

SCHUR PARTIAL DERIVATIVE OPERATORS

J.-C. AVAL AND N. BERGERON


ABSTRACT. A lattice diagram is a finite list $L = ((p_1, q_1), \dots, (p_n, q_n))$ of lattice cells. The corresponding lattice diagram determinant is $\Delta_L(X; Y) = \det \|x_i^{p_j} y_i^{q_j}\|$. The space M_L is the space spanned by all partial derivatives of $\Delta_L(X; Y)$. We describe here how a Schur function partial derivative operator acts on lattice diagrams with distinct cells in the positive quadrant.

1. INTRODUCTION

The lattice cell in the $i + 1^{\text{st}}$ row and $j + 1^{\text{st}}$ column of the positive quadrant of the plane is denoted by (i, j) . We order the set of all lattice cells using the following *lexicographic* order:

$$(1.1) \quad (p_1, q_1) < (p_2, q_2) \iff q_1 < q_2 \text{ or } [q_1 = q_2 \text{ and } p_1 < p_2].$$

For our purpose, a *lattice diagram* is a finite list $L = ((p_1, q_1), \dots, (p_n, q_n))$ of lattice cells such that $(p_1, q_1) \leq (p_2, q_2) \leq \dots \leq (p_n, q_n)$. Following the definitions and conventions of [4], the coordinates p_i and q_i of a cell (p_i, q_i) indicate the row and column position, respectively, of the cell. For $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_k > 0$, we say that $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k)$ is a *partition* of n if $n = \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_k$. We associate to a partition μ the following lattice (Ferrers) diagram $((i, j) : 0 \leq i \leq k - 1, 0 \leq j \leq \mu_{i+1} - 1)$, distinct cells ordered with 1.1, and we use the symbol μ for both the partition and its associated Ferrers diagram. For example, given the partition $(4, 2, 1)$, its Ferrers diagram is:



This consists of the lattice cells $((0, 0), (1, 0), (2, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1), (0, 2), (0, 3))$.

Given a lattice diagram $L = ((p_1, q_1), (p_2, q_2), \dots, (p_n, q_n))$ we define the *lattice diagram determinant*

$$\Delta_L(X; Y) = \det \left\| \frac{x_i^{p_j} y_i^{q_j}}{p_j! q_j!} \right\|_{i,j=1}^n,$$

where $X = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ and $Y = y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$. This determinant clearly vanishes if any cell has multiplicity greater than one, and we set $\Delta_L(X; Y) = 0$ if a coordinate of any cell is negative. The determinant $\Delta_L(X; Y)$ is bihomogeneous of degree $|p| =$

Date: November 21, 2001.

N. Bergeron is supported in part by NSERC and PREA.

$p_1 + \cdots + p_n$ in X and degree $|q| = q_1 + \cdots + q_n$ in Y . The factorials will ensure that the lattice diagram determinants behave nicely under partial derivatives.

For a polynomial $P(X; Y)$ we denote by $P(\partial X; \partial Y)$ the differential operator obtained from P , substituting every variable x_i by the operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ and every variable y_j by the operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}$. A permutation $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$ acts diagonally on a polynomial $P(X; Y)$ as follows: $\sigma P(X; Y) = P(x_{\sigma_1}, x_{\sigma_2}, \dots, x_{\sigma_n}; y_{\sigma_1}, y_{\sigma_2}, \dots, y_{\sigma_n})$. Under this action, $\Delta_L(X; Y)$ is clearly an alternant.

These lattice diagram determinants are crucial in the study of the so-called “ $n!$ conjecture” of A. Garsia and M. Haiman [5], recently proven by M. Haiman [6], and in generalizations of this question (see [2, 4] for example). To be more precise the key object is the vector space spanned by all partial derivatives of a given lattice diagram determinant Δ_L , which we denote by

$$\mathbf{M}_L = \mathcal{L}_\partial[\Delta_L].$$

Very useful in the comprehension of the structure of the \mathbf{M}_L spaces are the “shift operators”. These operators are special symmetric derivative operators, whose action on the lattice diagram determinants could be easily described in terms of movements of cells.

Another interest related to the shift operators is the hope to obtain a description of the vanishing ideal of \mathbf{M}_L , which is defined as:

$$\mathcal{I}_L = \{f \in \mathbb{Q}[X; Y]; f(\partial X; \partial Y)\Delta_L(X; Y) = 0\}.$$

The structure of \mathbf{M}_L and of \mathcal{I}_L are closely related and the shift operators are crucial tools to study \mathcal{I}_L (see [1, 2, 3] for some applications).

Let us recall results of [2] that describe the effects of power sums, elementary and homogeneous symmetric differential operators on lattice diagram determinants.

For the sake of simplicity, we limit our descriptions to X -operators; the Y -operators are similar. Recall that

$$P_k(X) = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^k$$

$$e_k(X) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k}$$

$$h_k(X) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_k \leq n} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k}$$

are respectively the k -th power sum, elementary and homogeneous symmetric polynomial.

Now, to state the next proposition, we need to introduce some notation. For a lattice diagram L , we denote by \bar{L} its complement in the positive quadrant (it is an infinite subset). Again we order $\bar{L} = \{(\bar{p}_1, \bar{q}_1), (\bar{p}_2, \bar{q}_2), \dots\}$ using the lexicographic order 1.1. Let L be a lattice diagram with n distinct cells in the positive quadrant. For any integer $k \geq 1$ we have:

Proposition 1.1 (Proposition I.1 [4], Propositions 2.4, 2.6 [2]).

$$(1.2) \quad P_k(\partial X)\Delta_L(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \pm \Delta_{P_k(i;L)}(X, Y),$$

where $P_k(i; L)$ is the diagram obtained by replacing the i -th biexponent (p_i, q_i) by $(p_i - k, q_i)$ and the coefficient $\epsilon(L, P_k(i; L))$ is a positive integer. The sign in 1.2 is the sign of the permutation that reorders the obtained biexponents with respect to the lexicographic order 1.1.

$$(1.3) \quad e_k(\partial X)\Delta_L(X; Y) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \Delta_{e_k(i_1, \dots, i_k; L)}(X; Y)$$

where $e_k(i_1, \dots, i_k; L)$ is the lattice diagram obtained from L by replacing the biexponents $(p_{i_1}, q_{i_1}), \dots, (p_{i_k}, q_{i_k})$ with $(p_{i_1} - 1, q_{i_1}), \dots, (p_{i_k} - 1, q_{i_k})$.

$$(1.4) \quad h_k(\partial X)\Delta_L(X, Y) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k} \Delta_{h_k(i_1, \dots, i_k; L)}(X, Y)$$

where $h_k(i_1, \dots, i_k; L)$ is the lattice diagram with the following complement diagram. Replace the biexponents $(\bar{p}_{i_1}, \bar{q}_{i_1}), \dots, (\bar{p}_{i_k}, \bar{q}_{i_k})$ of the complement \bar{L} with $(\bar{p}_{i_1} + 1, \bar{q}_{i_1}), \dots, (\bar{p}_{i_k} + 1, \bar{q}_{i_k})$ and keep the other unchanged.

The aim of this work is to obtain a description similar to the previous proposition of the effect of a partial Schur differential symmetric operator on a lattice diagram determinant. We obtain such a result in the next section and prove it.

2. SCHUR OPERATORS

Following [8], recall that for a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ the conjugate (transpose) partition is denoted by $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \dots, \lambda'_l)$. With this in mind, the Schur polynomial indexed by λ is

$$S_\lambda(X) = \det \| e_{\lambda'_j + i - j}(X) \|$$

with the understanding that $e_0(X) = 1$ and $e_k(X) = 0$ if $k < 0$. The Schur polynomials also have a description in terms of column-strict Young tableaux. Given λ a partition of n , a tableau of shape λ is a map $T: \lambda \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We say that T is a column-strict Young tableau if it is weakly increasing along the rows and strictly increasing along the columns of λ . That is $T(i, j) \leq T(i, j+1)$ and $T(i, j) < T(i+1, j)$ wherever it is defined. We denote by \mathcal{T}_λ the set of all column-strict Young tableaux of shape λ . For any tableau T , we define $X^T = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{|T^{-1}(i)|}$. As seen in [8], we have

$$S_\lambda(X) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda} X^T.$$

It is convenient to define the following function on lattice diagrams.

$$(2.1) \quad \epsilon(L) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } L \text{ has } n \text{ distinct cells in the positive quadrant,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let L be a lattice diagram with n distinct cells in the positive quadrant. For any partition λ of an integer $k \geq 1$ we have

Theorem 2.1.

$$S_\lambda(\partial X)\Delta_L(X; Y) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda} \epsilon'(T, L)\Delta_{\partial T(L)}(X; Y)$$

where $\partial T(L)$ is the lattice diagram obtained from L by replacing the bixponents (p_i, q_i) with $(p_i - |T^{-1}(i)|, q_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The coefficient $\epsilon'(T, L)$ is described as follows. Let T_1, T_2, \dots, T_ℓ be the ℓ columns of T then $\partial T(L) = \partial T_1 \partial T_2 \cdots \partial T_\ell(L)$ and

$$(2.2) \quad \epsilon'(T, L) = \epsilon(\partial T(L)) \cdots \epsilon(\partial T_{\ell-1} \partial T_\ell(L)) \epsilon(\partial T_\ell(L))$$

where ϵ is defined in 2.1. Hence $\epsilon'(T, L)$ is 0 or 1.

We shall prove this result using the Proposition 1.1 and an adaptation of the involution defined in [9]. We will see in the proof at the end of this section that the successive order in which we apply the operators ∂T_j to the lattice diagram L in the equation 2.2 is not arbitrary. The result and the proof depend on that precise order and this does not appear in any previous work.

To start, we remark that the Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 1.1 agree on their domain of definition. This is because $e_k = S_{1^k}$ and the tableau of shape 1^k corresponds to sequences $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$. Now let ℓ be the number of components of λ' and expand the determinant

$$(2.3) \quad S_\lambda(X) = \det \| e_{\lambda'_j + i - j}(X) \| = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_\ell} \text{sgn}(\sigma) e_{\sigma(\lambda' + \delta_\ell) - \delta_\ell}.$$

Here $\delta_\ell = (\ell - 1, \ell - 2, \dots, 1, 0)$ and $e_{\alpha_j} = 0$ if $\alpha_j < 0$. If we have $\alpha = \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_\ell$ a sequence of integer we let $e_\alpha = e_{\alpha_1} e_{\alpha_2} \cdots e_{\alpha_\ell}$. Here the order in which we write this product matters. For $\ell = 1$, as noted before, Proposition 1.1 can be rewritten as

$$(2.4) \quad e_{\alpha_1}(\partial X)\Delta_L(X; Y) = \sum_{T_1 \in \mathcal{T}_{1^{\alpha_1}}} \epsilon(\partial T_1(L))\Delta_{\partial T_1(L)}(X; Y).$$

Where $\mathcal{T}_{1^{\alpha_1}}$ is the set of α_1 -column tableaux with content in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, strictly increasing in the column. Here $\epsilon'(T_1, L) = \epsilon(\partial T_1(L))$. Suppose now that $\ell = 2$. We use 2.4 with $e_{\alpha_2}(\partial X)$ and apply $e_{\alpha_1}(\partial X)$ on both side. That gives

$$\begin{aligned} e_\alpha(\partial X)\Delta_L(X; Y) &= e_{\alpha_1}(\partial X)e_{\alpha_2}(\partial X)\Delta_L(X; Y) \\ &= \sum_{T_2 \in \mathcal{T}_{1^{\alpha_2}}} \epsilon(\partial T_2(L))e_{\alpha_1}(\partial X)\Delta_{\partial T_2(L)}(X; Y) \\ &= \sum_{T_1 \in \mathcal{T}_{1^{\alpha_1}}} \sum_{T_2 \in \mathcal{T}_{1^{\alpha_2}}} \epsilon(\partial T_2(L))\epsilon(\partial T_1 \partial T_2(L))\Delta_{\partial T_1 \partial T_2(L)}(X; Y) \end{aligned}$$

Now let $\mathcal{CT}_\alpha = \mathcal{CT}_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_\ell}$ be the set of ℓ columns $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_\ell)$ where $T_j \in \mathcal{T}_{1^{\alpha_j}}$. We can represent \mathbf{T} as a tableau $\alpha \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ where as before we identify the composition α with the lattice diagram $((i, j) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \alpha_{j+1} - 1, 0 \leq j \leq \ell - 1)$, with distinct cells ordered by 1.1. The tableau \mathbf{T} is strictly increasing along every columns and has no restriction along rows. Note that the shape α is not necessarily a partition. We can now simplify our computation above and write for $\ell = 2$:

$$(2.5) \quad e_\alpha(\partial X)\Delta_L(X; Y) = \sum_{\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{CT}_\alpha} \epsilon'(\mathbf{T}, L)\Delta_{\partial\mathbf{T}(L)}(X; Y),$$

where $\partial\mathbf{T}(L) = \partial T_1 \partial T_2 \cdots \partial T_\ell(L)$ is the lattice diagram obtained from L by replacing the biexponents (p_i, q_i) with $(p_i - |\mathbf{T}^{-1}(i)|, q_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and

$$(2.6) \quad \epsilon'(\mathbf{T}, L) = \epsilon(\partial\mathbf{T}(L)) \cdots \epsilon(\partial T_{\ell-1} \partial T_\ell(L)) \epsilon(\partial T_\ell(L)).$$

It is clear, by induction, that this is true for all $\ell \geq 2$ as well. We must also remark here that if one of the $\alpha_j < 0$ the sum 2.5 must be set to zero.

We can now start the computation of the operator 2.3 using 2.5:

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} S_\lambda(\partial X)\Delta_L(X; Y) &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_\ell} \text{sgn}(\sigma) e_{\sigma(\lambda' + \delta_\ell) - \delta_\ell}(\partial X)\Delta_L(X; Y) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_\ell} \sum_{\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{CT}_{\sigma(\lambda' + \delta_\ell) - \delta_\ell}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \epsilon'(\mathbf{T}, L)\Delta_{\partial\mathbf{T}(L)}(X; Y). \end{aligned}$$

Now we need to construct an involution on the set indexing the double sum such that all term cancels, unless σ is the identity and $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda$. Here is an example of a $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{CT}_{(1,0,3,2,4,1)}$

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{array}{cccccc} & & & & & 8 \\ & & & & & 6 \\ & 10 & & & & 5 \\ & 8 & 9 & & & 4 \\ 2 & 7 & 3 & 4 & 4 & \end{array}$$

The only requirement is that \mathbf{T} is strictly increasing in columns.

Let us first concentrate on $\ell = 2$ and let $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2)$. We have two possible shapes $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, either $\lambda' = Id(\lambda' + \delta_2) - \delta_2$ or $(\lambda'_2 - 1, \lambda'_1 + 1) = (1, 2)(\lambda' + \delta_2) - \delta_2$, where (i, j) is the usual notation for transpositions. These two cases are completely characterized by $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ or $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2$. We now define an involution similar to [9].

Given $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{CT}_\alpha$ we associate two words $w_{\mathbf{T}}$ and $\widehat{w}_{\mathbf{T}}$. This method is originally due to A. Lascoux and M.-P. Schützenberger (cf. [7]). The first $w_{\mathbf{T}}$ is all the entries $\mathbf{T}(i, j)$ of \mathbf{T} sorted in increasing order. For example if

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{array}{cc} & 9 \\ & 6 \\ 9 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 \end{array}$$

then $w_{\mathbf{T}} = 345699$. Now we associate to $w_{\mathbf{T}}$ its parentheses structure $\widehat{w}_{\mathbf{T}}$. For this, we list the entries in $w_{\mathbf{T}}$ and associate to an entry from the first column of \mathbf{T} a left parenthesis, and to an entry of the second column a right parenthesis. For two columns, the same entry appears at most twice, in which case the first one we read in $w_{\mathbf{T}}$ is assumed to be from the first column of \mathbf{T} . In the example above $w_{\mathbf{T}} = 345699$ and $\widehat{w}_{\mathbf{T}} = (())()$.

There is a natural way to pair parentheses under the usual rule of parenthesization. In any word $\widehat{w}_{\mathbf{T}}$ some parentheses will be paired and other will be unpaired. In our example, $\widehat{w}_{\mathbf{T}} = (())()$, the first two parentheses and last two are paired and the two parentheses in the middle are unpaired. The subword of any $\widehat{w}_{\mathbf{T}}$ consisting of unpaired parentheses must be of the form $)\cdots(\cdots(($

We then have the following useful result.

Proposition 2.2. [9, Proposition 5] *A tableau $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_\ell) \in \mathcal{CT}_\alpha$ is a column strict Young tableau $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda$ if and only if there are no unpaired right parentheses in $\widehat{w}_{T_j, T_{j+1}}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq \ell - 1$ and two columns T_j, T_{j+1} of \mathbf{T} .*

Remark here that if $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_\ell)$ is not a partition, that is $\alpha_j < \alpha_{j+1}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq \ell - 1$, then necessarily $\widehat{w}_{T_j, T_{j+1}}$ will contain more right parentheses than left parentheses and some will be left unpaired and no $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{CT}_\alpha$ could be a column strict Young tableau.

We return to the construction of the involution from to [9] for $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2)$. Let

$$A = \mathcal{CT}_{(\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2)} \cup \mathcal{CT}_{(\lambda'_2-1, \lambda'_1+1)}.$$

The involution is a map $\Psi: A \rightarrow A$ defined as follows. Let $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{CT}_{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)} \subset A$ and consider $\widehat{w}_{\mathbf{T}}$. The subword of unpaired parentheses contains $r \geq 0$ unpaired right parentheses followed by $l \geq 0$ unpaired left parentheses. We have that $l - r = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$.

- If $r = 0$, then $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda \subset \mathcal{CT}_{\lambda'}$ and we define $\Psi(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{T}$.
- If $l \geq r > 0$, then $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{CT}_{\lambda'} \setminus \mathcal{T}_\lambda$ and we define $\Psi(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{T}' \in \mathcal{CT}_{(\lambda'_2-1, \lambda'_1+1)}$, the unique tableau such that $w_{\mathbf{T}'} = w_{\mathbf{T}}$ and $\widehat{w}_{\mathbf{T}'}$ is obtained from $\widehat{w}_{\mathbf{T}}$ replacing the $l - r + 1$ leftmost unpaired left parentheses by right parentheses.
- If $r > l$, then $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{CT}_{(\lambda'_2-1, \lambda'_1+1)}$ and we define $\Psi(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{T}' \in \mathcal{CT}_{\lambda'} \setminus \mathcal{T}_\lambda$, the unique tableau such that $w_{\mathbf{T}'} = w_{\mathbf{T}}$ and $\widehat{w}_{\mathbf{T}'}$ is obtained from $\widehat{w}_{\mathbf{T}}$ replacing the $r - l - 1$ rightmost unpaired right parentheses by left parentheses.

Now in the general case, that is if $\ell \geq 2$, let

$$A = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_\ell} \mathcal{CT}_{\sigma(\lambda' + \delta_\ell) - \delta_\ell}.$$

For $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{CT}_\alpha \subset A$, the composition α completely characterizes the permutation $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_\ell$ such that $\alpha = \sigma(\lambda' + \delta_\ell) - \delta_\ell$. In particular α is a partition if and only if $\sigma = Id$. We read the rows of \mathbf{T} from right to left, bottom to top. We find this way the first pair (i, j) and $(i, j + 1)$ such that

$$T(i, j) > T(i, j + 1) \quad \text{or} \quad (i, j) \notin \alpha \text{ and } (i, j + 1) \in \alpha.$$

- If there is no such pair, then we have $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda \subset \mathcal{CT}_{\lambda'}$ and we define $\Psi(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{T}$.

• If we find such a pair, then we have $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{CT}_\alpha \subset A \setminus \mathcal{T}_\lambda$. We define $\Psi(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{T}' \in \mathcal{CT}_\beta \subset A \setminus \mathcal{T}_\lambda$ where \mathbf{T}' is obtained from \mathbf{T} using the procedure above to the two columns T_{j+1}, T_{j+2} . By construction if $\alpha = \sigma(\lambda' + \delta_\ell) - \delta_\ell$, then $\beta = \sigma(j, j+1)(\lambda' + \delta_\ell) - \delta_\ell$.

The fact that Ψ is a well defined involution is done in several papers, for example, in [9], section 3. Let us give one example. For

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & 8 \\ \hline 10 & & 6 \\ \hline 8 & 9 & 5 \\ \hline 7 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{we have} \quad \Psi(\mathbf{T}) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 10 & 9 & 6 \\ \hline 8 & 8 & 5 \\ \hline 7 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

The pair (1, 2) and (1, 3) is the first one where $T(1, 2) > T(1, 3)$. We thus apply the involution on the second and third column. We have here $w_{T_2, T_3} = 345689$ and $\hat{w}_{T_2, T_3} = ())) ($. There are $r = 3$ unpaired right parentheses followed by $l = 1$ unpaired left parenthesis. We must change $r - l - 1 = 1$ unpaired left parenthesis for a right one. That is $\hat{w}_{T_2, T_3} = ()) ($. That moves the entry 8 from the third column to the second column.

Proof of Theorem 2.1: We return to the computation 2.7 using the notation we have developped:

$$S_\lambda(\partial X)\Delta_L(X; Y) = \sum_{\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{CT}_{\sigma(\lambda' + \delta_\ell) - \delta_\ell} \subset A} \text{sgn}(\sigma)\epsilon'(\mathbf{T}, L)\Delta_{\partial\mathbf{T}(L)}(X; Y).$$

The involution constructed above matches the term in the sum corresponding to $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{CT}_{\sigma(\lambda' + \delta_\ell) - \delta_\ell} \subset A \setminus \mathcal{T}_\lambda$ with $\mathbf{T}' \in \mathcal{CT}_{\sigma(j, j+1)(\lambda' + \delta_\ell) - \delta_\ell} \subset A \setminus \mathcal{T}_\lambda$. Clearly, we have that $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = -\text{sgn}(\sigma(j, j+1))$ and $\partial\mathbf{T}(L) = \partial\mathbf{T}'(L)$. Once we show that

$$(2.8) \quad \epsilon'(\mathbf{T}, L) = \epsilon'(\mathbf{T}', L)$$

the Theorem 2.1 will follow from the fact that all the terms in $A \setminus \mathcal{T}_\lambda$ will cancel out and the remaining terms are in \mathcal{T}_λ with the desired coefficient.

To establish 2.8 we need to show that if $\epsilon'(\mathbf{T}, L) \neq 0$ then $\epsilon'(\mathbf{T}', L) \neq 0$, for they will then both be equal to 1. From 2.6

$$\epsilon'(\mathbf{T}, L) = \epsilon'((T_1, T_2, \dots, T_\ell), L) = \epsilon(\partial\mathbf{T}(L)) \cdots \epsilon(\partial T_{\ell-1} \partial T_\ell(L)) \epsilon(\partial T_\ell(L)).$$

Similarly $\epsilon'(\mathbf{T}', L) = \epsilon'((T_1, T_2, \dots, T'_{j+1}, T'_{j+2}, \dots, T_\ell), L)$ for some $0 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$. If $\epsilon'(\mathbf{T}, L) \neq 0$, then $\epsilon(\partial T_k \cdots \partial T_\ell(L)) = 1$ for $1 \leq k \leq \ell$. For $1 \leq k \leq j+1$ we clearly have:

$$\epsilon(\partial T_k \cdots \partial T_{j+1} \partial T_{j+2} \cdots \partial T_\ell(L)) = \epsilon(\partial T_k \cdots \partial T'_{j+1} \partial T'_{j+2} \cdots \partial T_\ell(L)).$$

For $j+3 \leq k \leq \ell$, the corresponding terms of $\epsilon'(\mathbf{T}, L)$ and $\epsilon'(\mathbf{T}', L)$ are the same. Let $\tilde{L} = \partial T_{j+3} \cdots \partial T_{\ell-1} \partial T_\ell L$, the equality 2.8 will follow as soon as we show that

$$(2.9) \quad \epsilon(\partial T_{j+2}(\tilde{L})) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon(\partial T_{j+1} \partial T_{j+2}(\tilde{L})) = 1 \quad \implies \quad \epsilon(\partial T'_{j+2}(\tilde{L})) = 1$$

for all \tilde{L} such that $\epsilon(\tilde{L}) = 1$.

Let $\beta = \sigma(j, j+1)(\lambda' + \delta_\ell) - \delta_\ell$, the shape of \mathbf{T} . Suppose that $\epsilon(\partial T'_{j+2}(\tilde{L})) = 0$. This implies that there is an entry $1 \leq k = \mathbf{T}'(i, j+2) \leq n$ such that the cells $(p_k, q_k) \in \tilde{L}$ and $(p_{k-1}, q_{k-1}) = (p_k - 1, q_k) \in \tilde{L}$, and $k-1 \neq \mathbf{T}'(i-1, j+2)$ is not an entry of T'_{j+2} . Now since $\epsilon(\partial T'_{j+1} \partial T'_{j+2}(\tilde{L})) = 1$ we must have that both k and $k-1$ are entries of T'_{j+1}, T'_{j+2} . This implies that $k-1$ is an entry of T'_{j+1} and k is not. This analysis shows that $k-1$ and k are entries of $w_{T'_{j+1} T'_{j+2}}$ with multiplicity one, $k-1$ is in the column T'_{j+1} and k is in the column T'_{j+2} . They will be consecutive entries in $w_{T'_{j+1} T'_{j+2}}$ and will be paired in $\hat{w}_{T'_{j+1} T'_{j+2}}$. This would imply that T'_{j+2} in $\Psi(\mathbf{T}') = \mathbf{T}$ contains the entry k but not $k-1$ and $\epsilon(\partial T'_{j+2}(\tilde{L})) = 0$, contrary to our hypothesis. This completes our proof. \square

Remark 2.3. Given a lattice diagram L and a column strict tableau $T \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda$, we have that $\epsilon'(T, L) = 1$ exactly when we can move the cells of L by one, reading T column by column, from right to left, without having any cells colliding.

Corollary 2.4. For $h_k(X) = s_{(k)}(X)$ we have

$$h_k(\partial X) \Delta_L(X; Y) = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_k \leq n} \epsilon'((j_1, \dots, j_k), L) \Delta_{\partial_{j_1} \dots \partial_{j_k}(L)}(X; Y)$$

This is equivalent to the description in [2]. The only way that $\epsilon'((j_1, \dots, j_k), L) \neq 0$ is if the cells j_1, \dots, j_k that moves down are moved into holes. This can be described as holes moving up.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-C. Aval, Monomial bases related to the $n!$ conjecture, *Disc. Math.*, **224** (2000), 15–35.
- [2] J.-C. Aval, On certain spaces of lattice diagram determinants, to appear.
- [3] J.-C. Aval, N. Bergeron, Vanishing ideals of lattice diagram polynomials, to appear in *J. of Comb. Theory A*.
- [4] F. Bergeron, N. Bergeron, A. Garsia, M. Haiman and G. Tesler, Lattice Diagram Polynomials and Extended Pieri Rules, *Adv. Math.*, **142** (1999), 244–334.
- [5] A. M. Garsia and M. Haiman, A graded representation model for Macdonald’s polynomials, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **90** (1993), no. 8, 3607–3610.
- [6] M. Haiman, Hilbert schemes, polygraphs, and the Macdonald positivity conjecture, *J. of the AMS*, to appear. See <http://math.ucsd.edu/~mhaiman/>.
- [7] A. Lascoux, M.-P. Schützenberger, Le monoïde plaxique, *Quad. Ric. Sci. C.N.R.*, **109** (1981), 129–156.
- [8] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, second ed., Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, 1995.
- [9] J. B. Remmel and M. Shimozono, A simple proof of the Littlewood-Richardson rule and applications, *Disc. Math.* **193**, **1-3** (1998) 257–266.

(Jean-Christophe Aval) LABORATOIRE A2X, UNIVERSITÉ BORDEAUX 1, 351 COURS DE LA LIBÉRATION, 33405 TALENCE CEDEX, FRANCE

(Nantel Bergeron) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, YORK UNIVERSITY, TORONTO, ONTARIO M3J 1P3, CANADA

E-mail address, Jean-Christophe Aval: aval@math.u-bordeaux.fr

E-mail address, Nantel Bergeron: bergeron@mathstat.yorku.ca

URL, Nantel Bergeron: <http://www.math.yorku.ca/bergeron>