# Lattice walks <br> and algebraic power series 
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## I. The Schützenberger methodology

## Enumerative combinatorics and generating functions

- Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a set of combinatorial objects equipped with an integer size $|\cdot|$, and assume that for each $n$, the set

$$
\{w \in \mathcal{W}:|w|=n\}
$$

is finite. Let $c(n)$ be its cardinality.

- The generating function of the objects of $\mathcal{W}$, counted by the size, is

$$
C(t):=\sum_{n \geq 0} c(n) t^{n}=\sum_{w \in \mathcal{W}} t^{|w|}
$$

Also called "generating function of the sequence $c(n)$ ".

## Example: the Dyck language

- Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the Dyck language on $\{a, b\}$, generated by the context-free grammar

$$
D \rightarrow \varepsilon, \quad D \rightarrow a D b D .
$$

Then $\mathcal{D}=\{\varepsilon, a b, a b a b, a a b b, \ldots\}$ consists of words in which every prefix contains at least as many a's as b's, and the whole word exactly as many $a$ 's as $b$ 's. Graphically:


## Example: the Dyck language

- Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the Dyck language on $\{a, b\}$, generated by the context-free grammar

$$
D \rightarrow \varepsilon, \quad D \rightarrow a D b D .
$$

Then $\mathcal{D}=\{\varepsilon, a b, a b a b, a a b b, \ldots\}$ consists of words in which every prefix contains at least as many a's as b's, and the whole word exactly as many a's as b's.

- The generating function of Dyck words, counted by their length (number of letters) is:

$$
\mathrm{D}(t)=\sum_{w \in \mathcal{D}} t^{|w|}=\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n} t^{2 n}=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4 t^{2}}}{2 t^{2}}
$$

This is an algebraic series.

## Algebraic series

Definition. A formal power series $C(t)$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$ is algebraic if there exists a non-zero bivariate polynomial $P$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$
P(t, C(t))=0
$$

## Algebraic series: the multivariate case

Definition. A formal power series $C(t)$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$ is algebraic if there exists a non-zero bivariate polynomial $P$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$
P(t, C(t))=0
$$

Multi-variate generating functions: for objects equipped with several parameters $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}$ :

$$
\mathrm{C}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} ; t\right)=\sum_{w \in \mathcal{W}} x_{1}^{p_{1}(w)} \cdots x_{k}^{p_{k}(w)} t^{|w|}
$$

algebraicity means that

$$
P\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, t, C\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} ; t\right)\right)=0
$$

for some non-zero polynomial $P$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$.

## The Chomsky-Schützenberger theorem

## Theorem (1963)

The generating function of words $w$ of a non-ambiguous context-free language $\mathcal{W}$ on the alphabet $\mathcal{A}$, counted by the length $|w|$ (and possibly by the number $|w|_{a}$ of occurrences of each letter $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ), is algebraic.

Any non-ambiguous grammar generating $\mathcal{W}$ gives a system of algebraic equations defining the associated GF. For instance, for the Dyck language,

$$
D \rightarrow \varepsilon, \quad D \rightarrow a D b D
$$

gives

$$
\mathrm{D}(t)=1+t^{2} \mathrm{D}(t)^{2}
$$

These series are even $\mathbb{N}$-algebraic, that is, solutions of positive systems.

## $\mathbb{N}$-algebraic series

- A polynomial system

$$
\mathrm{C}_{i}=P_{i}\left(t, \mathrm{C}_{0}, \mathrm{C}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{C}_{k}\right), \quad \text { for } i \in \llbracket 0, k \rrbracket
$$

is proper if $P_{i}(0, \ldots, 0)=0$ (no constant term) and $\left[\mathrm{C}_{j}\right] P_{i}\left(t, \mathrm{C}_{0}, \ldots, \mathrm{C}_{k}\right)=0$ (no linear term $\mathrm{C}_{j}$ ).

- A proper system has a unique power series solution $\left(C_{0}(t), \ldots, C_{k}(t)\right)$ with $C_{i}(0)=0$.

Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{0}=2 t+\mathrm{C}_{0}^{2}+4 t \mathrm{C}_{1} \\
& \mathrm{C}_{1}=t \mathrm{C}_{0}+\mathrm{C}_{0} \mathrm{C}_{1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $\mathbb{N}$-algebraic series

- A polynomial system

$$
\mathrm{C}_{i}=P_{i}\left(t, \mathrm{C}_{0}, \mathrm{C}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{C}_{k}\right), \quad \text { for } i \in \llbracket 0, k \rrbracket
$$

is proper if $P_{i}(0, \ldots, 0)=0$ (no constant term) and $\left[\mathrm{C}_{j}\right] P_{i}\left(t, \mathrm{C}_{0}, \ldots, \mathrm{C}_{k}\right)=0$ (no linear term $\mathrm{C}_{j}$ ).

- A proper system has a unique power series solution $\left(C_{0}(t), \ldots, C_{k}(t)\right)$ with $C_{i}(0)=0$.
- A series $C(t)$ is $\mathbb{N}$-algebraic if it reads $c_{0}+C_{0}(t)$ where $c_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\left(C_{0}(t), \ldots, C_{k}(t)\right)$ is the power series solution of a proper system with coefficients in $\mathbb{N}$.

Example: $C(t)=4+C_{0}(t)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{0}=2 t+\mathrm{C}_{0}^{2}+4 t \mathrm{C}_{1} \\
& \mathrm{C}_{1}=t \mathrm{C}_{0}+\mathrm{C}_{0} \mathrm{C}_{1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Chomsky-Schützenberger theorem

## Theorem (1963)

The generating function of words $w$ of a non-ambiguous context-free language $\mathcal{W}$ on the alphabet $\mathcal{A}$, counted by the length $|w|$ (and possibly by the number $|w|_{a}$ of occurrences of each letter $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ), is $\mathbb{N}$-algebraic.
and vice-versa! (apart from the constant term)

## The Schützenberger methodology

## (Rough) idea

If a class of objects $\mathcal{O}$ has an algebraic generating function, one should try to explain this algebraicity via a size-preserving bijection between the objects of $\mathcal{O}$ and the words of a (non-ambiguous) context-free language.

The algebraic structure of words can be transfered into an algebraic structure on the objects themselves.

## A basic example: plane trees

Plane trees with $n$ edges are in bijection with Dyck words of length $2 n$ :


The context-free grammar

$$
D \rightarrow \varepsilon, \quad D \rightarrow a D b D
$$

corresponds to the following recursive description of trees:


-     + 



## Growing up in the Schützenberger methodology



Directed convex polygons
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$$



3D directed animals
algebraic degree 12
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## Growing up in the Schützenberger methodology



Directed convex polygons

$$
\frac{t^{4}}{\sqrt{1-4 t^{2}}}
$$



3D directed animals
algebraic degree 12

In this talk: discuss the algebraicity of several enumeration problems, and their possible algebraic structure.
Recast them in a larger class of problems and series!

## II. Three integer sequences

## Three integer sequences

- Catalan numbers:

$$
C(n)=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}
$$

- Kreweras numbers:

$$
K(n)=\frac{4^{n}}{(n+1)(2 n+1)}\binom{3 n}{n}
$$

- Gessel numbers:

$$
G(n)=16^{n} \frac{(5 / 6)_{n}(1 / 2)_{n}}{(5 / 3)_{n}(2)_{n}}
$$

where $(a)_{n}=a(a+1) \cdots(a+n-1)=\frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)}$ is the ascending factorial.

What do they have in common?

## They are hypergeometric

The ratio of two consecutive terms is rational in $n$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{C(n+1)}{C(n)}=2 \frac{2 n+1}{n+2} \\
& \frac{K(n+1)}{K(n)}=6 \frac{(3 n+1)(3 n+2)}{(n+2)(2 n+3)} \\
& \frac{G(n+1)}{G(n)}=4 \frac{(2 n+1)(5 n+6)}{(n+2)(3 n+5)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## The associated generating functions are algebraic

- Catalan generating function $C \equiv C(t)$ :

$$
\mathrm{C}=1+t \mathrm{C}^{2},
$$

- Kreweras generating function $\mathrm{K} \equiv \mathrm{K}(t)$ :

$$
\mathrm{K}=1-54 t+72 t \mathrm{~K}-16 t \mathrm{~K}^{2}-64 t^{2} \mathrm{~K}^{3}
$$

- Gessel generating function $\mathrm{G} \equiv \mathrm{G}(t)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{G}= & 1-48 t+576 t^{2}+256 t^{3}+4 t\left(15-228 t+128 t^{2}\right) \mathrm{G} \\
& +2 t\left(-5+156 t-312 t^{2}+256 t^{3}\right) \mathrm{G}^{2}+9 t^{2}\left(-5+56 t+64 t^{2}\right) \mathrm{G}^{3} \\
& +9 t^{3}\left(-13+28 t+32 t^{2}\right) \mathrm{G}^{4}-189 t^{4} \mathrm{G}^{5}-189 t^{5} \mathrm{G}^{6} \\
& -108 t^{6} \mathrm{G}^{7}-27 t^{7} \mathrm{G}^{8} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## They count lattice paths (or Dyck-like words)

- Catalan $C(n)=$ number of words $w$ on $\{a, b\}$ with $2 n$ letters such that $|w|_{a}=|w|_{b}$ and for every prefix $u$ of $w,|u|_{a} \geq|u|_{b}$.



## They count lattice paths (or Dyck-like words)

- Catalan $C(n)=$ number of words $w$ on $\{a, b\}$ with $2 n$ letters such that $|w|_{a}=|w|_{b}$ and for every prefix $u$ of $w,|u|_{a} \geq|u|_{b}$.
- Kreweras $K(n)=$ number of words $w$ on $\{a, b, c\}$ with $3 n$ letters such that $|w|_{a}=|w|_{b}=|w|_{c}$ and for every prefix $u$ of $w,|u|_{a} \geq|u|_{b}$ and $|u|_{a} \geq|u|_{c}$.
[Kreweras 65]

$\left\{\begin{array}{l}a \\ b\end{array}\right.$



## They count lattice paths (or Dyck-like words)

- Catalan $C(n)=$ number of words $w$ on $\{a, b\}$ with $2 n$ letters such that $|w|_{a}=|w|_{b}$ and for every prefix $u$ of $w,|u|_{a} \geq|u|_{b}$.
- Kreweras $K(n)=$ number of words $w$ on $\{a, b, c\}$ with $3 n$ letters such that $|w|_{a}=|w|_{b}=|w|_{c}$ and for every prefix $u$ of $w,|u|_{a} \geq|u|_{b}$ and $|u|_{a} \geq|u|_{c}$.
[Kreweras 65]
- Gessel $G(n)=$ number of words $w$ on $\{a, b, c, d\}$ with $4 n$ letters such that $|w|_{a}=|w|_{b}=|w|_{c}=|w|_{d}$ and for every prefix $u$ of $w,|u|_{a} \geq|u|_{b}$ and $|u|_{a}+|u|_{c} \geq|u|_{b}+|u|_{d}$.
[Kauers et al. 09]

$\left\{\begin{array}{l}a \\ b\end{array}\right.$




## Some walks are (much) easier to count than others

Dyck walks [Whitworth 1878, Bertrand 1887, André 1887]
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Kreweras walks

- first proof [Kreweras 65]
- simplifications [Niederhausen 80, 83], another approach [Gessel 86]
- first (and only) bijective proof [Bernardi 07]


## Some walks are (much) easier to count than others

Dyck walks [Whitworth 1878, Bertrand 1887, André 1887]
Kreweras walks

- first proof [Kreweras 65]
- simplifications [Niederhausen 80, 83], another approach [Gessel 86]
- first (and only) bijective proof [Bernardi 07]

Gessel walks

- conjecture [Gessel $\simeq 00$ ]
- first proof for the numbers $G(n)$ by computer algebra [Kauers, Koutschan, Zeilberger 09]
- algebraicity [Bostan, Kauers 10] (computer algebra)
- "Human" proofs: [Bostan, Raschel 13(a)] (via complex analysis and elliptic functions) and [mbm 15(a)] (power series only).
- No bijective explanation.


## III. Catalan numbers

$$
C(n)=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}
$$



## A ubiquitous quadratic structure

Quadratic recurrence relation:

$$
C(n)=\sum_{i+j=n-1} C(i) C(j), \quad C(0)=1
$$

Algebraic equation:

$$
C(t)=1+t C(t)^{2} \Rightarrow C(t)=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4 t}}{2 t}
$$
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## A ubiquitous quadratic structure

Quadratic recurrence relation:

$$
C(n)=\sum_{i+j=n-1} C(i) C(j), \quad C(0)=1
$$

Algebraic equation:

$$
C(t)=1+t C(t)^{2} \Rightarrow C(t)=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4 t}}{2 t}
$$



## Paths on a half-line are "context-free"

Proposition: for any finite step set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{Z}$, the set of walks going from 0 to 0 on the half-line $\mathbb{N}$ and taking their steps in $\mathcal{S}$ has an algebraic recursive description, obtained by first/last return decompositions. [Labelle, Yeh 90, Labelle 93, Duchon 00]

## Paths on a half-line are "context-free"

Proposition: for any finite step set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{Z}$, the set of walks going from 0 to 0 on the half-line $\mathbb{N}$ and taking their steps in $\mathcal{S}$ has an algebraic recursive description, obtained by first/last return decompositions. [Labelle, Yeh 90, Labelle 93, Duchon 00]

Example: for $\mathcal{S}=\{3,-2\}$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{D}_{0,0}=1+\mathrm{C}_{0,0} \mathrm{D}_{0,0} & \mathrm{D}_{2,0}=C_{2,0} D_{0,0} \\
\mathrm{C}_{0,0}=a \mathrm{D}_{2,1} b & \mathrm{C}_{1,0}=D_{0,1} b \\
\mathrm{D}_{2,1}=\mathrm{D}_{2,0} C_{0,1}+\mathrm{D}_{1,0} C_{0,0} & C_{2,0}=D_{1,1} b \\
D_{1,0}=C_{1,0} D_{0,0} & D_{1,1}=D_{0,0}+D_{1,0} C_{0,1}
\end{array}
$$

## Paths on a half-line are "context-free"

Proposition: for any finite step set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{Z}$, the set of walks going from 0 to 0 on the half-line $\mathbb{N}$ and taking their steps in $\mathcal{S}$ has an algebraic recursive description, obtained by first/last return decompositions. [Labelle, Yeh 90, Labelle 93, Duchon 00]

Example: for $\mathcal{S}=\{3,-2\}$,
$D_{0,0}=1+C_{0,0} D_{0,0}$
$D_{2,0}=C_{2,0} D_{0,0}$
$\mathrm{C}_{0,0}=\mathrm{aD}_{2,1} b$
$C_{1,0}=D_{0,1} b$
$D_{2,1}=D_{2,0} C_{0,1}+D_{1,0} C_{0,0}$
$C_{2,0}=D_{1,1} b$
$\mathrm{D}_{1,0}=\mathrm{C}_{1,0} \mathrm{D}_{0,0}$


$D_{1,1}=D_{0,0}+D_{1,0} C_{0,1}$


## A Catalan mystery: Walks on the slit plane

Let $s(i, j ; n)$ be the number of $n$-step walks on the square lattice that start at $(0,0)$ but never return to the non-positive $x$-axis, and end at $(i, j)$. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s(0,1 ; 2 n+1) & =4^{n} C(n), \\
s(1,0 ; 2 n+1) & =C(2 n+1), \\
s(-1,1 ; 2 n) & =\frac{1}{2} C(2 n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

[mbm, Schaeffer 00(a)]


Bijective proof for $s(1,0 ; 2 n+1)$ [Barcucci, Pergola, Pinzani, Rinaldi 01]

## IV. Kreweras numbers

$$
K(n)=\frac{4^{n}}{(n+1)(2 n+1)}\binom{3 n}{n}
$$



## A negative result

Proposition: the GF of Kreweras numbers is NOT $\mathbb{N}$-algebraic, hence these walks do NOT have a positive algebraic recursive description.
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Proposition: the GF of Kreweras numbers is NOT $\mathbb{N}$-algebraic, hence these walks do NOT have a positive algebraic recursive description. Proof:

$$
K(n)=\frac{4^{n}}{(n+1)(2 n+1)}\binom{3 n}{n} \sim \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4 \sqrt{\pi}} 27^{n} n^{-5 / 2}
$$

## A negative result

Proposition: the GF of Kreweras numbers is NOT $\mathbb{N}$-algebraic, hence these walks do NOT have a positive algebraic recursive description. Proof:

$$
K(n)=\frac{4^{n}}{(n+1)(2 n+1)}\binom{3 n}{n} \sim \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4 \sqrt{\pi}} 27^{n} n^{-5 / 2}
$$

Theorem [Banderier, Drmota 15]: If the $n$-th coefficient $a(n)$ of an $\mathbb{N}$-algebraic series satisfies

$$
a(n) \sim c \mu^{n} n^{\alpha}
$$

then $\alpha=-\frac{1}{2^{k}}-1$, with $k \geq 1$ or $\alpha=\frac{m}{2^{k}}-1$ with $m \in\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$ and $k \geq 0$. In particular, $\alpha \geq-3 / 2$.

## A negative result

Proposition: the GF of Kreweras numbers is NOT $\mathbb{N}$-algebraic, hence these walks do NOT have a positive algebraic recursive description.
Proof:

$$
K(n)=\frac{4^{n}}{(n+1)(2 n+1)}\binom{3 n}{n} \sim \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4 \sqrt{\pi}} 27^{n} n^{-5 / 2}
$$

However, $\mathrm{K}(t)$ is the difference of two $\mathbb{N}$-algebraic series of radius $1 / 27$ :

$$
\mathrm{K}(t)=\mathrm{A}(t)-2 t \mathrm{~A}(t)^{4}
$$

with

$$
\mathrm{A}(t)=1+4 t \mathrm{~A}(t)^{3}=\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{4^{n}}{2 n+1}\binom{3 n}{n} t^{n}
$$

## A negative result

Proposition: the GF of Kreweras numbers is NOT $\mathbb{N}$-algebraic, hence these walks do NOT have a positive algebraic recursive description.
Proof:

$$
K(n)=\frac{4^{n}}{(n+1)(2 n+1)}\binom{3 n}{n} \sim \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4 \sqrt{\pi}} 27^{n} n^{-5 / 2}
$$

However, $\mathrm{K}(t)$ is the difference of two $\mathbb{N}$-algebraic series of radius $1 / 27$ :

$$
\mathrm{K}(t)=\mathrm{A}(t)-2 t \mathrm{~A}(t)^{4}
$$

with

$$
\mathrm{A}(t)=1+4 t \mathrm{~A}(t)^{3}=\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{4^{n}}{2 n+1}\binom{3 n}{n} t^{n}
$$

Combinatorial explanation of the algebraic system:

- a bijection with certain triangulations [Bernardi 07]...
- counted bijectively in [Poulalhon, Schaeffer 03].
- Many differences of $\mathbb{N}$-algebraic series in map enumeration [Cori, Vauquelin 80] $\Rightarrow$ Gilles Schaeffer's talk


## V. Gessel numbers

$$
G(n)=16^{n} \frac{(5 / 6)_{n}(1 / 2)_{n}}{(5 / 3)_{n}(2)_{n}}
$$



## A negative result

Proposition: the GF of Gessel numbers is NOT $\mathbb{N}$-algebraic, hence these walks do NOT have a positive algebraic recursive description.
Proof:

$$
G(n)=16^{n} \frac{(5 / 6)_{n}(1 / 2)_{n}}{(5 / 3)_{n}(2)_{n}} \sim c 16^{n} n^{-7 / 3}
$$

Theorem [Banderier, Drmota 15] If the $n$-th coefficient $a(n)$ of an $\mathbb{N}$-algebraic series satisfies

$$
a(n) \sim c \mu^{n} n^{\alpha}
$$

then $\alpha=-\frac{1}{2^{k}}-1$, with $k \geq 1$ or $\alpha=\frac{m}{2^{k}}-1$ with $m \in\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$ and $k \geq 0$. In particular, $\alpha \geq-3 / 2$.

## A negative result

Proposition: the GF of Gessel numbers is NOT $\mathbb{N}$-algebraic, hence these walks do NOT have a positive algebraic recursive description.
Proof:

$$
G(n)=16^{n} \frac{(5 / 6)_{n}(1 / 2)_{n}}{(5 / 3)_{n}(2)_{n}} \sim c 16^{n} n^{-7 / 3}
$$

Theorem [Banderier, Drmota 15] If the $n$-th coefficient $a(n)$ of an $\mathbb{N}$-algebraic series satisfies

$$
a(n) \sim c \mu^{n} n^{\alpha}
$$

then $\alpha=-\frac{1}{2^{k}}-1$, with $k \geq 1$ or $\alpha=\frac{m}{2^{k}}-1$ with $m \in\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$ and $k \geq 0$. In particular, $\alpha \geq-3 / 2$.

## Questions

- Is $\mathrm{G}(t)$ is the difference of two $\mathbb{N}$-algebraic series of radius $1 / 16$ ?
- Can the coefficients of an $\mathbb{N}$-algebraic series involve non-dyadic exponents in their asymptotic expansion?


## VI. Where does algebraicity come from, then?

From other recursive descriptions, and the corresponding (non-algebraic) functional equations

Kreweras walks: a step by step construction


## Kreweras walks: a step by step construction

COST: consider Kreweras walks ending anywhere in the quadrant, and count them by the length and coordinates $(i, j)$ of the endpoint:

$$
\mathrm{K}(x, y ; t)=\sum_{w \text { walk }} t^{|w|} x^{i(w)} y^{j(w)}
$$



## Kreweras walks: a step by step construction

COST: consider Kreweras walks ending anywhere in the quadrant, and count them by the length and coordinates $(i, j)$ of the endpoint:

$$
\mathrm{K}(x, y ; t)=\sum_{w \text { walk }} t^{|w|} x^{i(w)} y^{j(w)}
$$

Then
$\mathrm{K}(x, y ; t) \equiv \mathrm{K}(x, y)=1+t(x y+\bar{x}+\bar{y}) \mathrm{K}(x, y)-t \bar{x} \mathrm{~K}(0, y)-t \bar{y} \mathrm{~K}(x, 0)$
with $\bar{x}=1 / x$ and $\bar{y}=1 / y$.




An equation with two catalytic variables [Zeilberger].

## Kreweras walks: a step by step construction

COST: consider Kreweras walks ending anywhere in the quadrant, and count them by the length and coordinates $(i, j)$ of the endpoint:

$$
\mathrm{K}(x, y ; t)=\sum_{w \text { walk }} t^{|w|} x^{i(w)} y^{j(w)}
$$

Then
$\mathrm{K}(x, y ; t) \equiv \mathrm{K}(x, y)=1+t(x y+\bar{x}+\bar{y}) \mathrm{K}(x, y)-t \bar{x} \mathrm{~K}(0, y)-t \bar{y} \mathrm{~K}(x, 0)$
with $\bar{x}=1 / x$ and $\bar{y}=1 / y$.




An equation with two catalytic variables [Zeilberger].
The series $\mathrm{K}(x, y ; t)$ is still algebraic [Gessel 86 , mbm 02].

## Gessel walks: a step by step construction

COST: consider Gessel walks ending anywhere in the quadrant, and count them by the length and coordinates $(i, j)$ of the endpoint:

$$
\mathrm{G}(x, y ; t)=\sum_{w \text { walk }} t^{|w|} x^{i(w)} y^{j(w)}
$$



## Gessel walks: a step by step construction

COST: consider Gessel walks ending anywhere in the quadrant, and count them by the length and coordinates $(i, j)$ of the endpoint:

$$
G(x, y ; t)=\sum_{w \text { walk }} t^{|w|} x^{i(w)} y^{j(w)} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{G}(x, y ; t) \equiv \mathrm{G}(x, y)=1+t(x+x y+\bar{x}+ & \bar{x} \bar{y}) \mathrm{G}(x, y)-t \bar{x}(1+\bar{y}) \mathrm{G}(0, y) \\
& -t \bar{x} \bar{y} \mathrm{G}(x, 0)+t \bar{x} \bar{y} \mathrm{G}(0,0)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\bar{x}=1 / x$ and $\bar{y}=1 / y$.




And... the series $\mathrm{G}(x, y ; t)$ is still algebraic [Bostan, Kauers 10].

## Walks in the slit plane: a step by step construction

COST: consider square lattice walks avoiding the non-positive $x$-axis and ending anywhere, and count them by the length and coordinates $(i, j)$ of the endpoint:

$$
\mathrm{S}(x, y ; t)=\sum_{w \text { walk }} t^{|w|} x^{i(w)} y^{j(w)} .
$$



## Walks in the slit plane: a step by step construction

COST: consider square lattice walks avoiding the non-positive $x$-axis and ending anywhere, and count them by the length and coordinates $(i, j)$ of the endpoint:

$$
\mathrm{S}(x, y ; t)=\sum_{w \text { walk }} t^{|w|} x^{i(w)} y^{j(w)}
$$

Then

$$
\mathrm{S}(x, y ; t) \equiv \mathrm{S}(x, y)=1+t(x+\bar{x}+y+\bar{y}) \mathrm{S}(x, y)-2 t \mathrm{~S}_{1}(x)
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{S}_{1}(x)=\sum_{i \leq 0} s(i, 1 ; n) x^{i} t^{n}
$$





And... the series $\mathrm{S}(x, y ; t)$ is still algebraic [mbm, Schaeffer 00(a)].

## Step by step for Dyck paths!

COST: consider walks with $\pm 1$ steps on the non-negative half-line, and count them by the length and height $i$ of the endpoint:

$$
\mathrm{D}(x ; t)=\sum_{w \text { walk }} t^{|w|} x^{i(w)}
$$
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COST: consider walks with $\pm 1$ steps on the non-negative half-line, and count them by the length and height $i$ of the endpoint:

$$
\mathrm{D}(x ; t)=\sum_{w \text { walk }} t^{|w|} x^{i(w)}
$$

Then

$$
\mathrm{D}(x ; t) \equiv \mathrm{D}(x)=1+t(x+\bar{x}) \mathrm{D}(x)-t \bar{x} \mathrm{D}(0)
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An equation with one catalytic variable.
The series $\mathrm{D}(x ; t)$ is still algebraic (and even $\mathbb{N}$-algebraic).

## Functional equations for 2D walks

Kreweras

$$
\mathrm{K}(x, y)=1+t(x y+\bar{x}+\bar{y}) \mathrm{K}(x, y)-t \bar{x} \mathrm{~K}(0, y)-t \bar{y} \mathrm{~K}(x, 0)
$$

Gessel

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{G}(x, y)=1+t(x+x y+\bar{x}+\bar{x} \bar{y}) \mathrm{G}(x, y) & -t \bar{x}(1+\bar{y}) \mathrm{G}(0, y) \\
& -t \bar{x} \bar{y} \mathrm{G}(x, 0)+t \bar{x} \bar{y} \mathrm{G}(0,0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Slit plane

$$
S(x, y)=1+t(x+\bar{x}+y+\bar{y}) S(x, y)-2 t S_{1}(x)
$$

Why are these three series algebraic?

# VII. Three more proofs of algebraicity for Dyck prefixes 

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{D}(x)=1+t(x+\bar{x}) \mathrm{D}(x)-t \bar{x} \mathrm{D}(0) \\
\Rightarrow \mathrm{D}(x)=1+2 x t D(x)+t\left(x^{2} t+t-x\right) \mathrm{D}(x)^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Proof \# 1: Guess and check

The equation (recall $\bar{x}=1 / x$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}(x)=1+t(x+\bar{x}) \mathrm{D}(x)-t \bar{x} \mathrm{D}(0) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- generate the first $N$ coefficients of

$$
\mathrm{D}(x ; t)=1+t x+t^{2}\left(1+x^{2}\right)+\cdots
$$

( $N=10$ will suffice)

- guess a (conjectured) algebraic equation for $D$ [Maple, GFUN]:

$$
\mathrm{D}(x)=1+2 x t \mathrm{D}(x)+t\left(x^{2} t+t-x\right) \mathrm{D}(x)^{2} .
$$

- one solution $\tilde{D}(x)$ is a series in $t$ with polynomial coefficients in $x$ (so that $\tilde{D}(0)$ is well-defined)
- check (1) for this conjectured value $\tilde{D}(x)$.

Applies (in principle) to many equations!
$\Rightarrow$ One solution of Kreweras' and Gessel's problems [Bostan, Kauers 10]

## Proof \# 2: the kernel method

The equation:

$$
(1-t(x+\bar{x})) \mathrm{D}(x)=1-t \bar{x} \mathrm{D}(0)
$$

- The kernel $(1-t(x+\bar{x}))$, when solved for $x$, has two roots $X$ and $1 / X$, with

$$
X=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4 t^{2}}}{2 t}=t+t^{3}+O\left(t^{5}\right)
$$

- Replacing $x$ by $X$ cancels the I.h.s., leaving

$$
\mathrm{D}(0)=\frac{X}{t}
$$

[Knuth] The Art of Computer programming, Vol. 1 Chap. 2.
Applies to any problem of walks on the half-line $\mathbb{N}$ [Petkovšek 98].
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## Proof \# 3: the algebraic kernel method

The equation:

$$
(1-t(x+\bar{x})) x \mathrm{D}(x)=x-t \mathrm{D}(0)
$$

- Replacing $x$ by $1 / x:=\bar{x}$ leaves the kernel unchanged:

$$
(1-t(x+\bar{x})) \bar{x} \mathrm{D}(\bar{x})=\bar{x}-t \mathrm{D}(0)
$$

- Take the difference, and divide by the kernel:

$$
x \mathrm{D}(x)-\bar{x} \mathrm{D}(\bar{x})=\frac{x-\bar{x}}{1-t(x+\bar{x})}
$$

- Partial fraction expansion in $x$ :

$$
x \mathrm{D}(x)-\bar{x} \mathrm{D}(\bar{x})=\frac{X}{t}\left(\frac{x}{1-x X}-\frac{\bar{x}}{1-\bar{x} X}\right)
$$

- Pick up positive powers of $x$ :

$$
x \mathrm{D}(x)=\frac{X}{t} \frac{x}{1-x X}
$$

## Two dimensional problems

The above ideas (guess and check/ kernel method/ normal forms... and more) are used in the solutions of our 2D problems:

and much more!


## VIII. But why these steps? Why these cones?



The whole story

## Counting walks in (rational) cones

Take a starting point $p_{0}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$, a (finite) step set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ and a cone $\mathcal{C}$.

## Questions

- What is the number $c(n)$ of $n$-step walks starting at $p_{0}$, taking their steps in $\mathcal{S}$ and contained in $\mathcal{C}$ ?
- For $i=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}\right) \in \mathcal{C}$, what is the number $c(i ; n)$ of such walks that end at $i$ ?

Generating function:

$$
C(x ; t)=\sum_{i, n} c(i ; n) x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots x_{d}^{i_{d}} t^{n}
$$

## A (very) basic cone: the full space

## Rational series

If $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ is finite and $C=\mathbb{R}^{d}$, then $C(x ; t)$ is rational:

$$
c(n)=|\mathcal{S}|^{n} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad C(t)=\sum_{n \geq 0} c(n) t^{n}=\frac{1}{1-|\mathcal{S}| t}
$$

More generally:

$$
C(x ; t)=\frac{1}{1-t \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} x^{s}} .
$$



## Also well-known: a (rational) half-space

## Algebraic series

If $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ is finite and $C$ is a rational half-space, then $C(x ; t)$ is algebraic, given by an explicit system of polynomial equations.
[Labelle, Yeh 90, Labelle 93, Duchon 00, mbm-Petkovssek 00, Banderier \& Flajolet 02, ...]


## 2D walks in a convex cone $\Rightarrow$ walks in the first quadrant



- The quarter plane, with $p_{0}=(0,0)$, and steps $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ :

$$
\mathrm{Q}(x, y ; t)=\sum_{i, j, n \geq 0} q(i, j ; n) x^{i} y^{j} t^{n}=?
$$



## A hierarchy of formal power series

- The formal power series $C(t)$ is algebraic (over $\mathbb{Q}(t))$ if it satisfies a (non-trivial) polynomial equation:

$$
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- Nice and effective closure properties + asymptotics of the coefficients
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- The formal power series $C(t)$ is algebraic (over $\mathbb{Q}(t))$ if it satisfies a (non-trivial) polynomial equation:

$$
P(t, C(t))=0
$$

- The formal power series $C(t)$ is D-finite (holonomic) if it satisfies a (non-trivial) linear differential equation with polynomial coefficients:

$$
P_{k}(t) \mathrm{C}^{(k)}(t)+\cdots+P_{0}(t) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{t})=0
$$

- Nice and effective closure properties + asymptotics of the coefficients
- Extension to several variables (D-finite: one DE per variable)


## Quadrant walks with small steps: classification

- $\mathcal{S} \subset\{-1,0,1\}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\} \Rightarrow 2^{8}=256$ step sets (or: models)
- However, some models are equivalent to a half-space problem (hence algebraic) and/or to another model (diagonal symmetry).
- One is left with 79 interesting distinct models [mbm-Mishna 09].
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## Classification of quadrant walks with small steps

## Theorem (for the 79 interesting models)

The series $\mathrm{Q}(x, y ; t)$ is D-finite if and only if a certain group $G$ associated with $\mathcal{S}$ is finite.
It is algebraic iff, in addition, the orbit sum is zero.
[mbm-Mishna 10], [Bostan-Kauers 10]
[Kurkova-Raschel 12]
[Mishna-Rechnitzer 07], [Melczer-Mishna 13]

D-finite
non-singular non-D-finite singular non-D-finite
$\Rightarrow$ Classification of the corresponding functional equations, e.g.

$$
(1-t(x+\bar{x}+y+\bar{y})) \mathrm{Q}(x, y ; t)=1-t \bar{x} \mathrm{Q}(0, y ; t)-t \bar{y} \mathrm{Q}(x, 0 ; t)
$$

## The group of the model

To a step set $\mathcal{S}$, associate the step polynomial:

$$
S(x, y)=\sum_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{S}} x^{i} y^{j}
$$

The group is generated by two birational transformations of $(x, y)$ that leave $S(x, y)$ unchanged.

Example. For the square lattice,

$$
S(x, y)=\frac{1}{x}+x+y+\frac{1}{y}=\bar{x}+x+y+\bar{y}
$$

and

$$
\Phi:(x, y) \mapsto(\bar{x}, y) \quad \text { and } \quad \psi:(x, y) \mapsto(x, \bar{y})
$$

These two involutions generate a group of order 4.

## Classification of quadrant walks with small steps

quadrant models: 79

$$
|G|<\infty: 23 \quad|G|=\infty: 56
$$



Formal power series algebra

## Computer algebra

 algebraicComplex analysis

D-finite series
effective closure properties arithmetic properties
asymptotics
G-functions

## More steps, more cones

- Quadrant walks with larger steps [Fayolle, Raschel 15], [Bostan, mbm, Melczer 16?]
- 3D walks in the non-negative octant [Bostan, mbm, Kauers, Melczer 14(a), Bacher, Kauers, Yatchak 15(a)]
- Non-convex cones: 2D walks avoiding the negative quadrant [mbm 15(a)], [Mustapha 16].
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## Final comments

- Many combinatorial classes with an algebraic GF are not "context-free" (not $\mathbb{N}$-algebraic). A better understanding is needed!
- Is there a combinatorial class with a rational, but not $\mathbb{N}$-rational, GF?
- D-finite series are nice (combinatorial interpretations [Kotek, Makowsky], [Massazza], [Pak]...)
- (Very) hard algebraic problems: those for which we do not know any recursive description.
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