# Spectral Graph-Based Methods for Learning Word Embeddings

Aurélien Bellet, Pascal Denis

October 20, 2016

#### Team and contact

- Équipe Magnet, INRIA/CRIStAL: http://team.inria.fr/magnet
- Aurélien Bellet (aurelien.bellet@inria.fr), Pascal Denis (pascal.denis@inria.fr)

# Keywords

Machine Learning, Natural Language Processing, Word Embeddings, Graph-based Learning.

## Context

How to adequately represent words as vectors is a long-standing and crucial problem in the fields of text mining and Natural Language Processing. This question has recently re-surfaced due to the recent surge of research in "deep" neural networks, and the development of algorithms for learning distributed word representations or "word embeddings" (the best known of which is probably word2vec [4]). The main appeal of these low-dimensional word representations is two-fold: they can be derived directly from raw text data in an unsupervised or weakly-supervised manner, and their latent dimensions condense interesting distributional information about the words, thus allowing for better generalization while also mitigating the presence of rare and unseen terms. To date, most algorithms for learning word embeddings use some variants of neural networks [4, 5] or standard spectral methods like Principal Component Analysis (PCA) [3] or Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) [2]. The quality of word embeddings is typically evaluated either by directly testing for syntactic or semantic relationships between words (including word similarity or syntactic/semantic analogy tasks) [4] or by using word embeddings as features in downstream NLP tasks (e.g., text classification, Part-of-Speech or Named Entity tagging) [8].

### **Objectives**

The goal of this internship is to explore alternative strategies to learn word embeddings, namely with graph-based nonlinear manifold learning methods such as Isomap [7], Local Linear Embedding (LLE) [6] and Laplacian Eigenmaps [1]. These methods operate on a weighted graph: in our setting, the nodes would be the words (or higher-order linguistic entities), the edges would represent the context in which the words appear (e.g., word co-occurrence within some window as in the skip-gram model [4]), and the edge weights would be proportional to the frequency of the context.

The tentative work-plan is as follows:

- 1. Review the relevant literature on word embeddings and graph-based spectral methods.
- 2. Propose procedures to build a weighted graph over words on which graph-based spectral methods will be applied, and evaluate the performance of the resulting embeddings.
- 3. If time permits, investigate some further questions, such as (i) design graphs representing richer contexts, (ii) learn embeddings for higher-order objects (multi-word expressions, sentences, etc), and (iii) how to *learn* the context graph using weak supervision.

#### Skills

Basics in machine learning, graph algorithms and complexity, linear algebra. Familiarity with natural language processing is a plus.

#### References

- M. Belkin and P. Niyogi. Laplacian Eigenmaps for Dimensionality Reduction and Data Representation. Neural Computation, 15(6):1373–1396, 2003.
- [2] P. S. Dhillon, D. P. Foster, and L. H. Ungar. Multi-view learning of word embeddings via cca. In NIPS, pages 199–207, 2011.
- [3] R. Lebret and R. Collobert. Word Embeddings through Hellinger PCA. In EACL, 2014.
- [4] T. Mikolov, K. Chen, G. Corrado, and J. Dean. Efficient estimation of word representations in vector space. Technical report, arXiv:1301.3781, 2013.
- [5] T. Mikolov, I. Sutskever, K. Chen, G. S. Corrado, and J. Dean. Distributed Representations of Words and Phrases and their Compositionality. In NIPS, 2013.
- [6] S. Roweis and L. Saul. Nonlinear dimensionality reduction by locally linear embedding. *Science*, 290:2323–2326, 2000.
- [7] J. B. Tenenbaum, V. de Silva, and J. C. Langford. A global geometric framework for nonlinear dimensionality reduction. *Science*, 290:2319–2323, 2000.
- [8] J. Turian, L. Ratinov, and Y. Bengio. Word representations: a simple and general method for semi-supervised learning. In ACL, pages 384–394, 2010.