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## Enumerative combinatorics

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a set of discrete objects equipped with an integer size, and assume that for all $n$, the number of objects of $\mathcal{A}$ of size $n$ is finite. Let $a(n)$ be this number.

- Exact enumeration: determine the sequence $a(n)$

$$
a(n)=\cdots \quad \text { or } \quad A(t):=\sum_{n \geq 0} a(n) t^{n}=\cdots
$$

where $A(t)$ is the generating function of the objects of $\mathcal{A}$.

- Asymptotic enumeration: estimate the numbers $a(n)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$
a(n) \sim \cdots \quad \text { or } \quad a(n)=O(\cdots) \quad \text { or } \quad a(n)^{1 / n} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

- Applications: probability, algebra, computer science (analysis of algorithms), statistical physics... and curiosity!


## Self-avoiding walks (SAW)



Conjectures ( $d=2$ )

- The number of $n$-step SAW is equivalent to $(\kappa) \mu^{n} n^{11 / 32}$ for $n$ large.
- The endpoint lies on average at distance $n^{3 / 4}$ from the starting point.
- The scaling limit of SAW is SLE $_{8 / 3}$ (proved under an assumption of conformal invariance [Lawler, Schramm, Werner 02]).

Theorem [Hara-Slade 92]

- In dimension $d \geq 5$, the number of $n$-step SAW is equivalent to $\mu^{n}$.
- The endpoint lies on average at distance $n^{1 / 2}$ from the starting point.


## This is too hard!

... for exact enumeration
$\Rightarrow$ Study of toy models, that should be as general as possible, but still tractable

- develop new techniques in exact enumeration
- solve better and better approximations of real SAW


## Two toy models

Directed walks (N,E)


Partially directed walks (N,W,E)


Markovian with memory 1

$$
\begin{gathered}
a(n) \sim(1+\sqrt{2})^{n} \sim(2.41 \ldots)^{n} \\
\sum_{n} a(n) t^{n}=\frac{1+t}{1-2 t-t^{2}} \\
\mathbb{E}\left(\left|X_{n}\right|\right) \sim \sqrt{n}, \quad \mathbb{E}\left(Y_{n}\right) \sim n
\end{gathered}
$$

## A hierarchy of formal power series

- The formal power series $A(t)$ is rational if it can be written

$$
A(t)=\frac{P(t)}{Q(t)}
$$

where $P(t)$ and $Q(t)$ are polynomials in $t$.

- The formal power series $A(t)$ is algebraic (over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ ) if it satisfies a (nontrivial) polynomial equation:

$$
P(t, A(t))=0
$$

- The formal power series $A(t)$ is D-finite if it satisfies a (non-trivial) linear differential equation with polynomial coefficients:

$$
P_{0}(t) A^{(k)}(t)+P_{1}(t) A^{(k-1)}(t)+\cdots+P_{k}(t) A(t)=0
$$

$$
\text { Rat } \subset \mathrm{Alg} \subset \text { D-finite }
$$

## I. Prudent self-avoiding walks

Self-directed walks [Turban-Debierre 86], Exterior walks [Préa 97], Outwardly directed SAW [Santra-Seitz-Klein 01]

Prudent walks [Duchi 05], [Dethridge, Guttmann, Jensen 07], [mbm 08]
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Directed walks (N, E)
Partially directed walks (N, E, W)

Some properties of prudent walks
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A comparison with partially directed walks (N, E and W steps)
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## Some properties of prudent walks



If one knows:

- the direction of the last step,
- whether it is inflating or not,
- the distances $i, j$ and $h$,
then one can decide which steps can be appended to the walk, and the new values of these parameters.
$\Rightarrow$ Count prudent walks by looking for the last inflating step, keeping track of the distances $i, j, h$


## Simpler families of prudent walks [Préa 97]



3-sided


2-sided


1-sided

- The endpoint of a 3-sided walk lies always on the top, right or left side of the box
- The endpoint of a 2-sided walk lies always on the top or right side of the box
- The endpoint of a 1 -sided walk lies always on the top side of the box $(=$ partially directed!)

> Non-isotropic models

## An isotropic model with only two additional parameters: triangular prudent walks

Definition: Every new step either inflates the box or walks (prudently) along the border


## II. Results

## Summary of the results

|  | Nature of the g.f. | Asympt. growth | End-to-end distance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-sided (part. dir) | Rat. | $(2.41 \ldots)^{n}$ | $n$ |
| 2-sided | Alg. [Duchi 05] | $(2.48 \ldots)^{n}$ | $n$ |
| 3-sided | not D-finite | $(2.48 \ldots)^{n}$ | $n$ |
| 4-sided (general) | not D-finite | $(2.48 \ldots)^{n}$ | $n^{3 / 4}$ |
| square lattice SAW | ? | $(2.63 \ldots)^{n} n^{11 / 32}$ | $n$ |
| triangular prudent | not D-finite | $(3.56 \ldots)^{n}$ | $n$ |
| triangular SAW | ? | $(4.15 \ldots)^{n} n^{11 / 32}$ | $n^{3 / 4}$ |

## Some tools

- Functional equations with "catalytic" variables
- The kernel method
- Singularity analysis and asymptotics


## A functional equation for two-sided prudent walks

One keeps track of the distance between the endpoint and the NE corner of the box:


Generating function for walks ending on the top side of the box::

$$
T(t ; u)=\sum_{n ; i} a(n ; i) t^{n} u^{i}=\sum_{i} T_{i}(t) u^{i} \equiv T(u)
$$

- For 2-sided walks: series $P(t ; u) \equiv P(u)$


## A functional equation for two-sided prudent walks

Walks ending on the top side of the box: where is the last step that moved the right or top side of the box?

- Either there was no such step:

$$
\frac{1}{1-t u}
$$

- ... or an East step moved the right side:


$$
t \sum_{i} T_{i}(t) t^{i}=t T(t ; t)
$$

## A functional equation for two-sided prudent walks

... or a North step moved the top side:

(at least one West step)
$\frac{t^{2} u}{1-t u} T(t ; u)+t \sum_{i \geq 0} T_{i}(t) \sum_{k=0}^{i} t^{k} u^{i-k}=\frac{t^{2} u}{1-t u} T(t ; u)+\frac{t}{u-t}(u T(t ; u)-t T(t ; t))$.

## A functional equation for two-sided prudent walks

- The generating function $T(t ; u)$ of 2-sided walks ending on the top side of their box satisfies

$$
\left(1-\frac{t u\left(1-t^{2}\right)}{(1-t u)(u-t)}\right) T(t ; u)=\frac{1}{1-t u}+t \frac{u-2 t}{u-t} T(t ; t)
$$

- The g.f. that counts all 2-sided walks is

$$
P(t ; u)=2 T(t ; u)-T(t ; 0)
$$

(inclusion-exclusion)

The more general the class, the more additional variables

1. Two-sided walks : one catalytic variable

$$
\left(1-\frac{t u\left(1-t^{2}\right)}{(1-t u)(u-t)}\right) T(t ; u)=\frac{1}{1-t u}+t \frac{u-2 t}{u-t} T(t ; t)
$$

2.a. Three-sided walks: two catalytic variables

$$
\left(1-\frac{u v t\left(1-t^{2}\right)}{(u-t v)(v-t u)}\right) T(t ; u, v)=1+\cdots-\frac{t^{2} v}{u-t v} T(t ; t v, v)-\frac{t^{2} u}{v-t u} T(t ; u, t u)
$$

2.b. Triangular prudent walks: two catalytic variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1-\frac{t u v\left(1-t^{2}\right)(u+v)}{(u-t v)(v-t u)}\right) R(t ; u, v)= \\
& 1+t u(1+t) \frac{v-2 t u}{v-t u} R(t ; u, t u)+t v(1+t) \frac{u-2 t v}{u-t v} R(t ; t v, v)
\end{aligned}
$$

3. General prudent walks : three catalytic variables
$\left(1-\frac{u v w t\left(1-t^{2}\right)}{(u-t v)(v-t u)}\right) T(t ; u, v, w)=1+\mathcal{G}(w, u)+\mathcal{G}(w, v)-t v \frac{\mathcal{G}(v, w)}{u-t v}-t u \frac{\mathcal{G}(u, w)}{v-t u}$ with $\mathcal{G}(u, v)=t v T(t ; u, t u, v)$.

## Two-sided walks: the kernel method

The generating function of 2-sided walks ending on the top side of their box satisfies:

$$
\left(1-\frac{t u\left(1-t^{2}\right)}{(1-t u)(u-t)}\right) T(t ; u)=\frac{1}{1-t u}+t \frac{u-2 t}{u-t} T(t ; t)
$$

The g.f. that counts all 2 -sided walks is

$$
P(t ; u)=2 T(t ; u)-T(t ; 0)
$$

$\Rightarrow$ the kernel method
[Knuth 72], [mbm-Petkovšek 2000]

## Two-sided walks: the kernel method

$$
\left((1-t u)(u-t)-t u\left(1-t^{2}\right)\right) T(t ; u)=u-t+t(u-2 t)(1-t u) T(t ; t)
$$

- If $u=U(t)$ cancels $(1-t u)(u-t)-t u\left(1-t^{2}\right)$, then

$$
U(t)-t+t(U(t)-2 t)(1-t U(t)) T(t ; t)=0
$$

that is,

$$
T(t ; t)=\frac{t-U(t)}{t(U(t)-2 t)(1-t U(t))}
$$

- We know such a series $U(t)$ :

$$
U(t)=\frac{1-t+t^{2}+t^{3}-\sqrt{\left(1-t^{4}\right)\left(1-2 t-t^{2}\right)}}{2 t}
$$

## Two-sided walks

- The length generating function of 2-sided walks is

$$
P(t)=\frac{1}{1-2 t-2 t^{2}+2 t^{3}}\left(1+t-t^{3}+t(1-t) \sqrt{\frac{1-t^{4}}{1-2 t-t^{2}}}\right)
$$

[Duchi 05]

- Dominant singularity: a simple pole for $1-2 t-2 t^{2}+2 t^{3}=0$, that is, $t_{c}=0.40303 \ldots$. Asymptotically,

$$
p(n) \sim \kappa(2.48 \ldots)^{n}
$$

Compare with $2.41 \ldots$ for partially directed walks.

- Another approach: factorization of walks [Duchi 05]



## Triangular prudent walks: two catalytic variables

- Functional equation for $R(t ; u, v) \equiv R(u, v)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad\left((u-t v)(v-t u)-t u v\left(1-t^{2}\right)(u+v)\right) R(u, v)= \\
& (u-t v)(v-t u)+t u(1+t)(u-t v)(v-2 t u) R(u, t u)+t v(1+t)(v-t u)(u-2 t v) R(v, t v)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
K(u, v) R(u, v)=A(u, v)+B(u, v) \Phi(u)+B(v, u) \Phi(v)
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
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$$
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- Cancellation of the kernel: $K(u, V(u))=0$ for a series $V(u) \equiv V(t ; u)$

$$
\Phi(u)=-\frac{A(u, V(u))}{B(u, V(u))}-\frac{B(V(u), u)}{B(u, V(u))} \Phi(V(u))
$$

- If it is possible to iterate ( $\ldots$ ), denote $V^{(k)}=V(V(V(\cdots(u)))$ ) ( $k$ iterations):

$$
\Phi(u)=\sum_{k \geq 0}(-1)^{k-1} \frac{B\left(V^{(1)}, u\right) B\left(V^{(2)}, V^{(1)}\right) \cdots B\left(V^{(k)}, V^{(k-1)}\right) A\left(V^{(k)}, V^{(k+1)}\right)}{B\left(u, V^{(1)}\right) B\left(V^{(1)}, V^{(2)}\right) \cdots B\left(V^{(k-1)}, V^{(k)}\right) B\left(V^{(k)}, V^{(k+1)}\right)}
$$

## Triangular prudent walks

The length generating function of triangular prudent walks is

$$
P(t ; 1)=\frac{6 t(1+t)}{1-3 t-2 t^{2}}(1+t(1+2 t) R(t ; 1, t))
$$

with

$$
R(t ; 1, t)=(1+Y)(1+t Y) \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{t^{\binom{k+1}{2}}\left(Y\left(1-2 t^{2}\right)\right)^{k}}{\left(Y\left(1-2 t^{2}\right) ; t\right)_{k+1}}\left(\frac{Y t^{2}}{1-2 t^{2}} ; t\right)_{k}
$$

and

$$
Y=\frac{1-2 t-t^{2}-\sqrt{(1-t)\left(1-3 t-t^{2}-t^{3}\right)}}{2 t^{2}}
$$

Notation:

$$
(a ; q)_{n}=(1-a)(1-a q) \cdots\left(1-a q^{n-1}\right)
$$

## Triangular prudent walks

The length generating function of triangular prudent walks is

$$
P(t ; 1)=\frac{6 t(1+t)}{1-3 t-2 t^{2}}(1+t(1+2 t) R(t ; 1, t))
$$

with

$$
R(t ; 1, t)=(1+Y)(1+t Y) \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{t^{\binom{k+1}{2}}\left(Y\left(1-2 t^{2}\right)\right)^{k}}{\left(Y\left(1-2 t^{2}\right) ; t\right)_{k+1}}\left(\frac{Y t^{2}}{1-2 t^{2}} ; t\right)_{k}
$$

- Dominant singularity: a simple pole for $1-3 t-2 t^{2}=0$. Asymptotically,

$$
p(n) \sim \kappa\left(\frac{3+\sqrt{17}}{2}\right)^{n}
$$

- The series $P(t ; 1)$ is neither algebraic, nor even D-finite (infinitely many poles at $\left.Y t^{k}\left(1-2 t^{2}\right)=0\right)$


## Three-sided prudent walks

The generating function of three-sided prudent walks is:

$$
P(t ; 1)=\frac{1}{1-2 t-t^{2}}\left(\frac{1+3 t+t q\left(1-3 t-2 t^{2}\right)}{1-t q}+2 t^{2} q T(t ; 1, t)\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
q \equiv q(t)=\frac{1-t+t^{2}+t^{3}-\sqrt{\left(1-t^{4}\right)\left(1-2 t-t^{2}\right)}}{2 t} \\
T(t ; 1, t)=\sum_{k \geq 0}(-1)^{k} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(\frac{t}{1-t q}-U\left(q^{i+1}\right)\right)}{\prod_{i=0}^{k}\left(\frac{t q}{q-t}-U\left(q^{i}\right)\right)}\left(1+\frac{U\left(q^{k}\right)-t}{t\left(1-t U\left(q^{k}\right)\right)}+\frac{U\left(q^{k+1}\right)-t}{t\left(1-t U\left(q^{k+1}\right)\right)}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

with

$$
U(w)=\frac{1-t w+t^{2}+t^{3} w-\sqrt{\left(1-t^{2}\right)\left(1+t-t w+t^{2} w\right)\left(1-t-t w-t^{2} w\right)}}{2 t}
$$

## Three-sided prudent walks

The generating function of three-sided prudent walks is:

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(t ; 1)=\frac{1}{1-2 t-t^{2}}\left(\frac{1+3 t+t q\left(1-3 t-2 t^{2}\right)}{1-t q}+2 t^{2} q T(t ; 1, t)\right) \\
T(t ; 1, t)=\sum_{k \geq 0}(-1)^{k} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(\frac{t}{1-t q}-U\left(q^{i+1}\right)\right)}{\prod_{i=0}^{k}\left(\frac{t q}{q-t}-U\left(q^{i}\right)\right)}\left(1+\frac{U\left(q^{k}\right)-t}{t\left(1-t U\left(q^{k}\right)\right)}+\frac{U\left(q^{k+1}\right)-t}{t\left(1-t U\left(q^{k+1}\right)\right)}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

- Dominant singularity: (again) a simple pole for $1-2 t-2 t^{2}+2 t^{3}=0$. Asymptotically,

$$
p(n) \sim \kappa(2.48 \ldots)^{n}
$$

- The series $P(t ; 1)$ is neither algebraic, nor even D-finite (infinitely many poles at $\left.\frac{t q}{q-t}=U\left(q^{i}\right)\right)$


## General prudent walks: three catalytic variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1-\frac{u v w t\left(1-t^{2}\right)}{(u-t v)(v-t u)}\right) T(u, v, w)=1+\mathcal{G}(w, u)+\mathcal{G}(w, v)-t v \frac{\mathcal{G}(v, w)}{u-t v}-t u \frac{\mathcal{G}(u, w)}{v-t u} \\
& \text { with } \mathcal{G}(u, v)=t v T(u, t u, v)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Random generation

(step by step construction)

## Two-sided prudent walks

## 500 steps
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Three-sided prudent walks
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## General prudent walks

## 195 steps (sic)
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## Triangular prudent walks
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## Some questions

- General prudent walks on the square lattice: growth constant? Exact enumeration?
- More efficient procedures for random generation (maximal length 200 for general prudent walks...)

- The number of triangular prudent walks whose box has size $k$ is

$$
2^{k-1}(k+1)(k+2)!
$$

Combinatorial explanation?

- Limit processes?

