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## Verification of distributed systems

- Distributed systems
- infinite-state, concurrent

」 ensuring correctness: challenging!

- Formal verification:
- undecidable

```
M\stackrel{?}{\models}\varphi
```

- Computation of forward/backward reachability sets post* / pre*
- Decidable classes
- dedicated algorithms
- Semi-algorithms for general classes
- no termination guarantee
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د $\mathcal{S}=\langle S, \Sigma, \rightarrow\rangle$ labeled transition system

- Set $R \subseteq 2^{S}$ of regions
- closure under set operations $(\cap, \backslash)$
$\lrcorner$ closure under post/pre : $\operatorname{post}(r, \sigma) \in R$ and $\operatorname{pre}(r, \sigma) \in R$
- effectivity
- Loop acceleration:

$$
\operatorname{post}\left(r, \sigma^{*}\right) \text { computable region }
$$

- Speeds up post* / pre* computation
- Accelerated symbolic model-checkers: LASH, TReX, FAST
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』Termination of accelerated semi-algorithms on decidable classes?
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## Flatness：a condition for termination

$\Delta \mathcal{S}$ is flat if $\mathcal{S}$ is equivalent（w．r．t reachability）to $\bigcup_{i} S_{i}^{\prime}$ where：
」 $S_{i}^{\prime}$ is＂extracted＂from $S$
－$S_{i}^{\prime}$ contains no nested loops
$\Delta$ Accelerated post＊／pre＊computation terminates iff $\mathcal{S}$ is flat
」Are（some）decidable classes flat？
－Timed automata are flat［CJ99］
」 binary reachability relation effectively definable in real arithmetic
－This paper：2－dim VASS are flat
－2－dim VASS have an effectively semilinear binary reachability relation

## Outline of the talk

- Vector Addition Systems with States
- Flatness
- Ultimate flatness of 2-dim VASS
- Flatness of 2-dim VASS
- Conclusion and future work
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## n-dim Vector Addition Systems with States

- Finite automaton
$\Delta$ Counters (over $\mathbb{N}$ )
$a+1,-1($ and $\neq 0 ?)$


$$
\begin{array}{r}
(p,(5,0,1)) \xrightarrow{t_{1}}(p,(5,1,0)) \xrightarrow{t_{2}}(q,(5,1,0)) \xrightarrow{t_{3}}(q,(5,0,2)) \\
\downarrow t_{4} \\
\ldots(q,(4,0,4)) \stackrel{t_{2}^{2}}{\longleftrightarrow}(q,(4,2,0)) \stackrel{t_{2}}{\leftrightarrows}(p,(4,2,0)) \stackrel{t_{1}^{2}}{\longleftrightarrow}(p,(4,0,2))
\end{array}
$$

- Equivalent to Petri nets with $n$ unbounded places


## VASS: syntax



- Labeled directed graph $V=(Q, T, \alpha, \beta, \delta)$
$\Delta Q$ : finite set of locations and $T$ : finite set of transitions
$\Delta$ source mapping $\alpha: T \rightarrow Q$ and target mapping $\beta: T \rightarrow Q$
$\lrcorner \delta: T \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n}:$ displacement labeling
$\Delta$ Paths: $\Pi_{V}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right) \subseteq T^{*}, \Pi_{V}=\bigcup_{q, q^{\prime} \in Q} \Pi_{V}\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)$


## VASS: semantics

$\Delta V=(Q, T, \alpha, \beta, \delta)$
$\Delta Q, T$ : finite sets

- $\alpha, \beta: T \rightarrow Q$
- $\delta: T \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n}$


」 Labeled transition system $\left\langle\mathcal{C}_{V}, T, \mathcal{R}_{V}\right\rangle$ where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lrcorner \mathcal{C}_{V}=Q \times \mathbb{N}^{n} \\
& \lrcorner(q, \times) \mathcal{R}_{V}(t)\left(q^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
q=\alpha(t) \\
q^{\prime}=\beta(t) \\
x^{\prime}=\mathrm{x}+\delta(t)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## VASS: semantics

د $V=(Q, T, \alpha, \beta, \delta)$

- $Q, T$ : finite sets
- $\alpha, \beta: T \rightarrow Q$
- $\delta: T \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n}$

- Labeled transition system $\left\langle\mathcal{C}_{V}, T, \mathcal{R}_{V}\right\rangle$
- Extension of $\delta$ and $\mathcal{R}_{V}$ to words $\pi \in T^{*}$ and languages $L \subseteq T^{*}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \delta ( \varepsilon ) = 0 } \\
{ \delta ( \pi \cdot t ) = \delta ( \pi ) + \delta ( t ) } \\
{ \delta ( L ) = \{ \delta ( \pi ) / \pi \in L \} }
\end{array} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{R}_{V}(\varepsilon)=I d_{\mathcal{C}_{V}} \\
\mathcal{R}_{V}(\pi \cdot t)=\mathcal{R}_{V}(\pi) \cdot \mathcal{R}_{V}(t) \\
\mathcal{R}_{V}(L)=\bigcup_{\pi \in L} \mathcal{R}_{V}(\pi)
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

## Semilinear sets

- Given $P \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{n}$,

$$
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P^{*}=\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{k} c_{i} \mathrm{p}_{i} / k, c_{0}, \ldots, c_{k} \in \mathbb{N} \text { and } \mathrm{p}_{0}, \ldots, \mathrm{p}_{k} \in P\right\}
$$

- Linear set: $\left(\mathrm{x}+P^{*}\right)$ with $\mathrm{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and $P \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ finite
- Semilinear set: finite union of linear sets
- Semilinear sets are the subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ that are definable in Presburger arithmetic $\langle\mathbb{Z}, \leq,+\rangle[$ GS66]
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Definition

- A reachability subrelation $R \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{V}^{*}$ is flat if $R \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{V}(\rho)$ for some SLPS $\rho$
- $A$ VASS $V$ is flat if $\mathcal{R}_{V}^{*}$ is flat

Theorem. ([CJ98, FL02]) $\mathcal{R}_{V}(\rho)$ is effectively semilinear for any $\operatorname{SLPS} \rho$

Corollary. $\mathcal{R}_{V}^{*}$ is effectively semilinear for any flat VASS $V$
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」 First, look for SLPS that capture all possible displacements

Lemma. $\exists \rho_{q, q^{\prime}} \subseteq \Pi\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)$ SLPS such that $\delta\left(\rho_{q, q^{\prime}}\right)=\delta\left(\Pi\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)\right)$


- Choose $\rho=t_{1}^{*} t_{2}^{*}$
- But $\left.\mathcal{R}^{*}\right|_{\left[c . . \infty\left[^{2}\right.\right.} \nsubseteq \mathcal{R}(\rho) \quad$ (for all $c \in \mathbb{N}$ )

$$
\lrcorner(q,(c, c)) \xrightarrow{*}(q,(4 c, 4 c))
$$

- $\rho$ is not "straight enough"
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د zigzag-free LPS: $\rho=\sigma_{0} \theta_{1}^{*} \sigma_{1} \cdots \theta_{k}^{*} \sigma_{k}$ with $\delta\left(\theta_{i}\right)$ in the same quadrant
a zigzag-free SLPS: finite union of zigzag-free LPS

- SLPS in dimension 2 can be "straightened"

Lemma. $\exists \rho_{q, q^{\prime}} \subseteq \Pi\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)$ zigzag-free SLPS such that $\delta\left(\rho_{q, q^{\prime}}\right)=\delta\left(\Pi\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)\right)$


- Straighten $\rho=t_{1}^{*} t_{2}^{*}$ into:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\prime}= & \overbrace{\left(\varepsilon+t_{1} t_{2}+\left(t_{1} t_{2}\right)^{2}\right)}^{\{(0,0),(1,1),(2,2)\}} \cdot \overbrace{\left(t_{1} t_{2}^{2}\right)^{*} \cdot(\overbrace{\left.t_{1}^{2} t 2\right)^{*}}^{(0,3)^{*}}+}^{(3,0)^{*}}+ \\
& \underbrace{}_{\{\underbrace{t_{1}^{*} \cdot\left(t_{1}^{2} t_{2}\right)^{*}}_{(2,-1),(3,0)\}^{*}}+\underbrace{t_{2}^{*} \cdot\left(t_{1} t_{2}^{2}\right)^{*}}_{\{(-1,2),(0,3)\}^{*}}}+
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Ultimate flatness of 2-dim VASS

- $\exists \rho_{q, q^{\prime}} \subseteq \Pi\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)$ zigzag-free SLPS such that $\delta\left(\rho_{q, q^{\prime}}\right)=\delta\left(\Pi\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)\right)$

$\Delta$ Path from $(q, x)$ to $\left(q^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)$ along a zigzag-free LPS
- The "margin" $m$ does not depend on $\times$ or $x^{\prime}$

Proposition. The restriction $\left.\mathcal{R}^{*}\right|_{[c . . \infty]^{2}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\rho)$ is flat
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- Simplification: assume that $\delta: T \rightarrow\{-1,0,1\}^{n}$

د $I=[0 . . c]$ and $I_{\infty}=[c . . \infty[$
$\left.\Delta \mathcal{R}^{*}\right|_{I}$ and $\left.\mathcal{R}^{*}\right|_{I_{\infty}}$ are flat
$\Delta$ Consider $(q, x) \xrightarrow{*}\left(q^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)$ with $x \leq c$ and $x^{\prime}>c$

$$
\underbrace{(q, x) \stackrel{*}{\rightarrow}\left(q_{1}, c\right)}_{\left.\in \mathcal{R}^{*}\right|_{I}} \text { and } \underbrace{\left(q_{1}, c\right) \xrightarrow{*}\left(q^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)}_{\left.\in \mathcal{R}^{*}\right|_{I_{\infty}}}
$$

- Hence:

$$
\mathcal{R}^{*} \subseteq\left(\left.\left.\mathcal{R}^{*}\right|_{I} \cup \mathcal{R}^{*}\right|_{I_{\infty}}\right)^{2}
$$
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## Flatness of 2-dim VASS

- Simplification: assume that $\delta: T \rightarrow\{-1,0,1\}^{n}$
- $I=[0 . . c]$ and $I_{\infty}=[c . . \infty[$
$\left.\Delta \mathcal{R}^{*}\right|_{I \times I}$ and $\left.\mathcal{R}^{*}\right|_{I_{\infty} \times I_{\infty}}$ are flat
- By reduction to 1-dim VASS, $\left(\left.\mathcal{R}\right|_{I \times \mathbb{N}}\right)^{*}$ and $\left(\left.\mathcal{R}\right|_{\mathbb{N} \times I}\right)^{*}$ are flat
- Decomposition of $\mathcal{R}^{*}$ as for 1-dim VASS
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## Conclusion and Perspectives

- 2-dim VASS are flat
- 2-dim VASS have an effectively semilinear binary reachability relation
- Previously: post* / pre* effectively semilinear [HP79]
- $\mathcal{R}^{*}$, post* and pre* computed with generic acceleration techniques
- accelerated symbolic model checkers terminate on 2-dim VASS
- Practical applications for the effective semilinearity of $\mathcal{R}^{*}$ :
- check relationships between input values and output values
- replace some flat subsystems by semilinear meta-transitions
- parameter synthesis
$\Delta$ compute the set of initial states such that the counters stay bounded


## Conclusion and Perspectives

- 2-dim VASS are flat
- 2-dim VASS have an effectively semilinear binary reachability relation

」 Previously: post* / pre* effectively semilinear [HP79]

- $\mathcal{R}^{*}$, post* and pre* computed with generic acceleration techniques
- accelerated symbolic model checkers terminate on 2-dim VASS


## Future work

- Investigate flatness of other decidable classes
- Better acceleration framework for Fifo systems
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