## TD n°6 - Existential woes

 $\triangleright$  Java and C# propose two different ways to define constraints on the variables :

• Java/ Use-site variance : the variance indication on the type variable appears in the method using the variable

```
class Collections<T> { .. };
public void copy(List <? super T> dst, List <? extends T> src) {
   .. };
```

• C#/ Definition-site variance : the variance indication on the type variable appears at the definition of the class

```
interface Collections<in T> {
  T getElem()
... };
```

In both cases, the code must satisfy the *get-put* principle for a generic class C < T > :

- if the type variable  $\tau$  is in a *covariant* position, one can call every method in  $c < \tau >$  except the generic methods having an *argument* of type  $\tau$ .
- if the type variable T is in a *contravariant* position, one can call every method in C<T> except the generic methods having a *result* of type T.

## Exercice 1: Type variance

In languages with generics, the **Collections** and their containers provide nice examples of parameterized types.

- 1. What is in general (that is to say without taking a specific language into account) the rule of variance for a parameterized type such as Container<Parameter>?
- 2. Verify your proposition by writing examples in Java and C#. What is the error message, if any, and when does it occur?

According to the previous questions, if ever an Orange inherits from a class Fruit, then it implies that there is no relation whatsoever between List<Orange> and List<Fruit>, which is very restrictive.

3. Give an example that shows how restrictive this choice is.

Now there is a possibility to alleviate this behavior with variance indications. Type compatibility is explicitly stated, either at the definition of the variables (*definition-site variance*, for example in C# and Scala) or at the place they are used, usually the arguments of functions (*use-site variance*, for example in Java via the use of *wildcards*).

- Consult the Java 1.7 documentation page for the Collections class (http://docs.oracle. com/javase/7/docs/api/java/util/Collections.html), and discuss the types of the functions fill, copy and max.
- 5. Consult the C# documentation for the IEnumerable interface http://msdn.microsoft.com/ en-us/library/vstudio/9eekhta0%28v=vs.100%29.aspx and discuss the differences with the Java case, in particular for the max functions.

For these specific types, there is a general principle explaining what kind of methods can or cannot be applied to a wildcard type. For each of the following citations<sup>1</sup>, construct a Java example that does not type-check, and explain why it doesn't.

- 6. About covariance :
  - For example the type List<? extends Number> is often used to indicate read-only lists of Numbers. This is because one can get elements of the list and statically know they are Numbers, but one cannot add Numbers to the list since the list may actually represent a List<Integer> which does not accept arbitrary Numbers.
- 7. About contravariance :
  - Similarly, List<? super Number> is often used to indicate write-only lists. This time, one cannot get Numbers from the list since it may actually be a List<Object>, but one can add Numbers to the list.

Let us write a generic function in to sort elements of a list.

8. Write the prototype of a generic sorting function in C# that takes a list and a comparison function, that is covariant in the list parameter and contravariant in the other.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Taken from http://www.cs.cornell.edu/~ross/publications/tamewild

- 9. Write the prototype of a sorting algorithm in Java that is equivalent to the previous one. The functional comparison can be replaced by the Comparator interface. What is the difference with the C# solution?
- 10. Suppose that we only require that the type  $\tau$  implements the Comparable interface. What is the most generic prototype you can write?