $c_A(T)$  and  $c_B(T)$ . (Square lattice  $T \le 15$ , triangular lattice  $T \le 11$ ). Extrapolate:

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{c_A(T)}{c_B(T)} = \cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)$$

to 6 sig. digits. Hence

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_T(x_c) + B_T(x_c) = const. + correction$$

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

$$1 = c_A(T)A_T(x_c) + c_B(T)B_T(x_c),$$

Successive widths (T, T + 1) give  $c_A(T)$  and  $c_B(T)$ . (Square lattice  $T \le 15$ , triangular lattice  $T \le 11$ ). Extrapolate:

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{c_A(T)}{c_B(T)} = \cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)$$

to 6 sig. digits. Hence

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_T(x_c) + B_T(x_c) = const. + correction$$

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

$$1 = c_A(T)A_T(x_c) + c_B(T)B_T(x_c),$$

Successive widths (T, T + 1) give  $c_A(T)$  and  $c_B(T)$ . (Square lattice  $T \le 15$ , triangular lattice  $T \le 11$ ). Extrapolate:

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{c_A(T)}{c_B(T)} = \cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)$$

to 6 sig. digits. Hence

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_T(x_c) + B_T(x_c) = const. + correction$$

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

$$1 = c_A(T)A_T(x_c) + c_B(T)B_T(x_c),$$

Successive widths (T, T + 1) give  $c_A(T)$  and  $c_B(T)$ . (Square lattice  $T \le 15$ , triangular lattice  $T \le 11$ ). Extrapolate:

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{c_A(T)}{c_B(T)} = \cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)$$

to 6 sig. digits. Hence

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_T(x_c) + B_T(x_c) = const. + correction$$

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

$$1 = c_A(T)A_T(x_c) + c_B(T)B_T(x_c),$$

Successive widths (T, T + 1) give  $c_A(T)$  and  $c_B(T)$ . (Square lattice  $T \le 15$ , triangular lattice  $T \le 11$ ). Extrapolate:

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{c_A(T)}{c_B(T)} = \cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)$$

to 6 sig. digits. Hence

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_T(x_c) + B_T(x_c) = const. + correction$$

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

#### More generally, assume

 $c_A(T)A_T(x_c) + c_B(T)B_T(x_c) = c_A(T+1)A_{T+1}(x_c) + c_B(T+1)B_{T+1}(x_c)$ 

Successive triples give

 $c_A(T), c_B(T), x_c(T).$ 

Extrapolate  $x_c(T)$  and find  $x_c(sq) = 0.37905228(1)$  and  $x_c(tr) = 0.240917575(10)$ . (Since used for honeycomb NASAW).

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

#### More generally, assume

$$c_A(T)A_T(x_c) + c_B(T)B_T(x_c) = c_A(T+1)A_{T+1}(x_c) + c_B(T+1)B_{T+1}(x_c)$$

#### Successive triples give

 $c_A(T), c_B(T), x_c(T).$ 

Extrapolate  $x_c(T)$  and find  $x_c(sq) = 0.37905228(1)$  and  $x_c(tr) = 0.240917575(10)$ . (Since used for honeycomb NASAW).

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

#### More generally, assume

$$c_A(T)A_T(x_c) + c_B(T)B_T(x_c) = c_A(T+1)A_{T+1}(x_c) + c_B(T+1)B_{T+1}(x_c)$$

Successive triples give

$$c_A(T), c_B(T), x_c(T).$$

Extrapolate  $x_c(T)$  and find  $x_c(sq) = 0.37905228(1)$  and  $x_c(tr) = 0.240917575(10)$ . (Since used for honeycomb NASAW).





**Figure:** Finite patch with a boundary. The SAW acquires weights x, y for each step/contact.

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_T(x_c, y) + \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\left(\frac{y_c - y}{y}\right)B_T(x_c, y) = 1.$$

A generalised identity for SAW on the honeycomb lattice

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

• At  $y = y_c$  we have

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_T(x_c, y) = 1.$$

- So for the honeycomb lattice T = 1 and T = 2 results are enough to calculate  $x_c$  and  $y_c$ !
- Other lattices?
- Vertex or site interaction?
- Honeycomb all vertices interaction ?

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

• At 
$$y = y_c$$
 we have

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_T(x_c, y) = 1.$$

- So for the honeycomb lattice T = 1 and T = 2 results are enough to calculate  $x_c$  and  $y_c$ !
- Other lattices?
- Vertex or site interaction?
- Honeycomb all vertices interaction ?

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

• At 
$$y = y_c$$
 we have

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_T(x_c, y) = 1.$$

- So for the honeycomb lattice T = 1 and T = 2 results are enough to calculate  $x_c$  and  $y_c$ !
- Other lattices?
- Vertex or site interaction?
- Honeycomb all vertices interaction ?

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

• At 
$$y = y_c$$
 we have

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_T(x_c, y) = 1.$$

- So for the honeycomb lattice T = 1 and T = 2 results are enough to calculate  $x_c$  and  $y_c$ !
- Other lattices?
- Vertex or site interaction?
- Honeycomb all vertices interaction ?

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

• At 
$$y = y_c$$
 we have

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_T(x_c, y) = 1.$$

- So for the honeycomb lattice T = 1 and T = 2 results are enough to calculate  $x_c$  and  $y_c$ !
- Other lattices?
- Vertex or site interaction?
- Honeycomb all vertices interaction ?



**Figure:** The figure shows the two types of surface sites on the honeycomb lattice as indicated by solid and shaded circles.





**Figure:** Square lattice with surface site interactions.  $A_T(x_c, y)$  versus *y* for  $T = 1 \dots 15$ . Inset shows the intersection region in finer scale.

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

- We denote by  $y_c(T)$  the point of intersection of  $A_T(x_c, y)$  and  $A_{T+1}(x_c, y)$ .
- We observe that the sequence  $\{y_c(T)\}$  is a monotone function of *T*. The argument above implies that  $\lim_{T\to\infty} y_c(T) = y_c$ .
- This then suggests a new numerical approach to estimating  $y_c$ .
- One first calculates the generating functions  $A_T(x_c, y)$ , for all strip widths  $T = 0, 1, 2, ..., T_{\text{max}}$ .
- Then use these to calculate  $y_c(T)$  for  $T = 0, 1, 2, ..., T_{\max-1}$ .
- Then extrapolate this monotone sequence by a variety of standard sequence extrapolation methods.

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity 00	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		000		

- We denote by  $y_c(T)$  the point of intersection of  $A_T(x_c, y)$  and  $A_{T+1}(x_c, y)$ .
- We observe that the sequence  $\{y_c(T)\}$  is a monotone function of *T*. The argument above implies that  $\lim_{T\to\infty} y_c(T) = y_c$ .
- This then suggests a new numerical approach to estimating  $y_c$ .
- One first calculates the generating functions  $A_T(x_c, y)$ , for all strip widths  $T = 0, 1, 2, ..., T_{\text{max}}$ .
- Then use these to calculate  $y_c(T)$  for  $T = 0, 1, 2, ..., T_{\max-1}$ .
- Then extrapolate this monotone sequence by a variety of standard sequence extrapolation methods.

Discrete holomorphicity $\frac{1}{2}$ Schere holomorphicity $\frac{1}{2}$ Schere holomorphicity $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	Discrete holomorphicity References Discrete Holomorphicity Self-avoiding walks	<b>s on other lattices</b> Extend identity away from $z = z_c$
---	--	--

- We denote by  $y_c(T)$  the point of intersection of  $A_T(x_c, y)$  and  $A_{T+1}(x_c, y)$ .
- We observe that the sequence  $\{y_c(T)\}$  is a monotone function of *T*. The argument above implies that  $\lim_{T\to\infty} y_c(T) = y_c$ .
- This then suggests a new numerical approach to estimating  $y_c$ .
- One first calculates the generating functions  $A_T(x_c, y)$ , for all strip widths  $T = 0, 1, 2, ..., T_{max}$ .
- Then use these to calculate  $y_c(T)$  for  $T = 0, 1, 2, ..., T_{\max-1}$ .
- Then extrapolate this monotone sequence by a variety of standard sequence extrapolation methods.

Discrete holomorphicity $\frac{1}{2}$ Schere holomorphicity $\frac{1}{2}$ Schere holomorphicity $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	Discrete holomorphicity References Discrete Holomorphicity Self-avoiding walks	<b>s on other lattices</b> Extend identity away from $z = z_c$
---	--	--

- We denote by  $y_c(T)$  the point of intersection of  $A_T(x_c, y)$  and  $A_{T+1}(x_c, y)$ .
- We observe that the sequence  $\{y_c(T)\}$  is a monotone function of *T*. The argument above implies that  $\lim_{T\to\infty} y_c(T) = y_c$ .
- This then suggests a new numerical approach to estimating  $y_c$ .
- One first calculates the generating functions  $A_T(x_c, y)$ , for all strip widths  $T = 0, 1, 2, ..., T_{\text{max}}$ .
- Then use these to calculate  $y_c(T)$  for  $T = 0, 1, 2, ..., T_{\max 1}$ .
- Then extrapolate this monotone sequence by a variety of standard sequence extrapolation methods.

Discrete holomorphicity $\frac{1}{2}$ Schere holomorphicity $\frac{1}{2}$ Schere holomorphicity $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	Discrete holomorphicity References Discrete Holomorphicity Self-avoiding wal $\circ \circ \circ$	<b>lks on other lattices</b> Extend identity away from $z = z_c$
---	--	--

- We denote by  $y_c(T)$  the point of intersection of  $A_T(x_c, y)$  and  $A_{T+1}(x_c, y)$ .
- We observe that the sequence  $\{y_c(T)\}$  is a monotone function of *T*. The argument above implies that  $\lim_{T\to\infty} y_c(T) = y_c$ .
- This then suggests a new numerical approach to estimating  $y_c$ .
- One first calculates the generating functions  $A_T(x_c, y)$ , for all strip widths  $T = 0, 1, 2, ..., T_{max}$ .
- Then use these to calculate  $y_c(T)$  for  $T = 0, 1, 2, ..., T_{\max-1}$ .
- Then extrapolate this monotone sequence by a variety of standard sequence extrapolation methods.

Discrete holomorphicity $\frac{1}{2}$ Schere holomorphicity $\frac{1}{2}$ Schere holomorphicity $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	Discrete holomorphicity References Discrete Holomorphicity Self-avoiding wal $\circ \circ \circ$	<b>lks on other lattices</b> Extend identity away from $z = z_c$
---	--	--

- We denote by  $y_c(T)$  the point of intersection of  $A_T(x_c, y)$  and  $A_{T+1}(x_c, y)$ .
- We observe that the sequence  $\{y_c(T)\}$  is a monotone function of *T*. The argument above implies that  $\lim_{T\to\infty} y_c(T) = y_c$ .
- This then suggests a new numerical approach to estimating  $y_c$ .
- One first calculates the generating functions  $A_T(x_c, y)$ , for all strip widths  $T = 0, 1, 2, ..., T_{max}$ .
- Then use these to calculate  $y_c(T)$  for  $T = 0, 1, 2, ..., T_{\max-1}$ .
- Then extrapolate this monotone sequence by a variety of standard sequence extrapolation methods.

Discrete holomorphicity References Discrete Holomorphicity Self-avoiding walks on other lattices Extend identity away from $z = \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity 00 000	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z$
--	-------------------------	------------	--------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

**Table:** Estimated critical fugacity  $y_c$  for surface adsorption.

Lattice	Site weighting	Edge weighting
Honeycomb	1.46767	$\sqrt{1+\sqrt{2}}$
Square	1.77564	2.040135
Triangular	2.144181	2.950026

For the square lattice these are at least 1000 times more accurate than other methods. Other results are new.

Discrete holomorphicity References Discrete Holomorphicity Self-avoiding walks on other lattices Extend identity away from  $z = z_c$ .

# EXTEND OFF-CRITICALITY

• We redefine the parafermionic operator ( $\bar{\sigma} = 1 + \sigma$ ) as

$$F(x) = F(a, x, z, \bar{\sigma}) = \sum_{\gamma \subset \Omega: a \to x} e^{\bar{\sigma} W(\gamma)} z^{|\gamma|}.$$

• In terms of this redefined operator, the D-C/S result is

$$\sum_{\gamma \subset \Omega: a \to x} e^{i\frac{3}{8}W(\gamma)} z_c^{|\gamma|} = 1.$$

where the sum is over all walks starting at *a* and ending at *x*, on the boundary of  $\Omega$ .

Discrete holomorphicity References Discrete Holomorphicity Self-avoiding walks on other lattices Extend identity away from  $z = z_c$ .

# Theorem

For  $z \leq z_c$ 

$$\sum_{\gamma:a\to x\in\partial\Omega} e^{\frac{3i}{8}W(\gamma)} z^{|\gamma|} + (1-z/z_c) \sum_{\gamma:a\to x\in\Omega\setminus\partial\Omega} e^{\frac{3i}{8}W(\gamma)} z^{|\gamma|} = 1.$$

The first sum is over all walks that finish at the surface of the domain, while the second sum is over all walks that finish strictly in the interior of the domain.

We do this for the *n*-vector model  $n \in [-2, 2]$ . The r.h.s. becomes a loop generating function, and *F* has an extra parameter that counts loops.
## Theorem

For  $z \leq z_c$ 

$$\sum_{\gamma:a\to x\in\partial\Omega} e^{\frac{3i}{8}W(\gamma)} z^{|\gamma|} + (1-z/z_c) \sum_{\gamma:a\to x\in\Omega\setminus\partial\Omega} e^{\frac{3i}{8}W(\gamma)} z^{|\gamma|} = 1.$$

The first sum is over all walks that finish at the surface of the domain, while the second sum is over all walks that finish strictly in the interior of the domain.

We do this for the *n*-vector model  $n \in [-2, 2]$ . The r.h.s. becomes a loop generating function, and *F* has an extra parameter that counts loops.

## Sketch of proof

**Lemma** For a given vertex v with mid-edges p, q and r, and z below the critical value  $z_c$ , the observable  $F_H(z)$  satisfies

$$(p-v)F_H(p) + (q-v)F_H(q) + (r-v)F_H(r) = (1-\frac{z}{z_c})F_V(v)$$

where

$$F_V(v) := (p - v)F_H(p; v) + (q - v)F_H(q; v) + (r - v)F_H(r; v)$$

and  $F_H(p; v)$  only includes configurations where there is a walk terminating at the mid-edge p which precedes the vertex v. Now sum over all vertices, using this lemma, and the result follows, after invoking symmetries, and some simplifications.

## Sketch of proof

**Lemma** For a given vertex v with mid-edges p, q and r, and z below the critical value  $z_c$ , the observable  $F_H(z)$  satisfies

$$(p-v)F_H(p) + (q-v)F_H(q) + (r-v)F_H(r) = (1-\frac{z}{z_c})F_V(v)$$

where

$$F_V(v) := (p - v)F_H(p; v) + (q - v)F_H(q; v) + (r - v)F_H(r; v)$$

and  $F_H(p; v)$  only includes configurations where there is a walk terminating at the mid-edge p which precedes the vertex v. Now sum over all vertices, using this lemma, and the result follows, after invoking symmetries, and some simplifications.

## **EXPONENT INEQUALITIES**

In a strip, we obtain

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_T(z) + B_T(z) + (1 - z/z_c)G_T(z) = 1.$$

As  $T \to \infty$ ,  $A_T(z) \to \chi_{11}(z)$ ,  $B_T(z) \to 0$ , and  $G_T(z) \le \chi_1$ .

It immediately follows that

$$\gamma_{11} \le \gamma_1 - 1.$$

(Numerically,  $\frac{73}{64} \ge 1$ .)

## **EXPONENT INEQUALITIES**

In a strip, we obtain

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_T(z) + B_T(z) + (1 - z/z_c)G_T(z) = 1.$$

As  $T \to \infty$ ,  $A_T(z) \to \chi_{11}(z)$ ,  $B_T(z) \to 0$ , and  $G_T(z) \le \chi_1$ .

It immediately follows that

 $\gamma_{11} \le \gamma_1 - 1.$ 

(Numerically,  $\frac{73}{64} \ge 1.$ )

## **EXPONENT INEQUALITIES**

In a strip, we obtain

$$\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)A_T(z) + B_T(z) + (1 - z/z_c)G_T(z) = 1.$$

As  $T \to \infty$ ,  $A_T(z) \to \chi_{11}(z)$ ,  $B_T(z) \to 0$ , and  $G_T(z) \le \chi_1$ .

It immediately follows that

$$\gamma_{11} \le \gamma_1 - 1.$$

(Numerically,  $\frac{73}{64} \ge 1$ .)

## WINDING ANGLE CALCULATION

Now to obtain the winding angle distribution function directly from the off-critical generating function identity. Define

$$G_{\theta,\Omega}(x) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma: a \to z \in \Omega \setminus \partial \Omega \\ W(\gamma) = \theta}} x^{|\gamma|} n^{c(\gamma)}$$

(contributions to  $G_{\Omega}(x)$  that involve walks with winding angle  $\theta$ . Also

$$F_{\Omega}(x) = \sum_{\gamma: a \to z \in \partial \Omega} e^{\tilde{\sigma} i W(\gamma)} x^{|\gamma|} n^{c(\gamma)},$$

the ogf of "walks" that end on a domain boundary. (To simplify the notation,  $\gamma$  now describes a walk and a configuration of loops.)

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

#### The key identity becomes

$$F_{\Omega}(x) + (1 - x/x_c) \sum_{\theta} e^{\tilde{\sigma}i\theta} G_{\theta,\Omega}(x) = C_{\Omega}(x)$$
  
Normalise, so that  $F_{\Omega}^*(x) = \frac{F_{\Omega}(x)}{C_{\Omega}(x)}$  and  $G_{\theta,\Omega}^*(x) = \frac{G_{\theta,\Omega}(x)}{C_{\Omega}(x)}$ .

For  $x < x_c$  define  $F^*(x)$  and  $G^*_{\theta}(x)$  to be  $F^*_{\Omega}(x)$  and  $G^*_{\theta,\Omega}(x)$  respectively as  $\Omega$  approaches the half plane. So

$$F^*(x) + (1 - x/x_c) \sum_{\theta} e^{\tilde{\sigma}i\theta} G^*_{\theta}(x) = 1.$$
(1)

We assume the existence of two critical exponents,  $\gamma_{11}$  for loops, and  $\gamma_1$  for walks attached to a surface.

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

#### The key identity becomes

$$F_{\Omega}(x) + (1 - x/x_c) \sum_{\theta} e^{\tilde{\sigma}i\theta} G_{\theta,\Omega}(x) = C_{\Omega}(x)$$

Normalise, so that 
$$F_{\Omega}^{*}(x) = \frac{F_{\Omega}(x)}{C_{\Omega}(x)}$$
 and  $G_{\theta,\Omega}^{*}(x) = \frac{G_{\theta,\Omega}(x)}{C_{\Omega}(x)}$ 

For  $x < x_c$  define  $F^*(x)$  and  $G^*_{\theta}(x)$  to be  $F^*_{\Omega}(x)$  and  $G^*_{\theta,\Omega}(x)$  respectively as  $\Omega$  approaches the half plane. So

$$F^*(x) + (1 - x/x_c) \sum_{\theta} e^{\tilde{\sigma}i\theta} G^*_{\theta}(x) = 1.$$
(1)

We assume the existence of two critical exponents,  $\gamma_{11}$  for loops, and  $\gamma_1$  for walks attached to a surface.

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

$$F^*(x) \sim 1 + const.(1 - x/x_c)^{-\gamma_{11}}; \ \sum_{\theta} G^*_{\theta}(x) \sim const.(1 - x/x_c)^{-\gamma_1}$$

From equation (1) we obtain

$$\sum_{\theta} e^{\tilde{\sigma}i\theta} G_{\theta}^*(x) = \frac{1 - F^*(x)}{1 - x/x_c} \sim C(1 - x/x_c)^{-\gamma_{11} - 1}.$$
 (2)

Let 
$$G^*_{\theta}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{\theta}(j) x^j$$
.

Then

$$\sum_{\theta} a_{\theta}(j) \sim const. x_c^{-j} j^{\gamma_1 - 1}, \text{ and } \sum_{\theta} e^{\tilde{\sigma} i \theta} a_{\theta}(j) \sim C x_c^{-j} j^{\gamma_{11}}.$$

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

$$\sum_{\theta} a_{\theta}(j) \sim const. x_c^{-j} j^{\gamma_1 - 1}, \text{ and } \sum_{\theta} e^{\tilde{\sigma}i\theta} a_{\theta}(j) \sim C x_c^{-j} j^{\gamma_{11}}.$$
Let  $b_{\theta}(j) = \frac{a_{\theta}^{(j)}(j)}{\sum_{\theta} a_{\theta}(j)}.$ 

$$\sum_{\theta} e^{\tilde{\sigma}i\theta} b_{\theta}(j) \sim const. j^{\gamma_{11} - \gamma_1 + 1}$$

Now  $b_{\theta}$  is just the probability distribution function  $P(\theta)$ , so

$$\sum_{\theta} e^{\tilde{\sigma}i\theta} b_{\theta}(j) \approx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\tilde{\sigma}i\theta} P(\theta) d\theta \propto j^{-\omega}.$$
$$1 + \gamma_{11} - \gamma_1 = -\omega.$$

So

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00		

# This gives the winding angle distribution exponent for all O(n) models with $n \in [-2, 2]$ in terms of exponents $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_{11}$ .

From the existing physics literature, one has (CFT)

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{\kappa^2 + 12\kappa - 12}{8\kappa(4 - \kappa)}, \qquad \gamma_{11} = -\frac{2(3 - \kappa)}{\kappa(4 - \kappa)},$$

and thus

$$1 + \gamma_{11} - \gamma_1 = -\frac{9}{8} \frac{(2-\kappa)^2}{\kappa(4-\kappa)}.$$

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00		

This gives the winding angle distribution exponent for all O(n) models with  $n \in [-2, 2]$  in terms of exponents  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_{11}$ .

From the existing physics literature, one has (CFT)

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{\kappa^2 + 12\kappa - 12}{8\kappa(4 - \kappa)}, \qquad \gamma_{11} = -\frac{2(3 - \kappa)}{\kappa(4 - \kappa)},$$

and thus

$$1 + \gamma_{11} - \gamma_1 = -\frac{9}{8} \frac{(2-\kappa)^2}{\kappa(4-\kappa)}.$$

## WINDING ANGLE DISTRIBUTION

Obtained by Duplantier and Saleur for the O(*n*) model. We have  $n = -2\cos(4\pi/\kappa)$ . They conjecture, from CFT and Coulomb gas arguments, that

$$P(x = \theta) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\theta^2}{2\kappa\nu\log\ell}\right), \qquad \ell \to \infty,$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\tilde{\sigma}i\theta} P(\theta) d\theta \propto \ell^{-\omega}, \text{ where}$$

$$\omega = \nu \kappa \tilde{\sigma}^2 / 2 = \frac{\kappa \tilde{\sigma}^2}{2(4-\kappa)} = \frac{9}{8} \frac{(2-\kappa)^2}{\kappa(4-\kappa)}.$$

## WINDING ANGLE DISTRIBUTION

Obtained by Duplantier and Saleur for the O(*n*) model. We have  $n = -2\cos(4\pi/\kappa)$ . They conjecture, from CFT and Coulomb gas arguments, that

$$P(x=\theta) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\theta^2}{2\kappa\nu\log\ell}\right), \qquad \ell \to \infty,$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\tilde{\sigma} i\theta} P(\theta) d\theta \propto \ell^{-\omega}, \text{ where}$$

$$\omega = \nu \kappa \tilde{\sigma}^2 / 2 = \frac{\kappa \tilde{\sigma}^2}{2(4-\kappa)} = \frac{9}{8} \frac{(2-\kappa)^2}{\kappa(4-\kappa)}.$$

## WINDING ANGLE DISTRIBUTION

Obtained by Duplantier and Saleur for the O(*n*) model. We have  $n = -2\cos(4\pi/\kappa)$ . They conjecture, from CFT and Coulomb gas arguments, that

$$P(x=\theta) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\theta^2}{2\kappa\nu\log\ell}\right), \qquad \ell \to \infty,$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\tilde{\sigma} i\theta} P(\theta) d\theta \propto \ell^{-\omega}, \text{ where }$$

$$\omega = \nu \kappa \tilde{\sigma}^2 / 2 = \frac{\kappa \tilde{\sigma}^2}{2(4-\kappa)} = \frac{9}{8} \frac{(2-\kappa)^2}{\kappa(4-\kappa)}.$$

## WINDING ANGLE DISTRIBUTION

Obtained by Duplantier and Saleur for the O(*n*) model. We have  $n = -2\cos(4\pi/\kappa)$ . They conjecture, from CFT and Coulomb gas arguments, that

$$P(x=\theta) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\theta^2}{2\kappa\nu\log\ell}\right), \qquad \ell \to \infty,$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\tilde{\sigma}i\theta} P(\theta) d\theta \propto \ell^{-\omega}, \text{ where}$$

$$\omega = \nu \kappa \tilde{\sigma}^2 / 2 = \frac{\kappa \tilde{\sigma}^2}{2(4-\kappa)} = \frac{9}{8} \frac{(2-\kappa)^2}{\kappa(4-\kappa)}.$$

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		00 000		

## • Note that for SAW ( $\kappa = 8/3$ ) this gives $\omega = \tilde{\sigma}^2 = \frac{9}{64}$ .

- There are several other calculations that we can make with this identity, and that is work in progress.
- THE END Thank you

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity 00	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		000		

- Note that for SAW ( $\kappa = 8/3$ ) this gives  $\omega = \tilde{\sigma}^2 = \frac{9}{64}$ .
- There are several other calculations that we can make with this identity, and that is work in progress.
- THE END Thank you

Discrete holomorphicity	References	Discrete Holomorphicity 00	Self-avoiding walks on other lattices	Extend identity away from $z = z_c$ .
		000		

- Note that for SAW ( $\kappa = 8/3$ ) this gives  $\omega = \tilde{\sigma}^2 = \frac{9}{64}$ .
- There are several other calculations that we can make with this identity, and that is work in progress.
- THE END Thank you