# A note on the cubical dimension of new classes of binary trees

Kamal Kabyl <sup>a,b</sup>, Adelhafid Berrachedi <sup>b</sup> and Éric Sopena <sup>c,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratory of Modeling and Optimization of Systems (LAMOS), University of Bejaia, 06000 Bejaia, Algeria

 $^b$  Faculty of Mathematics, U.S.T.H.B, B.P.32 El Alia, Bab-Ezzouar 16111, Algiers, Algeria

 $^{c}$ Univ. Bordeaux, La<br/>BRI, UMR5800, F-33400 Talence

 $^d$  CNRS, LaBRI, UMR5800, F-33400 Talence

#### November 2, 2013

#### Abstract

The cubical dimension of a graph G is the smallest dimension of a hypercube into which G is embeddable as a subgraph. The conjecture of Havel [On hamiltonian circuits and spanning trees of hypercubes.  $\check{C}asopis \check{P}est.$  Mat **109** (2) (1984) 135-152] claims that the cubical dimension of every balanced binary tree with  $2^n$  vertices,  $n \ge 1$ , is n. The 2-rooted complete binary tree of depth n is obtained from two copies of the complete binary tree of depth n by adding an edge linking their respective roots. In this paper, we determine the cubical dimension of trees obtained by subdividing twice a 2-rooted complete binary tree and prove that every such balanced tree satisfies the conjecture of Havel.

**Keywords.** Cubical dimension, Embedding, Havel's conjecture, Hypercube, Tree.

**2010 MSC.** 05C05, 05C60.

## 1 Introduction

For a given graph G, V(G) and E(G) denote respectively the set of vertices and the set of edges of G. The hypercube of dimension n, denoted  $Q_n$ , is the graph whose  $2^n$  vertices are boolean vectors of length n, such that two vertices are adjacent if and only if they differ in exactly one coordinate.

An embedding of the graph G in the hypercube  $Q_n$  is a one-to-one mapping of V(G) into  $V(Q_n)$  that preserves adjacency of vertices. In the case where  $V(G) = V(Q_n)$ , we say that the embedding is *total*. In a general way, the study of an embedding of G into  $Q_n$  turns to see if G is isomorphic to a subgraph of  $Q_n$ .

This problem is well-known and treated in graph theory. Many efforts have been made for finding conditions (necessary and/or sufficient) under which a graph G is a subgraph of  $Q_n$ . In particular, the problem of embedding trees in the hypercube has attracted much attention, since trees are widely used in many domains such as computer science, operations research, or combinatorial optimization for instance.

A tree T is a connected graph without cycles. A binary tree is a tree in which every vertex has at most two sons. We say that a tree T is balanced if, in the bipartition of V(T), both parts have the same cardinality. A tree T is cubical if, for some integer n, there is an embedding of T into the hypercube  $Q_n$ . If T is cubical then the least positive integer n for which Tcan be embedded into the hypercube  $Q_n$  is called the cubical dimension of T, denoted by cd(T). Firsov [7] showed that all trees are cubical. Hence, for a tree T, the question we consider is to determine the cubical dimension of T. Wagner and Corneil [15] showed that the problem of deciding if a tree T is embeddable into the hypercube  $Q_n$  is NP-complete. Binary trees and their embeddings into hypercubes have been studied in [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 13]. A longstanding conjecture of Havel claims the following:

**Conjecture 1** (Havel [9]). Every balanced binary tree with  $2^n$  vertices,  $n \ge 1$ , is a subgraph of  $Q_n$ .

Several partial results have been obtained in support of this conjecture [4, 5, 14]. In this paper, we introduce some new classes of balanced binary trees which satisfy the conjecture of Havel.

The hypercube  $Q_n$  is bipartite, balanced and *n*-regular; it has  $2^n$  vertices and  $n2^{n-1}$  edges. A tree *T* is said to be  $C_n$ -valuated if we can label every edge of *T* with an integer from the set  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  in such a way that for every path *P* in *T*, there exists an integer  $k \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  such that an odd number of edges in *P* are labeled by *k*. Havel and Morávek proved the following:

**Theorem 2** (Havel and Morávek [12]). A tree T is embeddable in  $Q_n$  if and only if there exists a  $C_n$ -valuation of T.

Intuitively speaking, every edge with label k will be mapped to an edge of  $Q_n$  in the  $k^{th}$  dimension. The fact that for every path there exists an integer appearing an odd number of times ensures that all vertices are mapped to distinct vertices in  $Q_n$ .

The following result was proved by Havel:

**Theorem 3** (Havel [9]). Every balanced binary tree of order  $2^n$  having two vertices of degree 3 is embeddable into the hypercube  $Q_n$ .

Havel and Liebl [10] and Nebeský [14] studied embeddings of the classes of binary trees  $D_n$ ,  $\hat{D_n}$ ,  $\hat{D_n}$  and  $\check{D_n}$ , respectively defined as follows:

- 1. The tree  $D_1$  is the complete bipartite graph  $K_{1,2}$  whose root is the unique vertex of degree 2. For  $n \ge 2$ ,  $D_n$  is the tree obtained from two disjoint copies T and T' of  $D_{n-1}$  and a new vertex v by adding an edge from v to the root of T and another edge from v to the root of T'. The new vertex v is the root of  $D_n$ . The tree  $D_n$  is thus the complete binary tree of depth n, with  $2^{n+1} 1$  vertices. Moreover, we have  $cd(D_1) = 2$  and, for  $n \ge 2$ ,  $cd(D_n) = n + 2$  [10].
- 2. For  $n \ge 1$ , the 2-rooted complete binary tree  $\hat{D_n}$  is obtained from two disjoint copies of  $D_n$  by adding an edge linking the roots of these copies. This new edge will be referred to as the axial edge of  $\hat{D_n}$ . The tree  $\hat{D_n}$  has  $2^{n+2} - 2$  vertices and  $\operatorname{cd}(\hat{D_n}) = n + 2$  [14].
- 3. For  $n \geq 1$ , the tree  $\hat{D}_n$  is obtained from  $\hat{D}_n$  by inserting two new vertices of degree 2 into the axial edge of  $\hat{D}_n$ . The edge joining these two new vertices will be referred to as the *central edge* of  $\hat{D}_n$ . The tree  $\hat{D}_n$  is balanced, has  $2^{n+2}$  vertices and  $cd(\hat{D}_n) = n+2$  [14].
- 4. For  $n \geq 1$ , the tree  $\check{D}_n$  is obtained from  $\hat{D}_n$  by inserting two new vertices of degree 2 into some end-edge of  $\hat{D}_n$  (that is an edge incident to a leaf of  $\hat{D}_n$ ). The tree  $\check{D}_n$  has  $2^{n+2}$  vertices and  $\operatorname{cd}(\check{D}_n) = n+2$  [14].

Let T be a tree with root r. We define the *level* of an edge uv in T as  $max\{d(r, u), d(r, v)\}$ , where d(x, y) denotes the distance from vertex x to vertex y in T. The level of an edge uv of the 2-rooted complete binary tree

 $\hat{D_n}$  is defined as 0 if the edge uv is the axial edge of  $\hat{D_n}$ , or as the level of uv in the corresponding copy of  $D_n$  otherwise. An edge uv is an *ancestor* of an edge xy if they both lie on a path linking the root of the tree to some leaf and the level of uv is smaller than the level of xy. Recall that *subdividing* an edge uv consists of replacing the edge uv by two new edges ux and xv where x is a new vertex of degree 2.

In this paper, we will determine the cubical dimension of trees obtained by subdividing twice the 2-rooted complete binary tree  $\hat{D}_n$ . Such a tree has  $2^{n+2}$  vertices and is of one of the following types:

- Type (A): the tree A<sup>k</sup><sub>n</sub> is obtained by subdividing twice an edge of level k, 0 ≤ k ≤ n, in D̂<sub>n</sub>, n ≥ 1.
- Type (B): the tree  $B_n^k$  is obtained by subdividing two distinct edges of the same level  $k, 1 \le k \le n$ , in  $\hat{D_n}$ , not belonging to the same copy of  $D_n$ .
- Type (C): the tree  $C_n^k$  is obtained by subdividing two distinct edges of the same level  $k, 1 \le k \le n$ , in  $\hat{D}_n$ , both belonging to the same copy of  $D_n$ .
- Type (D): the tree  $D_n^{k,\ell}$  is obtained by subdividing two distinct edges of distinct levels k and  $\ell$ ,  $0 \le k < \ell \le n$ , in  $\hat{D_n}$ , not belonging to the same copy of  $D_n$ .
- Type (E): the tree  $E_n^{k,\ell}$  is obtained by subdividing two distinct edges of distinct levels k and  $\ell$ ,  $1 \le k < \ell \le n$ , in  $\hat{D}_n$ , both belonging to the same copy of  $D_n$ , such that none of these edges is the ancestor of the other.
- Type (F): the tree  $F_n^{k,\ell}$  is obtained by subdividing two distinct edges of distinct levels k and  $\ell$ ,  $1 \le k < \ell \le n$ , in  $\hat{D_n}$ , both belonging to the same copy of  $D_n$ , such that the edge of level k is the ancestor of the edge of level  $\ell$ .

The above-defined types of trees are illustrated in Figure 1, where vertices created by edge subdivisions are drawn as squares.

Our main result is the following:



Figure 1: Sample twice subdivided 2-rooted complete binary trees

**Theorem 4.** Let T be a tree obtained by subdividing twice the 2-rooted complete binary tree  $\hat{D}_n$ . We then have:

- 1.  $\operatorname{cd}(T) = n + 2$  if T is of type (A) or (B),
- 2. cd(T) = n + 3 if T is of type (C), (D), (E) or (F).

It is worth noting here that such a tree is balanced if and only if it is of type (A) or (B). Our result shows that trees of type (A) or (B) satisfy the conjecture of Havel. We thus generalize the results of Nebeský who considered trees obtained by subdividing twice either the axial edge or an end-edge of  $\hat{D}_n$  (above-defined as  $\hat{D}_n$  and  $\check{D}_n$ ).

#### 2 Proof of Theorem 4

The proof will follow from a series of lemmas, each considering one particular type of tree.

Since every  $C_n$ -valuation of a tree T is also a  $C_n$ -valuation of any (connected) subtree of T, we easily get the following:

**Observation 5.** If  $T_1$  is a subtree of  $T_2$ , then  $cd(T_1) \leq cd(T_2)$ .

The following result will be useful in proving structural decompositions of trees of type (A) and (B). Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be two trees,  $u_1v_1$  an edge of  $T_1$ , and  $u_2x_2y_2v_2$  an induced path of  $T_2$  ( $x_2$  and  $y_2$  are both vertices of degree 2). We define the  $\bowtie$ -gluing of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  along  $\{u_1v_1, u_2v_2\}$ , denoted  $T_1 \bowtie_{u_1v_1, u_2v_2} T_2$ , as the tree obtained by subdividing twice the edge  $u_1v_1$  of  $T_1$ , creating the induced path  $u_1x_1y_1v_1$ , and identifying the two edges  $x_1y_1$  and  $x_2y_2$  (see Figure 2). We then have:

**Lemma 6.** Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be two trees,  $u_1v_1$  an edge of  $T_1$ , and  $u_2x_2y_2v_2$  an induced path of  $T_2$ . If  $cd(T_1) = cd(T_2) = k$ , then  $cd(T_1 \bowtie_{u_1v_1, u_2v_2} T_2) \le k+1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\operatorname{cd}(T_1) = \operatorname{cd}(T_2) = k$ , there exist a  $C_k$ -valuation  $\gamma_1$  of  $T_1$  and a  $C_k$ -valuation  $\gamma_2$  of  $T_2$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\gamma_1(u_1v_1) = \gamma_2(x_2y_2)$ . We can then construct a valuation  $\gamma$  of  $T = T_1 \Join_{u_1v_1, u_2v_2} T_2$  by setting

•  $\gamma(u_1x_1) = \gamma(y_1v_1) = k+1,$ 



Figure 2: A sample  $\bowtie$ -gluing of two trees:  $T_1 \bowtie_{u_1v_1, u_2v_2} T_2$ 

- $\gamma(z_1t_1) = \gamma_1(z_1t_1)$  for every edge  $z_1t_1$  from  $T_1$ , and
- $\gamma(z_2t_2) = \gamma_2(z_2t_2)$  for every edge  $z_2t_2$  from  $T_2$ .

To see that  $\gamma$  is indeed a  $C_{k+1}$ -valuation of T, let P be any path in T. If P does not contain the label k + 1, then P is also a path in  $T_1$  or  $T_2$  and the required property follows from the fact that both  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are  $C_k$ -valuations. If the label k + 1 appears only once in P then k + 1 appears an odd number of times and we are done. Finally, if the label k + 1 appears twice in P then the path P' obtained from P by contracting the two edges with label k + 1 is a path in  $T_1$  and the required property follows from the fact that  $\gamma_1$  is a  $C_k$ -valuation of  $T_1$ .

We now turn to the proof of our main result, considering each type of trees separately.

**Lemma 7.** For every n and k,  $0 \le k \le n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ ,  $cd(A_n^k) = n + 2$ .

*Proof.* Since the tree  $A_n^k$  has  $2^{n+2}$  vertices, it cannot be embedded in  $Q_{n+1}$  and, therefore,  $\operatorname{cd}(A_n^k) \ge n+2$ . We now prove by induction on k that  $\operatorname{cd}(A_n^k) = n+2$  for every  $n \ge 1$ .

The result clearly holds for k = 0 since  $A_n^0 = \hat{D}_n$  and  $cd(\hat{D}_n) = n + 2$  for every  $n \ge 1$  [14].



Figure 3: Structural decomposition of  $A_n^1$  and of  $A_n^k$ , k > 1

Assume now that  $\operatorname{cd}(A_n^{k-1}) = n+2$  for every  $n \ge 1$ , and consider the tree  $A_n^k$ . As depicted in Figure 3, it is not difficult to observe that, for every  $k \ge 1$ ,  $A_n^k$  is the  $\bowtie$ -gluing of  $A_{n-1}^{k-1}$  and  $\hat{D}_{n-1}$  along the axial edge of  $A_{n-1}^{k-1}$  and the "axial path" of  $\hat{D}_{n-1}$ . Since  $\operatorname{cd}(\hat{D}_{n-1}) = n+1$  by [14] and  $\operatorname{cd}(A_{n-1}^{k-1}) = n+1$  by induction hypothesis, we get  $\operatorname{cd}(A_n^k) = n+2$  by Lemma 6.

**Lemma 8.** For every n and k,  $1 \le k \le n$ ,  $\operatorname{cd}(B_n^k) = n + 2$ .

*Proof.* The proof is quite similar to the proof of Lemma 7. Since the tree  $B_n^k$  has  $2^{n+2}$  vertices, it cannot be embedded in  $Q_{n+1}$  and, therefore,  $\operatorname{cd}(B_n^k) \ge n+2$ . We now prove by induction on k that  $\operatorname{cd}(B_n^k) = n+2$  for every  $n \ge 1$ .

As depicted in Figure 4,  $B_n^1$  is the  $\bowtie$ -gluing of  $\hat{D}_{n-1}$  and itself along its central edge and axial path. Since  $\operatorname{cd}(\hat{D}_{n-1}) = n+1$  by [14] we get  $\operatorname{cd}(B_n^1) = n+2$  by Lemma 6.

Assume now that  $\operatorname{cd}(B_n^{k-1}) = n+2$  for every  $n \geq 1$ , and consider the tree  $B_n^k$ . As depicted in Figure 4,  $B_n^k$  is the  $\bowtie$ -gluing of  $B_{n-1}^{k-1}$  and  $\hat{D}_{n-1}$  along the axial edge of  $B_{n-1}^{k-1}$  and the axial path of  $\hat{D}_{n-1}$ . Since  $\operatorname{cd}(\hat{D}_{n-1}) = n+1$  by [14] and  $\operatorname{cd}(B_{n-1}^{k-1}) = n+1$  by induction hypothesis, we get  $\operatorname{cd}(B_n^k) = n+2$  by Lemma 6.

**Lemma 9.** For every n and k,  $1 \le k \le n$ ,  $\operatorname{cd}(C_n^k) = n + 3$ .

*Proof.* Since the tree  $C_n^k$  has  $2^{n+2}$  vertices and is not balanced, it cannot be embedded in  $Q_{n+2}$  and, therefore,  $\operatorname{cd}(C_n^k) \ge n+3$ .

The fact that  $\operatorname{cd}(C_n^k) = n + 3$  for every n and  $k, 1 \le k \le n$ , then simply follows from Observation 5 since  $C_n^k$  is a subtree of  $\hat{D}_{n+1}$  (see Figure 5) and  $\operatorname{cd}(\hat{D}_{n+1}) = n + 3$  by [14].



Figure 4: Structural decomposition of  $B_n^1$  and of  $B_n^k$ , k > 1



Figure 5:  $C_n^k$  is a subtree of  $\hat{\hat{D}}_{n+1}$  (dashed lines depict elements of  $\hat{\hat{D}}_{n+1} \setminus C_n^k$ )



Figure 6:  $D_n^{0,\ell}$  is a subtree of  $\hat{\hat{D}}_{n+1}$  (dashed lines depict elements of  $\hat{\hat{D}}_{n+1} \setminus D_n^{0,\ell}$ )

**Lemma 10.** For every n, k and  $\ell$ ,  $0 \le k < \ell \le n$ ,  $\operatorname{cd}(D_n^{k,\ell}) = n + 3$ .

*Proof.* Since the tree  $D_n^{k,\ell}$  has  $2^{n+2}$  vertices and is not balanced, it cannot be embedded in  $Q_{n+2}$  and, therefore,  $\operatorname{cd}(D_n^{k,\ell}) \ge n+3$ .

The fact that  $\operatorname{cd}(D_n^{k,\ell}) = n+3$  for every n and  $k, 0 \le k \le n$ , then follows from Observation 5 since  $D_n^{k,\ell}$  is a subtree of  $\hat{D}_{n+1}$  (see Figure 6 for k = 0and Figure 7 for k > 0) and  $\operatorname{cd}(\hat{D}_{n+1}) = n+3$  by [14].

**Lemma 11.** For every n, k and  $\ell$ ,  $1 \le k < \ell \le n$ ,  $\operatorname{cd}(E_n^{k,\ell}) = n + 3$ .

*Proof.* Since the tree  $E_n^{k,\ell}$  has  $2^{n+2}$  vertices and is not balanced, it cannot be embedded in  $Q_{n+2}$  and, therefore,  $\operatorname{cd}(E_n^{k,\ell}) \ge n+3$ . The fact that  $\operatorname{cd}(E_n^{k,\ell}) = n+3$  for every n and k,  $1 \le k \le n$ , then

The fact that  $\operatorname{cd}(E_n^{k,\ell}) = n+3$  for every n and  $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$ , then follows from Observation 5 since  $E_n^{k,\ell}$  is a subtree of  $\hat{D}_{n+1}$  (see Figure 8) and  $\operatorname{cd}(\hat{D}_{n+1}) = n+3$  by [14].

**Lemma 12.** For every n, k and  $\ell$ ,  $1 \le k < \ell \le n$ ,  $cd(F_n^{k,\ell}) = n + 3$ .

*Proof.* Since the tree  $F_n^{k,\ell}$  has  $2^{n+2}$  vertices and is not balanced, it cannot be embedded in  $Q_{n+2}$  and, therefore,  $\operatorname{cd}(F_n^{k,\ell}) \ge n+3$ . We now prove that  $\operatorname{cd}(F_n^{k,\ell}) = n+3$  by constructing a  $C_{n+3}$ -valuation of  $F_n^{k,\ell}$ .



Figure 7:  $D_n^{k,\ell}$  is a subtree of  $\hat{\hat{D}}_{n+1}$  (dashed lines depict elements of  $\hat{\hat{D}}_{n+1} \setminus D_n^{k,\ell}$ )



Figure 8:  $E_n^{k,\ell}$  is a subtree of  $\hat{\hat{D}}_{n+1}$  (dashed lines depict elements of  $\hat{\hat{D}}_{n+1} \setminus E_n^{k,\ell}$ )

Let  $u_k v_k$  and  $u_\ell v_\ell$  denote the two edges of  $\hat{D}_n$  that have been subdivided, with levels k and  $\ell$  respectively, and let  $x_k$  and  $x_\ell$  denote the two corresponding created vertices of degree 2. Since  $\operatorname{cd}(\hat{D}_n) = n + 2$ , there exists a  $C_{n+2}$ -valuation of  $\hat{D}_n$ , say  $\gamma_0$ . We define the valuation  $\gamma$  of  $F_n^{k,\ell}$  as follows:

- $\gamma(u_k x_k) = \gamma_0(u_k v_k), \ \gamma(u_\ell x_\ell) = \gamma_0(u_\ell v_\ell),$
- $\gamma(x_k v_k) = \gamma(x_\ell v_\ell) = n + 3,$
- $\gamma(uv) = \gamma_0(uv)$  for every edge  $uv \notin \{u_k x_k, x_k v_k, u_\ell x_\ell, x_\ell v_\ell\}.$

To see that  $\gamma$  is indeed a  $C_{n+3}$ -valuation of  $F_n^{k,\ell}$ , let P be any path in  $F_n^{k,\ell}$ . If P does not contain the label n + 3, then P has the same labeling as a path in  $\hat{D}_n$  and the required property follows from the fact that  $\gamma_0$  is a  $C_{n+2}$ -valuation. If the label n + 3 appears only once in P then n + 3 appears an odd number of times and we are done. Finally, if the label n + 3 appears twice in P then the path P' obtained from P by contracting the two edges with label n + 3 is a path in  $\hat{D}_n$  and the required property follows from the fact that  $\gamma_0$  is a  $C_{n+2}$ -valuation of  $\hat{D}_n$ .

The proof of Theorem 4 now clearly follows from Lemmas 7 to 12.

### References

- A. Berrachedi and M. Nekri. Two new classes of trees embedable into hypercubes. *Rairo Oper. Res.* 38 (2004), 295–303.
- [2] S. Bezukrov, B. Monien, W. Unger and G. Wechsung. Embedding ladders and caterpillars into the hypercube. *Discrete Appl. Math.* 83 (1998), 21–29.
- [3] C.C. Chen and R.Y. Chen. Compact embedding of binary trees into hypercubes. *Inform. Proc. Lett.* 54 (2) (1995), 69–72.
- [4] S.A. Choudum and S. Lavanya. Embedding a subclass of trees into hypercube. Discrete Math. 311 (10-11) (2011), 866–871.
- [5] S.A. Choudum and I. Raman. Embedding height balanced trees and Fibonacci trees in hypercubes. J. Appl. Math. Computing 30 (1-2) (2009), 39–52.

- [6] T. Dvořák. Dense sets and embedding binary trees into hypercubes. Discrete Appl. Math. 155 (2007), 506–514.
- [7] V. Firsov. On isometric embedding of a graph into a Boolean cube. *Cybernetics* 1 (6) (1965), 112–113.
- [8] F. Harary, M. Lewinter and W. Widulski. On two-legged caterpillars which span hypercubes. *Congr. Numerantium* 66 (1988), 103–108.
- [9] I. Havel. On hamiltonian circuits and spanning trees of hypercubes. Časopis Pest. Mat. 109 (2) (1984), 135–152.
- [10] I. Havel and P. Liebl. Embedding the dichotomic tree into the n-cube (in Czech). Časopis Pest. Mat. 97 (2) (1972), 201–205.
- [11] I. Havel and P. Liebl. One-legged caterpillars span hypercubes. J. Graph Theory 10 (1) (1986), 69–77.
- [12] I. Havel and J. Morávek. B-valuation of graphs. Czech. Math. J. 22 (2) (1972), 338–351.
- [13] M. Kobeissi and M. Mollard. Spanning graphs of hypercubes: starlike and double starlike trees. *Discrete Math.* 244(1-3) (2002), 231–239.
- [14] L. Nebeský. On cubes and dichotomic trees. *Časopis Pest. Mat.* **99** (2) (1974), 164–167.
- [15] A. Wagner and D.G. Corneil. Embeding trees in a hypercube is NPcomplete. SIAM J. Comput. 19 (3) (1980), 570–590.