

Coq Summer School, Session 2 : Basic programming with numbers and lists

Pierre Letouzey, Pierre Castéran, Yves Bertot
Paris, Beijing, Suzhou

Predefined data structures

- ▶ “Predefined” types are actually declared to Coq at load time¹:

```
Inductive bool := true | false.
```

```
Inductive nat := 0 : nat | S : nat -> nat.
```

```
Inductive list A :=
| nil : list A
| cons : A -> list A -> list A.
```

Nota: `a::b` is a notation for `(cons a b)`.

- ▶ Every natural number is data constructed with `S` and `0`.

¹see Init/Datatypes.v

Constructors

- ▶ true and false are the *constructors* of type bool
- ▶ 0 and S are the *constructors* of type nat
- ▶ nil and cons are the *constructors* of type list A for any type A

```
Check S (S (S 0)).
```

3 : nat

```
Open Scope list_scope.
```

```
Check cons 2 (cons 3 (cons 5 (cons 7 nil))).
```

2 :: 3 :: 5 :: 7 :: nil : list nat

```
Check plus :: mult :: minus :: nil.
```

plus :: mult :: minus :: nil : list (nat -> nat -> nat)

Pattern matching

- ▶ Any boolean is either `true` or `false`. Thus, we can analyse an expression and handle all possible cases:

```
Definition negb b :=  
  match b with  
    | true => false  
    | false => true  
  end.
```

- ▶ Most common situation: one pattern for each constructor.
- ▶ **Note:** for `bool`, an alternative syntactic sugar is
`if b then false else true.`

Compute negb true.
 $= \text{false} : \text{bool}$

Compute negb (negb true).
 $= \text{true} : \text{bool}$

Pattern matching

- ▶ Similarly, for lists

```
Definition tail (A : Type) (l:list A) :=  
  match l with  
  | x::tl => tl  
  | nil => nil  
  end.
```

```
Definition isempty (A : Type) (l : list A) :=  
  match l with  
  | nil => true  
  | _ :: _ => false  
  end.
```

Pattern matching

- ▶ Similarly, for lists

```
Definition tail (A : Type) (l:list A) :=  
  match l with  
  | x::tl => tl  
  | nil => nil  
  end.
```

```
Definition isempty (A : Type) (l : list A) :=  
  match l with  
  | nil => true  
  | _ :: _ => false  
  end.
```

```
Compute tail nat (1::2::3::nil).
```

```
= 2::3::nil : list nat
```

```
Compute isempty nat (1::nil).
```

```
= false : bool
```

More complex pattern matching

- We can use deeper patterns, combined matchings, as well as wildcards:

```
Definition has_two_elts (A : Type) (l : list A) :=  
  match l with  
  | _ :: _ :: nil => true  
  | _ => false  
  end.
```

```
Definition andb b1 b2 :=  
  match b1, b2 with  
  | true, true => true  
  | _, _ => false  
  end.
```

Such complex matchings are not atomic, but rather expanded internally into nested matchings:

```
Print andb.  
andb =  
fun b1 b2 : bool =>  
  if b1  
    then if b2 then true else false  
    else false  
  : bool -> bool -> bool
```

Note also that “if-then-else” is just a pattern-matching construct.

```
Print has_two_elts.
```

```
has_two_elts =  
fun (A : Type) (l : list A) =>  
match l with  
| nil => false  
| _ :: nil => false  
| _ :: _ :: nil => true  
| _ :: _ :: _ :: _ => false  
end
```

```
: forall A : Type, list A -> bool
```

Recursion

- ▶ When using **Fixpoint** instead of **Definition**, recursive sub-calls are allowed (at least some of them).

```
Fixpoint every_other (A : Type) (l : list A) :=
  match l with
  | _ :: a :: l' => a :: every_other l'
  | _ => nil
  end.
```

Recursion

- ▶ When using **Fixpoint** instead of **Definition**, recursive sub-calls are allowed (at least some of them).

```
Fixpoint every_other (A : Type) (l : list A) :=
  match l with
  | _ :: a :: l' => a :: every_other l'
  | _ => nil
  end.
```

- ▶ Here, l' represents a *structural sub-term* of the inductive argument l . For instance, if l is bound to $1 :: 2 :: 3 :: \text{nil}$, then l' is bound to $3 :: \text{nil}$, which is a subterm of the former one. This way, termination of computations is ensured.

Three examples of badly written Fixpoint definitions

```
Fixpoint loop l := loop (1 :: l).  
(* BAD *)
```

Three examples of badly written Fixpoint definitions

```
Fixpoint loop l := loop (1 :: l).  
(* BAD *)
```

```
Fixpoint loop (A : Type) (l : list A) := loop l.  
(* BAD *)
```

Three examples of badly written Fixpoint definitions

```
Fixpoint loop l := loop (l :: l).  
(* BAD *)
```

```
Fixpoint loop (A : Type) (l : list A) := loop l.  
(* BAD *)
```

```
Fixpoint log_like (l : list nat) :=  
  match l with  
    nil => nil  
  | a::nil => nil  
  | a::l' => a::log_like (every_other (a::l'))  
  end.
```

In general, you may write recursive calls on variables introduced by pattern matchings.

```
Fixpoint foo (l:list nat) : nat :=
  match l with nil => 0
            | a::nil => 0
            | a::b::l' => a + foo (b::l')
  end. (* BAD *)
```

In general, you may write recursive calls on variables introduced by pattern matchings.

```
Fixpoint foo (l:list nat) : nat :=
  match l with nil => 0
            | a::nil => 0
            | a::b::l' => a + foo (b::l')
  end. (* BAD *)
```

```
Fixpoint foo (l:list nat) : nat :=
  match l with nil => 0
            | a::nil => 0
            | a::(b::l' as l2) => a + foo l2
  end. (* GOOD *)
```

Pattern matching and recursion over nat

- ▶ nat is an inductive type, with two constructors 0 and S
 - ▶ every natural number is either of the form S p where p is another natural number, or 0
 - ▶ Pattern-matching expressions analyze according to these cases
- ▶ The numeric notation is misleading: when you see 3 the system handles S (S (S 0))
 - ▶ the display engine creates the number
- ▶ The function S is not a complex operation, just a constructor

Simple functions over nat

```
Definition pred (n : nat) :=  
  match n with  
  | 0 => n  
  | S p => p  
  end.
```

```
Fixpoint div2 (n : nat) :=  
  match n with S (S p) => S (div2 p) | _ => 0 end.
```

```
Fixpoint fact (n : nat) :=  
  match n with 0 => 1 | S p => n * fact p end.
```

Some other recursive functions over nat

```
Fixpoint plus n m :=
  match n with
  | 0 => m
  | S n' => S (plus n' m)
  end.
```

Notation : $n + m$ for `plus n m`

Some other recursive functions over nat

```
Fixpoint plus n m :=
  match n with
  | 0 => m
  | S n' => S (plus n' m)
  end.
```

Notation : $n + m$ for `plus n m`

```
Fixpoint minus n m := match n, m with
  | S n', S m' => minus n' m'
  | _, _ => n
  end.
```

Notation : $n - m$ for `minus n m`

```
Fixpoint mult (n m :nat) : nat :=
match n with
| 0 => 0
| S p => m + mult p m
end.
```

Notation : n * m for mult n m

```
Fixpoint beq_nat n m := match n, m with
| S n', S m' => beq_nat n' m'
| 0, 0 => true
| _, _ => false
end.
```

Recursion over lists

- With recursive functions over lists, the main novelty is *polymorphism* :

```
Fixpoint length A (l : list A) :=
  match l with
  | nil => 0
  | _ :: l' => S (length l')
  end.
```

Recursion over lists

- With recursive functions over lists, the main novelty is *polymorphism* :

```
Fixpoint length A (l : list A) :=  
  match l with  
  | nil => 0  
  | _ :: l' => S (length l')  
  end.
```

```
Fixpoint app A (l1 l2 : list A) : list A :=  
  match l1 with  
  | nil => l2  
  | a :: l1' => a :: (app l1' l2)  
  end.
```

- NB: $(\text{app } l1 \text{ } l2)$ is noted $l1++l2$.

Applying a function to every element of a list

```
Fixpoint map A B (f : A -> B)(l : list A) : list B :=
  match l with
  | nil => nil
  | a::l' => f a :: map f l'
  end.
```

```
Eval compute in map (fun n => n * n) (1::2::3::4::5::nil).
1::4::9::16::25::nil : list nat
```

Applying a function to every element of a list

```
Fixpoint map A B (f : A -> B)(l : list A) : list B :=
  match l with
  | nil => nil
  | a::l' => f a :: map f l'
  end.
```

```
Eval compute in map (fun n => n * n) (1::2::3::4::5::nil).
1::4::9::16::25::nil : list nat
```

Reversing a list

First version:

```
Set Implicit Arguments.
```

```
Fixpoint naive_reverse (A:Type)(l: list A) : list A :=
  match l with
  | nil => nil
  | a::l' => naive_reverse l' ++ (a::nil)
  end.
```

```
Eval compute in naive_reverse (1::2::3::4::5::6::nil).
= 6 :: 5 :: 4 :: 3 :: 2 :: 1 :: nil
: list nat
```

Why “naïve_reverse” ?

Problem: a lot of recursive calls to app :

```
nil ++ (6::nil) 1 calls  
(6::nil) ++ (5:: nil) 2 calls  
(6::5::nil) ++ (4::nil) 3 calls  
...  
(6::5::4::3::2::nil)++(1::nil) 6 calls
```

$n(n + 1)/2$ recursive calls (n being the list's length) !

A more efficient function

```
Fixpoint rev_app (A:Type)(l l1: list A) : list A :=
  match l with
  | nil => l1
  | a::l' => rev_app l' (a::l1)
  end.
```

Eval compute in rev_app (4::5::6::nil) (3::2::1::nil).
= 6::5::4::3::2::1::nil

Definition rev A (l:list A) := rev_app l nil.

Same approach, with a local recursive function

```
Definition rev'  A (l:list A) :=  
(fix  aux (l1 l2: list A) :=  
  (* appends the reverse of l1 to l2 *)  
  match l1 with  
    | nil => l2  
    | a::l'1 => aux l'1 (a::l2)  
  end) l nil.
```

Fold on the right

- ▶ The intention:

```
fold_right f init (a::b::::z::nil)
= (f a (f b (...(f z init))))
```

- ▶ The code:

```
Fixpoint fold_right A B (f:B->A->A)(init:A)(l:list B)
  : A :=
  match l with
  | nil => init
  | x :: l' => f x (fold_right f init l')
  end.
```

```
Compute fold_right mult 1 (1::2::3::4::nil).  
= 24 : nat
```

```
Compute  
  map (fold_right mult 1)  
    ((1::2::3)::(4::nil)::nil::(4::2::3::nil)).  
= 6::4::1::24::nil : list nat
```

Yet another example of ill-formed recursive definition

Merging two sorted lists.

```
Fixpoint merge (u v : list nat) : list nat :=
  match u,v with
    nil,v => v
  | u, nil => u
  | a::u', b::v' => if leb a b
    then a::(merge u' v)
    else b::(merge u v')
  end.
```

Yet another example of ill-formed recursive definition

Merging two sorted lists.

```
Fixpoint merge (u v : list nat) : list nat :=
  match u,v with
    nil,v => v
  | u, nil => u
  | a::u', b::v' => if leb a b
    then a::(merge u' v)
    else b::(merge u v')
  end.
```

Error: Cannot guess decreasing argument of fix.

A first solution

```
Fixpoint merge_aux (n:nat) (u v : list nat)
  : list nat :=
match n,u,v with
| 0,_,_=> nil
| S _, nil,v => v
| S _, u,nil => u
| S p, a::u', b::v' =>
  if leb a b then a::(merge_aux p u' v)
  else b::(merge_aux p u v')
end.
```

A first solution

```
Fixpoint merge_aux (n:nat) (u v : list nat)
  : list nat :=
match n,u,v with
| 0,_,_=> nil
| S _, nil,v => v
| S _, u,nil => u
| S p, a::u', b::v' =>
  if leb a b then a::(merge_aux p u' v)
  else b::(merge_aux p u' v')
end.
```

```
Definition merge u v :=
  merge_aux (length u + length v) u v.
```

```
Eval compute in merge (1::3::5::nil) (1::2::2::6::nil).
1::1::2::2::3::5::6::nil : list nat
```

Remarks

- ▶ This solution is not fully satisfactory (because of extra computations).
- ▶ Other solutions exist, relying on interactive proofs. See documentation on [Function](#).
- ▶ **Extra exercise:** define a polymorphic version of merge.