

Master informatique – ABV (partie visualisation analytique)

Evaluation des projets semestriel

Evaluateur (étudiant): _____

La grille d'évaluation est rappelée ici. Chaque critère est noté sur une échelle de 1 à 5, l'interprétation de la note variant selon chacun des critères. Une liste de critères à évaluer, et des possibles interprétations de notes, est proposée (le tableau est repris du wiki du cours). Elle n'est pas restrictive; c'est le commentaire ajouté en fin de ligne qui justifie la note attribuée.

Criteria	Grades & possible interpretations					Comment
	1	2	3	4	5	
<i>Difficulty of problem addressed/ questions asked</i>	Easy	Requires some thinking but answer is straightforward	Requires some thinking and average work	Tricky, requires non obvious work	Difficult, probably impossible to answer satisfactorily in given time	
<i>Implicit difficulty of dataset used</i>	Straightforward, not much work time	Required work but obvious solution	Required work, inventive solution	Needed non obvious combination of ideas and tools	Difficult, probably needed too much	
<i>Visualization (effort)</i>	Relatively easy, relied on ready-made solutions	Good combinations of existing ideas and algorithms but could do better	Some thinking, a mixture of ready-made and custom visualization, pretty good end result	Astute and creative, pleasant and relevant	Seems new, required non obvious work	
<i>Visualization (quality)</i>	Many things <i>wrong</i> , ended producing misleading graphical views	Many things need to be fixed (wrong colormap, wrong mapping for size, wrong algorithms used, etc.)	Overall OK, with only minor things to improve or add	Very good impression, clean colormap choices, shapes, relevant layouts, etc.	Additional aesthetics, almost close to professional graphics design	

Criteria	Grades & possible interpretations					Comment
	1	2	3	4	5	
<i>Visualization (creativity)</i>	None really	Combining existing viz was a good idea	Unusual use of existing algorithm and/or customization	Astute solution, demonstrate mastery of ideas and techniques	Clearly exhibit a creative mind	
<i>Visualization (relevancy)</i>	Didn't help much to solve the problem / answer questions	Only gave a hint about a possible solution	Was able to provide a non-surprising answer, with no real convincing arguments	Clearly allowed to provide a firm answer to problem	Brought additional insights on the problem	
<i>Written document (wiki)</i>	Poor, hardly readable or usable	Contains everything it should, but needs to be reworked to be usable	Globally OK but could be improved	Good job, reads well	Extremely well crafted, could even be published as a blog <i>as is</i>	
<i>Slideshow & Presentation</i>	Poor, confusing	Wrong order of presentation, wrong time management could not present it all ...	Globally OK, could improved (showing better images, more lively, emphasizing the right things, etc.)	Good show, well balanced, lively, got all he information in the right time frame	Entertaining, attractive, intellectually challenging	