## Incremental View Maintenance for Active Documents

### Serge Abiteboul Pierre Bourhis Bogdan Marinoiu

INRIA Futurs, Université de Paris Sud 11, ENS Cachan

4/10/2007 DocFlow Meeting







4/10/2007 DocFlow Meeting 1/

S.A., P.B., B.M. (Gemo)

Monitoring of AXML Documents

## Overview

### Introduction

### 2 Model



Query satisfiability

S.A., P.B., B.M. (Gemo)

🌀 Useless Data





## Plan



Model

Incremental Maintenance

4 Query satisfiability

S.A., P.B., B.M. (Gemo)

- 5 Useless Data
- Conclusion

4/10/2007 DocFlow Meeting

## Motivation

### Monitoring data evolving in the time

A user subscribes to a continuous query over data and receives results in order to monitor the document

- Active databases
- Aggregation of several streams : web page of newspapers e.g. "Le Monde", iGoogle
- Monitoring of distributed systems
- Computing distributed queries

### Incremental View Maintenance

To compute  $q(l_2(d)) - q(l_1(d))$  without computing  $q(l_2(d))$  but by evaluating  $q'(l_1(d), \Delta)$ , where q(l(d)) is the view of the query q



S.A., P.B., B.M. (Gemo)

Monitoring of AXML Documents

### Incremental View Maintenance

To compute  $q(l_2(d)) - q(l_1(d))$  without computing  $q(l_2(d))$  but by evaluating  $q'(l_1(d), \Delta)$ , where q(l(d)) is the view of the query q



### Incremental View Maintenance

To compute  $q(l_2(d)) - q(l_1(d))$  without computing  $q(l_2(d))$  but by evaluating  $q'(l_1(d), \Delta)$ , where q(l(d)) is the view of the query q



S.A., P.B., B.M. (Gemo)

Monitoring of AXML Documents

### Incremental View Maintenance

To compute  $q(l_2(d)) - q(l_1(d))$  without computing  $q(l_2(d))$  but by evaluating  $q'(l_1(d), \Delta)$ , where q(l(d)) is the view of the query q



S.A., P.B., B.M. (Gemo)

Monitoring of AXML Documents

## Our approach

- Data structured in tree
- The evolution is restricted to adding subtrees
- Queries based on tree patterns

4/10/2007 DocFlow Meeting

ີງ 36

## Plan



### 2 Model

Incremental Maintenance

- Query satisfiability
- 5 Useless Data





4/10/2007 DocFlow Meeting

10 / 36

S.A., P.B., B.M. (Gemo)

## Active Documents

### Active Document

- Tree where nodes are labeled by data (labels and XML PCDATA) or by functions (only the leaves for the functions)
- Update of an active document when a message comes from a stream
- Sequence of updates by integrating messages from several streams/data sources

### Active Documents

### Active Document

- Tree where nodes are labeled by data (labels and XML PCDATA) or by functions (only the leaves for the functions)
- Update of an active document when a message comes from a stream
- Sequence of updates by integrating messages from several streams/data sources



### Active Documents

### Active Document

- Tree where nodes are labeled by data (labels and XML PCDATA) or by functions (only the leaves for the functions)
- Update of an active document when a message comes from a stream
- Sequence of updates by integrating messages from several streams/data sources



Monitoring of AXML Documents

### Active Documents

### Active Document

- Tree where nodes are labeled by data (labels and XML PCDATA) or by functions (only the leaves for the functions)
- Update of an active document when a message comes from a stream



S.A., P.B., B.M. (Gemo)

Monitoring of AXML Documents

### Active Documents

### Active Document

- Tree where nodes are labeled by data (labels and XML PCDATA) or by functions (only the leaves for the functions)
- Update of an active document when a message comes from a stream
- Sequence of updates by integrating messages from several streams/data sources



S.A., P.B., B.M. (Gemo)

Monitoring of AXML Documents

## Active Documents

Some studied extensions :

- Typed documents
- Typed streams

4/10/2007 DocFlow Meeting

### Tree Pattern queries

### Boolean Tree Pattern query

is a tree where edges belong to relation *child*  $(E_{/})$  and *descendant*  $E_{//}$ . The nodes are labeled by data or \*.



### Query satisfaction

Query satisfaction : There exists a (non injective) function from the query q to the instance of the document respecting root, child, descendant relations and the labeling



## Other queries

### • Disjunction :

- Negation
- Return values
- Joins



S.A., P.B., B.M. (Gemo)

4/10/2007 DocFlow Meeting

## Other queries

### • Disjunction :

- Negation :
- Return values
- Joins



## Other queries

- Disjunction :Negation :
- Return values
- Joins



4/10/2007 DocFlow Meeting



## Other queries

- Disjunction :
- Negation :
- Return values
- Joins



1 =

4/10/2007 DocFlow Meeting

## Plan



### 2 Mode



- 4 Query satisfiability
- 5 Useless Data







## Our approach

# **Problem :** some new data arrives on a stream Optimization 1

Use incremental maintenance

### **Optimization 2**

Use an optimization in the spirit of Magic Set.

S.A., P.B., B.M. (Gemo)

Monitoring of AXML Documents

## Our approach

A tree pattern is translated into a nr-datalog program using the base relations :

- root(n) : The node n is root of the tree
- par(n, n') : The node *n* is the parent of the node *n'*
- $\operatorname{anc}(n, n')$  : The node n is an ancestor of the node n'
- label<sub>a</sub>(n) : The node n is labeled a
- function(n) : The node n is labeled by a function

## A simple instance

$$q() \leftarrow a(n)$$

$$\widehat{a}(n) \leftarrow root(n), lab_{a}(n)$$

$$\widehat{b}(n) \leftarrow \widehat{a}(n'), par(n', n), lab_{b}(n)$$

$$\widehat{c}(n) \leftarrow \widehat{a}(n'), par(n', n), lab_{c}(n), (b(n''), par(n', n''))$$

$$b(n) \leftarrow \widehat{b}(n)$$

$$b(n) \leftarrow \widehat{c}(n)$$

$$a(n) \leftarrow \widehat{a}(n), b(n'), c(n''), par(n, n'), par(n, n'')$$

S.A., P.B., B.M. (Gemo)

Monitoring of AXML Documents

## Incremental Maintenance

- All sets computed for the evaluation of q are stored
- Rewriting of the nr-datalog program to propagate only the new updates  $\tilde{p} = p \uplus \Delta(p)$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} p(n) & \leftarrow & q(n,n'), r(n',n'') \\ \tilde{p}(n) & \leftarrow & q(n,n'), r(n',n'') \\ \tilde{p}(n) & \leftarrow & \Delta(q)(n,n'), r(n',n'') \\ \tilde{p}(n) & \leftarrow & q(n,n'), \Delta(r)(n',n'') \\ \tilde{p}(n) & \leftarrow & \Delta(q)(n,n'), \Delta(r)(n',n'') \\ \Delta p(n) & \leftarrow & \Delta(q)(n,n'), r(n',n'') \\ \Delta p(n) & \leftarrow & \tilde{q}(n,n'), \Delta(r)(n',n'') \end{array}$$

S.A., P.B., B.M. (Gemo)

## Plan



### 2 Model



### Query satisfiability

S.A., P.B., B.M. (Gemo)

### 5) Useless Data

### Conclusior

4/10/2007 DocFlow Meeting

In the context of monotone queries and monotone streams, three cases are possible :

- the document satisfies the query
- 2 the document does not never satisfy the query
- the document can satisfy the query (satisfiability)

The incremental maintenance is needed only in the third case

## Definition

### Query satisfiability

An instance of document I(d) can satisfy the query q, denoted  $I(d) \models_{\diamond} q$ iff there exists an sequence of update  $\omega$  such that  $\omega(I)(d) \models q$ .



## Evaluation

In the case of monotone queries :

We use a 3 values based logic nr-datalog program  $\{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$ :  $X \land Y = Min(X, Y), X \lor Y = Max(X, Y), \neg X = 1 - X$ The semantics of our program are

True (1) The document satisfies the query

May be  $(\frac{1}{2})$  The document does not satisfy the query but may satisfy it in the future.

False (0) The document does not and will never satisfy the query.

## A simple instance



S.A., P.B., B.M. (Gemo)

Monitoring of AXML Documents

## Optimization

The Magic Set optimisation is adapted to our context of logic with three values.





Monitoring of AXML Documents

## Complexity (1/2)

In the case of a simple active document :

Query	Complexity	Complexity
	in the data	in the query
Boolean	LINEAR	LINEAR
Boolean	PTIME	EXPTIME-Complete
with negation		
Boolean	PTIME	NP-Hard
with Joins		
Boolean	Undecidable	Undecidable
with Joins and Negation		
Non-Boolean	PTIME	EXPTIME-Complete
Non-Boolean	PTIME	EXPTIME-Complete
with Joins		

S.A., P.B., B.M. (Gemo)



## Complexity(2/2)

### Only with Boolean queries :

Туре	Complexity	Complexity
	in the data	in the query
typed Document	?	NP-Hard
non streams functions	PTIME	NP-Complete
Typed functions	LINEAR	NP-Complete

S.A., P.B., B.M. (Gemo)

Monitoring of AXML Documents

## Plan



### 2 Model

3 Incremental Maintenance

4 Query satisfiability

S.A., P.B., B.M. (Gemo)

### 🌀 Useless Data





## Objectif

### Our goal

Checking the data that is not useful to answer the query

We focus here on useless functions



4/10/2007 DocFlow Meeting

Useless Data

### Instance



- The sequence (!f, e[c]), (!g, c) transforms the instance in one satisfying the query
- But the sequence (!g, c) is enough for the satisfaction of the query

4/10/2007 DocFlow Meeting

Thus !f is useless for this query.

## Complexity et Algorithm

### Complexity

Deciding usefulness is NP-Complete in the size of the query and PTIME in the size of the instance.

Algorithm : To find a symbolic representation of all sequences of update transforming the instance in an instance satisfying the query based on boolean formulae of tree patterns over streams.

- A first sufficient formula is built
- It is refined using checks of containment of tree patterns queries
- Only the functions appearing in the refined formula are useful

## Plan







S.A., P.B., B.M. (Gemo)

4/10/2007 DocFlow Meeting

## Implementation

Our theoretical approach is being implemented. There are some prototypes

- Transforms (d, q) into a P2PML plan, possible combinatorial explosion.
- Incremental evaluation in datalog is implemented for testing in storing all relations in memory

### Other works

- The satisfiability in more complex case : non monotone streams, typed documents ...
- The useless data in a more general case

Conclusion

## Thank you

Any question ?



S.A., P.B., B.M. (Gemo)

Monitoring of AXML Documents

4/10/2007 DocFlow Meeting