Bordeaux 1 Year 2015-2016

## TD n°5 - Subtyping polymorphism

## Exercice 1: Constrained polymorphism in OCaml

The OCaml language possesses constructs to define objects and classes<sup>1</sup>. For instance, the following code defines a standalone object :

```
let cpt = object
   val mutable value = 0
   method get_value = value
   method incr n = value ← value + n
   end;;
cpt#incr 123;;
```

- 1. How is the type of an object defined?
- 2. Write another object with the same type, but different internal state, and check that they have the same type, for example by putting them into a list.

OCamI objects may be defined with classes. In OCamI, a class is simply a constructor function for the object, using the class keyword instead of let :

```
class counter init_value = object
  val mutable value = init_value
  method get_value = value
  method incr n = value ← value + n
end;;
let cpt = new counter 456;;
```

3. Check the type of the class and compare it to the type of the object it constructs.

Class inheritance is done via the inherit keyword and the coercion operator is written :> :

```
class resettable_counter init_value = object
    inherit counter init_value
    method reset = value ← 0
end;;
let cqt = ((new resettable_counter 789) :> counter);;
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The code given here is sufficient for the purpose of the exercise. Additional information can be found at http://caml.inria.fr/pub/docs/u3-ocaml/ocaml-objects.html.

4. What is the OCaml policy with regard to upcasts and downcasts? How would you qualify such a policy?

Imagine that you want to write a generic functions on counters that tests whether two counters possess the same value. At first, this function should not be a method.

- 5. What is the type inferred by OCaml for such a function?
- 6. Is it possible to write this function as a method for the counter class? What kind of problem appears?

C# is a programming language developped by Microsoft (http://msdn.microsoft.com/ en-us/vcsharp/aa336809.aspx), that possesses an open-source compiler and runtime environment within project Mono (http://www.mono-project.com). In order to programm in C# with Mono, one must use the following tools :

• Compilation : use the mcs compiler in the following manner to obtain an .exe file from a .cs source code file :

gmcs "file.cs"

• Execution : use the runtime environment in the following manner :

mono "file.exe"

Here is an example of a very simple C# program :

```
using System;
class Program {
    public static void Main() {
        Console.WriteLine("Caramba !");
    }
}
```

## Exercice 2: Subtyping polymorphism in Java and C#

Let us compare the capabilities of Java and C# with regard to the subtyping polymorphism. A small hierarchy of classes is available in the sources for both languages, and is used for the following examples.

Observe the following snippet of code in Java :

```
class P implements Comparable<P> {
    public Integer x;
    P(Integer x) { this.x = x; }
    public int compareTo(P other) {
        return (x.compareTo(other.x));
    }
}
class SubP extends P {
    public Double y;
    SubP(Integer x, Double d) { super(x); this.y = d; }
    public int compareTo(SubP other) {
        if (x.compareTo(other.x) != 0)
            return (x.compareTo(other.x));
        else
            return (y.compareTo(other.y));
    }
}
```

Both classes P and SubP implement the Comparable interface.

- 1. What kind of relations are expected from an equality or comparison function?
- 2. Are these relations respected in this situation? More concretely, is it possible to create instances of P and SubP that are different but equal under the compareTo method? Try without upcasts and then with upcasts.
- 3. What happens when trying to upcast or downcast a variable in an ill-typed manner? What is the error message, if any, and when does it occur?

What does it imply in terms of type safety?

*Covariance* and *contravariance* are properties that characterize the type compatibilities for values occurring in a "type position" : the type of the parameter of a function, the type of its return value, the type parameter of a generic function ...

- 4. Recall the rules with regard to covariance and contravariance for function parameters and function results.
- 5. How do Java or C# behave with regard to these rules? (replacing the term "function" by "method")
- 6. Write an example function for each case of covariance and contravariance.

> Java and C# propose two different ways to define constraints on the variables :

• Java/ Use-site variance : the variance indication on the type variable appears in the method using the variable

```
class Collections<T> { .. };
public void copy(List <? super T> dst, List <? extends T> src) {
   .. };
```

• C#/ Definition-site variance : the variance indication on the type variable appears at the definition of the class

```
interface Collections<in T> {
  T getElem()
... };
```

In both cases, the code must satisfy the *get-put* principle for a generic class C < T > :

- if the type variable T is in a *covariant* position, one can call every method in C<T> except the generic methods having an *argument* of type T.
- if the type variable T is in a *contravariant* position, one can call every method in C<T> except the generic methods having a *result* of type T.

## Exercice 3: Type variance

In languages with generics, the **Collections** and their containers usually provide nice examples of parameterized types.

- 1. What is in general (that is to say without taking a specific language into account) the rule of variance for a parameterized type such as Container<Parameter>?
- 2. Verify your proposition by writing examples in Java and C#. What is the error message, if any, and when does it occur?

According to the previous questions, if ever an Orange inherits from a class Fruit, then it implies that there is no relation whatsoever between List<Orange> and List<Fruit>, which is very restrictive.

3. Give an example that shows how restrictive this choice is.

Now there is a possibility to alleviate this behavior with variance indications. Type compatibility is explicitly stated, either at the definition of the variables (*definition-site variance*, for example in C# and Scala) or at the place they are used, usually the arguments of functions (*use-site variance*, for example in Java via the use of *wildcards*).

- Consult the Java 1.7 documentation page for the Collections class (http://docs.oracle. com/javase/7/docs/api/java/util/Collections.html), and discuss the types of the functions fill, copy and max.
- 5. Consult the C# documentation for the IEnumerable interface http://msdn.microsoft.com/ en-us/library/vstudio/9eekhta0%28v=vs.100%29.aspx and discuss the differences with the Java case, in particular for the max functions.

For these specific types, there is a general principle explaining what kind of methods can or cannot be applied to a wildcard type. For each of the following citations<sup>2</sup>, construct a Java example that does not type-check, and explain why it doesn't.

6. About covariance :

For example the type List<? extends Number> is often used to indicate read-only lists of Numbers. This is because one can get elements of the list and statically know they are Numbers, but one cannot add Numbers to the list since the list may actually represent a List<Integer> which does not accept arbitrary Numbers.

7. About contravariance :

Similarly, List<? super Number> is often used to indicate write-only lists. This time, one cannot get Numbers from the list since it may actually be a List<Object>, but one can add Numbers to the list.

Let us write a generic function in to sort elements of a list.

- 8. Write the prototype of a generic sorting function in C# that takes a list and a comparison function, that is covariant in the list parameter and contravariant in the other.
- 9. Write the prototype of a sorting algorithm in Java that is equivalent to the previous one. The functional comparison can be replaced by the Comparator interface.

What is the difference with the C# solution?

10. Suppose that we only require that the type  $\tau$  implements the Comparable interface. What is the most generic prototype you can write?

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>Taken \ from \ \texttt{http://www.cs.cornell.edu/~ross/publications/tamewild}$