

#### 3D Cartesian Transport Sweep for Massively Parallel Architectures on top of PaRSEC

9th Scheduling for Large Scale Systems Workshop, Lyon

S. Moustafa, M. Faverge, L. Plagne, and P. Ramet

S. Moustafa, M. Faverge L. Plagne, and P. Ramet LabRI – Inria Bordeaux Sud-Ouest R&D – SINETICS

Ínría

#### Guideline

Context and goals

Parallelization Strategies

Sweep Theoritical Model

 $\mathsf{DOMINO}$  on top of  $\operatorname{PARSEC}$ 

Results

Conclusion and future works

nnía

#### Context

- EDF R&D is looking for a Fast Reference Solver
- PhD Student: Salli Moustafa
- Industrial solvers:
  - diffusion approximation ( $\approx$  SP1);
  - COCAGNE (SPN).
- Solution on more than 10<sup>11</sup> degrees of freedom (DoFs) involved
  - probabilistic solvers (very long computation time);
  - deterministic solvers.

DOMINO (SN) is designed for this validation purpose.

#### DOMINO: Discrete Ordinates Method In NeutrOnics

- Deterministic, Cartesian, and 3D solver;
- 3 levels of discretization:
  - energy (G): multigroup formalism;
  - ► angle  $(\vec{\Omega})$ : Level Symmetric Quadrature, N(N+2) directions
  - ▶ space (x, y, z): Diamond Differencing scheme (order 0);
- 3 nested levels of iterations:
  - power iterations + Chebychev acceleration;
  - multigroup iterations: Gauss–Seidel algorithm;
  - scattering iterations + DSA acceleration (using the SPN solver):

 $\rightarrow$  spatial sweep, which consumes most of the computation time.

#### The Sweep Algorithm

forall the $o \in Octants$ do								
forall the $c \in Cells$ do								
ert arphi = c = (i, j, k)								
forall the $d \in Directions[o]$ do								
$arpropto d = ( u, \mu, \xi)$								
$\epsilon_x = \frac{2\nu}{\Delta x};  \epsilon_y = \frac{2\eta}{\Delta y};  \epsilon_z = \frac{2\xi}{\Delta z};$								
$\psi[o][c][d] = \frac{\epsilon_{X}\psi_{L} + \epsilon_{Y}\psi_{B} + \epsilon_{z}\psi_{F} + S}{\epsilon_{X} + \epsilon_{Y} + \epsilon_{z} + \Sigma_{t}};$								
$\psi_R[o][c][d] = 2\psi[o][c][d] - \psi_L[o][c][d];$								
$\psi_{T}[o][c][d] = 2\psi[o][c][d] - \psi_{B}[o][c][d];$ $\psi_{BF}[o][c][d] = 2\psi[o][c][d] - \psi_{F}[o][c][d];$								
$\phi[k][j][i] = \phi[k][j][i] + \psi[o][c][d] * \omega[d];$								
end								
end								
end								

- 9 add or sub;
- 11 mul;
- ▶ 1 div (5 flops)
   → 25 flops per cell, per direction, per energy group.

Ínría

The Spatial Sweep (Diamond Differencing scheme) (1/2)



3D regular mesh with per cell, per angle, per energy group:

- 1 moment to update
- 3 incoming fluxes
- 3 outgoing fluxes

## The Spatial Sweep (*Diamond Differencing scheme*) (2/2) 2D example of the spatial mesh for one octant

At the beginning, data are known only on the incoming faces

ready cell

nría

## The Spatial Sweep (*Diamond Differencing scheme*) (2/2) 2D example of the spatial mesh for one octant





ready cell



## The Spatial Sweep (*Diamond Differencing scheme*) (2/2) 2D example of the spatial mesh for one octant

... after a few steps





ready cell



Ínría

#### Many opportunities for parallelism

- Each level of discretization is a potentially independent computation:
  - energy group
  - angles
  - space
- All energy groups are computed together
- All angles are considered independent
  - $\rightarrow$  This is not true when problems have boundary conditions
- All cell updates on a front are independent

#### Angular Parallelization Level (Very Low Level)



Several directions belong to the same octant:

- Vectorization of the computation
- ► Use of SIMD units at processor/core level → improve kernel performance

#### Spatial Parallelization

First level: granularity





Grouping cells in MacroCells:

- Reduces thread scheduling overhead
- Similar to exploiting BLAS 3
- Reduces overall parallelism



#### Spatial Parallelization

First level: granularity



Grouping cells in MacroCells:

- Reduces thread scheduling overhead
- Similar to exploiting BLAS 3
- Reduces overall parallelism

Innia

#### Octant Parallelization

Case of Vacuum Boundary Conditions

When using vacuum boundary conditions, all octants are independent from each other

Innía

#### Octant Parallelization

Case of Vacuum Boundary Conditions

When using vacuum boundary conditions, all octants are independent from each other

Innía

#### Octant Parallelization

Case of Vacuum Boundary Conditions

When using vacuum boundary conditions, all octants are independent from each other

nnía

#### Octant Parallelization Case of Vacuum Boundary Conditions

Concurrent access to a cell (or MacroCell) are protected by mutexes.



Innía

#### Octant Parallelization Case of Vacuum Boundary Conditions

Concurrent access to a cell (or MacroCell) are protected by mutexes.



Innía

August 19, 2014- 13

## 3 Sweep Theoritical Model

Ínría

Basic formulas

We define the efficiency of the sweep algorithm as follow:

$$\epsilon = \frac{T_{task} N_{tasks}}{(N_{tasks} + N_{idle}) * (T_{task} + T_{comm})}$$
$$= \frac{1}{(1 + N_{idle}/N_{tasks}) * (1 + T_{comm}/T_{task})}$$

Objective: Minimize N<sub>idle</sub>



#### For 3D block distribution

The minimal number of idle steps are those required to reach the cube center:

$$N_{idle}^{min} = P_x + \delta_x - 2 + P_y + \delta_y - 2 + P_z + \delta_z - 2$$

where  $\delta_u = 0$ , if  $P_u$  is even, 1 otherwise.

Objective: **Minimize the sum** P + Q + R, where  $P \times Q \times R$  is the process grid.

 $\rightarrow$  Hybrid MPI-Thread implementation allows this



#### Hybrid Model





# $\mathbf{4}$ DOMINO on top of PARSEC

Inría

#### DOMINO on top of PaRSEC

Implementation

- Only one kind of task:
  - Associated to one MacroCell
  - All energy group
  - All directions included in one octant
    - $\rightarrow$  8 tasks per MacroCell
  - No dependencies from one octant to another

     protected by mutexes
- Simple algorithm to write in JDF
- Require a data distribution:
  - Independent from the algorithm: 2D, 3D, cyclic or not, ...
  - ▶ For now: Block-3D (Non cyclic) with a  $P \times Q \times R$  grid
- Fluxes on faces are dynamically allocated/freed by the runtime



DOMINO on top of PARSEC

#### DOMINO JDF Representation (2D)

```
CellUpdate(a, b)
 1
 2
 3
    /* Execution Space */
    a = 0 \dots ncx - 1
 4
 5
    b = 0 ... ncv - 1
6
 7
    /* Task Locality (Owner Compute) */
    : mcg(a, b)
8
9
10
    /* Data dependencies */
11
    RW X <- (a != aBeg) ? X CellUpdate(a-alnc, b) : X READ_X(b)
12
          -> (a != aEnd) ? X CellUpdate(a+aInc, b)
13
    RW Y < - (b != bBeg) ? Y CellUpdate(a, b-blnc) : Y READ_Y(a)
          -> (b != bEnd) ? Y CellUpdate(a, b+blnc)
14
15
    RW MCG <-mcg(a, b)
16
            \rightarrow mcg(a, b)
17
    BODY
18
    {
19
      solve ( MCG, X, Y, ... ):
20
21
    END
```

 aBeg, aEnd, alnc, bBeg, bEnd and blnc are octant dependent variables.

Inría

Inría

#### Scalability of the existing implementation with Intel TBB

32-core Nehalem node with two 4-way SIMD units running at 2.26 Ghz

- 2 energy groups calculation;
- S8 Level Symmetric quadrature (80 angular directions);
- ▶ spatial mesh:  $120 \times 120 \times 120$  and  $480 \times 480 \times 480$ .



#### DOMINO on top of PaRSEC

Shared Memory Results: Comparison with Intel TBB



- 1 energy group;
- mesh size: 480 × 480 × 480;
- Level Symmetric S2;
- 7.9 Gflops (4.6%)



August 19, 2014- 23

#### DOMINO on top of PaRSEC

Shared Memory Results: Comparison with Intel TBB



- 1 energy group;
- mesh size: 480 × 480 × 480;
- Level Symmetric S8;
- 57.2 Gflops (33.5%)

#### DOMINO on top of PaRSEC

Shared Memory Results: Comparison with Intel TBB



- 1 energy group;
- mesh size: 480 × 480 × 480;
- Level Symmetric S16;
- ▶ 92.6 Gflops (54.2%)

Innía

August 19, 2014- 23

#### DOMINO on top of PaRSEC

Shared Memory Results: Comparison with Intel TBB

Test on manumanu NUMA node: 160 cores.





#### DOMINO on top of PaRSEC

Distributed Memory Results (Ivanoe)

1 energy group; mesh size: 480 × 480 × 480; Level Symmetric S16;



#### DOMINO on top of PaRSEC

Distributed Memory Results (Athos)

1 energy group; mesh size: 480 × 480 × 480; Level Symmetric S16;



(nría\_

M. Faverge - 9th Scheduling Workshop

#### DOMINO on top of PaRSEC

Distributed Memory Results (Athos)

1 energy group; mesh size: 120 × 120 × 120; Level Symmetric S16;





#### DOMINO on top of PaRSEC

Distributed Memory Results

Execution trace for a run on 8 nodes (2, 2, 2) (Bad scheduling).



Innía

#### DOMINO on top of PaRSEC

Distributed Memory Results

Execution trace for a run on 8 nodes (2, 2, 2) (Good scheduling).



Innía

August 19, 2014- 28

#### DOMINO on top of PaRSEC

Scheduling by front

#### Disco NoPrio Prio

Ínría

## 6 Conclusion and future works

(nría\_

#### Conclusion and Future Work

#### Conclusion

- Efficient implementation on top of PaRSEC
  - Less than 2 weeks to be implemented
  - Comparable to Intel TBB in shared memory
- multi-level implementation:
  - Code vectorization (angular direction)
  - Block algorithm (MacroCells)
  - Hybrid MPI-Thread implementation

#### Future work

- Finish the hybrid model to get better evaluation of the performance
- Experiments on Intel Xeon Phi



### Thanks !

